# November 14, 2003

Mr. Michael Kansler President Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

SUBJECT: PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE:

ELIMINATION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR POST ACCIDENT SAMPLING

SYSTEM (TAC NO. MB8138)

Dear Mr. Kansler:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 204 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-35 for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (Pilgrim). This amendment is in response to your application dated March 19, 2003.

This amendment deletes Technical Specification (TS) 5.5.3, "Post Accident Sampling," and thereby eliminates the requirements to have and maintain the post accident sampling system at Pilgrim.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* Notice.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Travis L. Tate, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-293

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 204 to

License No. DPR-35

2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

## Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

CC:

Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Post Office Box 867 Plymouth, MA 02360

Chairman, Board of Selectmen 11 Lincoln Street Plymouth, MA 02360

Chairman, Duxbury Board of Selectmen Town Hall 878 Tremont Street Duxbury, MA 02332

Office of the Commissioner Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection One Winter Street Boston, MA 02108

Office of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place 20th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Dr. Robert M. Hallisey, Director Radiation Control Program Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Offices of Health and Human Services 174 Portland Street Boston, MA 02114

Regional Administrator, Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. John M. Fulton Assistant General Counsel Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601 Mr. Steve Brennion
Supt., Regulatory & Industry Affairs
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
600 Rocky Hill Road, M/S 1
Plymouth, MA 02360-5508

Mr. Jack Alexander
Manager, Reg. Relations and
Quality Assurance
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
600 Rocky Hill Road
Plymouth, MA 02360-5599

Mr. David F. Tarantino Nuclear Information Manager Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station 600 Rocky Hill Road Plymouth, MA 02360-5599

Ms. Jane Perlov Secretary of Public Safety Executive Office of Public Safety One Ashburton Place Boston, MA 02108

Mr. Stephen J. McGrail, Director Attn: James Muckerheide Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency 400 Worcester Road Framingham, MA 01702-5399

Chairman Nuclear Matters Committee Town Hall 11 Lincoln Street Plymouth, MA 02360

Mr. William D. Meinert Nuclear Engineer Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company P.O. Box 426 Ludlow, MA 01056-0426

## Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station

CC:

Mr. Gary Taylor Chief Executive Officer Entergy Operations 1340 Echelon Parkway Jackson, MS 39213

Mr. John Herron Sr. VP and Chief Operating Officer Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Michael A. Balduzzi Site Vice President Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station 600 Rocky Hill Road Plymouth, MA 02360-5508

Mr. William J. Riggs
Director, Nuclear Assessment
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
600 Rocky Hill Road
Plymouth, MA 02360-5508

Mr. Bryan S. Ford Manager, Licensing Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station 600 Rocky Hill Road Plymouth, MA 02360-5508

Mr. Dan Pace Vice President, Engineering Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Randall Edington Vice President, Operations Support Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601 Mr. John Kelly Director, Nuclear Safety Assurance Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

Ms. Charlene Faison
Manager, Licensing
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Director of Oversight
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
600 Rocky Hill Road
Mail Stop 66
Plymouth, MA 02360-5508

Ms. Stacey Lousteau Treasury Department Entergy Services, Inc. 639 Loyola Avenue, Mail Stop L-ENT-15E New Orleans, LA 70113 Mr. Michael Kansler President Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. 440 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

SUBJECT: PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE:

ELIMINATION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR POST ACCIDENT SAMPLING

SYSTEM (TAC NO. MB8138)

Dear Mr. Kansler:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 204 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-35 for the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (Pilgrim). This amendment is in response to your application dated March 19, 2003.

This amendment deletes Technical Specification (TS) 5.5.3, "Post Accident Sampling," and thereby eliminates the requirements to have and maintain the post accident sampling system at Pilgrim.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly *Federal Register* Notice.

Sincerely,

#### /RA/

Travis L. Tate, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-293

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 204 to

License No. DPR-35

2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC J. Clifford C. Anderson, RI PUBLIC C. Raynor PDI-2 Reading T. Tate OGC G. Hill (2), T-5C3 ACRS T. Boyce C. Holden

F. Arner, RI J. Bobiak, RI

ADAMS Accession Numbers: Package: ML033010192, Amendment: ML033010190,

TSs: ML

\*See previous concurrence

OFFICE	CLIIP LPM*	PDI-2/PM	PDI-2/LA	PDI-2/SC
NAME	WReckley	TTate	CRaynor	JClifford
DATE	4/3/03	10/31/03	10/31/03	11/12/03

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

## ENTERGY NUCLEAR GENERATION COMPANY

## ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

# **DOCKET NO. 50-293**

## PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

## AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 204 License No. DPR-35

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment filed by Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee) dated March 19, 2003, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

# A. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 204, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

## FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### /RA/

James W. Clifford, Chief, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical

**Specifications** 

Date of Issuance: November 14, 2003

# ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 204

# FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-35

# **DOCKET NO. 50-293**

Replace the following page of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the attached revised page. The revised page is identified by amendment number and contains marginal lines indicating the areas of change.

