## NRC & BWROG Meeting White Flint, Md.

Use of SRVs & Low Pressure Systems for Appendix R Post-Fire Safe Shutdown April 25, 2000

Agenda

Introduction
 Discussion of

- Regulation
- System Selection Criteria
- SER History

Summary

#### Introduction

#### BWROG Position:

• The use of SRVs and Low Pressure Systems for Post-Fire Safe Shutdown is within the original BWR design basis, is technically acceptable and is a safe means of achieving shutdown.

#### Introduction

#### BWROG Position: [Continued]

- SRVs and Low Pressure Systems meets the requirements of Appendix R as a Redundant System.
- Appendix R does not limit BWRs to the use of High Pressure Systems in meeting the requirements of Sections III.G.1 and 2.

Introduction

# We are not asking for <u>a change</u>. We have always used SRVs & Low Pressure Systems for III.G.1 & 2 Post-Fire Safe Shutdown (Redundant).

The Position Paper on SRVs & LPS explains our basis.

Introduction

Important Points from BWROG Report GE-NE-T43-00002-00-03 Rev. 1

- BWRs used SRVs & LPS for
  <u>Redundant</u> Post-Fire Safe Shutdown
- Previously Accepted by NRC
- Failure to recognize as acceptable could presents a <u>significant burden</u> <u>to BWRs</u> [\$0.2 to \$20.0 million]

### Regulation Discussion

 Redundant vs. Alternative Post-Fire Safe Shutdown
 Cold vs. Maintaining Hot Shutdown
 Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) Assumption

- Section III.L Requirements
- Changes to the Approved Fire Protection Plan



¥

The Redundant Shutdown Methodology must be able to achieve and maintain cold shutdown using cold shutdown equipment repairs, if necessary.

Cables and equipment for one Redundant Safe Shutdown Path are to be separated by one of the separation techniques described in III.G.2, including requirements for fire detection and suppression, as appropriate.



Alternative Shutdown:

- is used when separation in accordance with III.G.2 cannot be provided for a Redundant Shutdown Path.
- must be independent of the area, room or zone under consideration.
- Fire Detection & Fixed Suppression are required for alternative shutdown, except for NUREG 0800 Plants.

## Redundant vs. Alternative Determination

Alternative Shutdown is determined based on the inability to satisfy the separation requirements of III.G.2 and is not determined based on the systems selected to achieve and maintain safe shutdown. SRVs + LPS can meet the separation requirements of III.G.2 using raceway fire barriers and suppression and detection, as necessary.



When SRVs + LPS are separated in accordance with the requirements of III.G.2, they assure that the health and safety of the public will be protected.



# Achieving Cold Shutdown vs. Maintaining Hot Shutdown

III.G.1 allows Maintaining Hot Shutdown while Cold Shutdown Repairs are completed.Maintaining Hot Shutdown is not a more desirable condition than achieving Cold Shutdown.

"...Cold Shutdown is the Ultimate Safe Shutdown Condition..." (Appendix R Statement of Considerations)

## LOOP Assumption

Prior to 1994 Utilities understood that assuming a LOOP was required for Post-Fire Safe Shutdown governed by both III.G.1 & 2 and III.G.3

- NRC Clarification in 1994
  - Assumption applies to III.G.3 only
  - Offsite Power may be credited in III.G.1 & 2
    areas unless the fire causes a LOOP

### Section III.L Requirements

9

Section III.L Performance Goals do not apply to redundant safe shutdown under Section III.G.1 & 2.

Section III.L applies to the alternative safe shutdown option under Section III.G.3 (Court of Appeals decision on Connecticut Light and Power).

## Changes to Approved Fire Protection Plan

All Plants with a Standard License Condition

- Use 50.59 Process
- Changes cannot "...adversely affect the ability to achieve and maintain safe shutdown in the event of a fire."
- Changes must consider all Fire Protection Requirements including those related to automatic suppression, fire detection and fire barriers used to protect redundant safe shutdown raceway.

### System Selection Criteria

NRC Generic Letter 81-12 suggested use of ECCS and RCIC Systems for Post-Fire Safe Shutdown. [G.L. 81-12 Section 8.(k) also]

- The LOOP Assumption required the use of ECCS, including SRVs and Low Pressure Systems, and RCIC Systems.
- ECCS and RCIC Systems are Redundant to each other and we used whatever was least affected by the fire.

System Selection Criteria

NUREG 0050 states that the the SRVs when coupled with low pressure pumping are redundant alternatives to RCIC and HPCI.

SECY 83-269 states that the use of ADS and LPCI is an approved means of achieving and maintaining safe shutdown conditions.

### System Selection Criteria

ë

NRC Inspection Procedure 64100 states "...For BWRs, the NRC has approved partial short-term core recovery using the automatic depressurization system (ADS) and lowpressure coolant injection system (LPCIS). Note that this option eliminates the need for the hot shutdown maintenance capability of Section III.G.1.a of Appendix R."

### System Selection Criteria

There is no regulatory requirement that restricts the use of ECCS and RCIC Systems in support of Post-Fire Safe Shutdown under Sections III.G.1 & 2.

Disallowing the use SRVs and LPS in support of Post-Fire Safe Shutdown under Sections III.G.1 & 2 is equivalent to requiring that Post-Fire Safe Shutdown be accomplished using only High Pressure Systems.

System Selection Criteria

#### Appendix R, as currently written, does not limit BWRs to the use of only High Pressure Systems.

### SER History

Foreword

#### Review of Specific Licensee Submittals and NRC Interactions

Summary

#### Parking Lot Items

■ Actions Items

1

Schedule for Action Items

Summary

#### Using SRVs and LPS for III.G.1 & 2 Post-Fire Safe Shutdown:

- Meets the regulation
- Is safe
- Has been previously accepted
- Is consistent with what BWRs have done

Summary

Failure by NRC to accept the BWROG Position on this issue:

- Will represent a significant burden to BWRs [\$0.2 to \$20.0 million].
- Will require an analysis in accordance with 10CFR50.109.