

*release 15*

**From:** William Beckner, *NRR*  
**To:** John Hannon, *NRR*  
**Date:** 10/11/02 10:34AM  
**Subject:** Appendix R and Manual Actions

I have talked to Eric and Suzie to better understand the issue. My perspective is that the three activities (rule change, EGM change, and enforcement guidance revisions) to resolve this issue are appropriate and we fully support these efforts. We also would not object to Eric's memo providing technical guidance to help support these activities. As I have previously indicated, my problem is to understand and agree on if there is also a role for a RIS and, if so, what is that role.

I have briefed Dave Matthews and he is in agreement with this perspective, particularly with needing to understand what role, if any, a RIS would have. He agreed that he and Gary should get together to discuss/agree on any role for a RIS and then provide that perspective to the ET. I am committed to help you develop any RIS once we can agree on the objective. I suggest we set up a meeting next week with Gary and Dave, preferably at a time that both you and I and key staff are also available to participate.

Thanks,

Bill

**CC:** Charles Petrone; Cynthia Carpenter; David Matthews; Eric Weiss; Gary Holahan; Steven West; Suzanne Black; Terrence Reis

*H/10*