

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Hunter, Kenn A.  
**Sent:** Friday, August 30, 2002 10:35 AM  
**To:** Reiss, Joseph F.; Bastyr, Russell A.; Heil, James P.  
**Cc:** Mikota, Michael G.; Lee, Daniel K.; Loch, Timothy L.; 'bernard\_gilligan@holtec.com'  
**Subject:** RE: NCR 0160-124 Rev 0

Joe,

Why are we continually forced to accept these types of mistakes. It appears that they do not want to rework the parts due to risk of damage. I would think there would be little risk to grinding out a 1/8" weld and placing the drain line correctly. Sure, it will take a little extra work on thier part. However, they will fix thier own mistakes instead of Holtec spending their time preparing and dispositioning the SMDR and us spending 2 days in preparing and approving a 72.48 to fix thier mistakes.

I agree that there are some instances where it is more cost effective to write a SMDR and perform the 72.48 in lieu of rework. But there seems to be times when easily reworked mistakes are just accepted.

Case in point: I am currently working on a 72.48 to disposition a burn gouge in a horizontal plate of an inlet gamma shield assembly. The whole assembly is easily replaceable. It's not like it is permanently attached to the HI-STORM. I'm sure they could rework it and if not, another one without the gouge should be provided.

They seem to want to "accept as is" to avoid rework. But there is work that has to be done regardless.

Do we just have to accept everything?

***Kenn Hunter***

Unit 1/Dry Cask Storage Projects

A136