<u>Remove</u> <u>Insert</u> 5.0-7 5.0-7

# SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 204 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-35

# **ENTERGY NUCLEAR GENERATION COMPANY**

## ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

## PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

## **DOCKET NO. 50-293**

# 1.0 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

By letter dated March 19, 2003, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Specifications (TSs). The requested changes would delete TS 5.5.3, "Post Accident Sampling."

In the aftermath of the accident at Three Mile Island (TMI), Unit 2, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) imposed requirements on licensees for commercial nuclear power plants to install and maintain the capability to obtain and analyze post-accident samples of the reactor coolant and containment atmosphere. The desired capabilities of the Post Accident Sampling System [or Station] (PASS) were described in NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements." The NRC issued orders to licensees with plants operating at the time of the TMI accident to confirm the installation of PASS capabilities (generally as they had been described in NUREG-0737). A requirement for PASS and related administrative controls was added to the TSs of the operating plants and was included in the initial TSs for plants licensed during the 1980s and 90s. Additional expectations regarding PASS capabilities were included in Regulatory Guide 1.97, "Instrumentation for Light-Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants To Assess Plant and Environs Conditions During and Following an Accident."

Significant improvements have been achieved since the TMI accident in the areas of understanding risks associated with nuclear plant operations and developing better strategies for managing the response to potentially severe accidents at nuclear plants. Recent insights about plant risks and alternate severe accident assessment tools have led the NRC staff to conclude that some TMI Action Plan items can be revised without reducing the ability of licensees to respond to severe accidents. The NRC's efforts to oversee the risks associated with nuclear technology more effectively and to eliminate undue regulatory costs to licensees and the public have prompted the NRC to consider eliminating the requirements for PASS in TSs and other parts of the licensing bases of operating reactors.

The staff has completed its review of the topical report submitted by the Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) Owners Group (BWROG) that proposed the elimination of PASS. The justifications for the proposed elimination of PASS requirements center on evaluations of the various

radiological and chemical sampling and their potential usefulness in responding to a severe reactor accident or making decisions regarding actions to protect the public from possible releases of radioactive materials. As explained in more detail in the staff's safety evaluation (SE) for the topical report, the staff has reviewed the available sources of information for use by decisionmakers in developing protective action recommendations and assessing core damage. Based on this review, the staff found that the information provided by PASS is either unnecessary or is effectively provided by other indications of process parameters or measurement of radiation levels. The staff agrees with the owners group that licensees can remove the TS requirements for PASS, revise (as necessary) other elements of the licensing bases, and pursue possible design changes to alter or remove existing PASS equipment.

## 2.0 BACKGROUND

In its letter dated November 30, 2000, the BWROG submitted for the NRC staff's review Topical Report (TR) NEDO-32991, "Regulatory Relaxation for BWR Post Accident Sampling Stations (PASS)," for eliminating PASS requirements from BWRs. The NRC staff's SE for the BWROG topical report is dated June 12, 2001 (ADAMS Accession Number ML011630016). The BWROG proposed that relaxation of the PASS requirements be incorporated into the standard technical specifications by submitting TSTF-413.

The NRC staff prepared this SE relating to the elimination of requirements on post accident sampling for BWRs and solicited public comment (*Federal Register* Notice 66 FR 66949, dated December 27, 2001) in accordance with the Consolidated Line Item Improvement Program (CLIIP). The use of the CLIIP in this matter is intended to help the NRC to efficiently process amendments that propose to remove the PASS requirements from TSs. Licensees of nuclear power reactors to which this SE apply were informed (67 FR 13027, dated March 20, 2002) that they could request amendments conforming to the SE, and, in such requests, should confirm the applicability of the SE to their reactors and provide the requested plant-specific verifications and commitments.

# 3.0 EVALUATION

The ways in which the requirements and recommendations for PASS were incorporated into the licensing bases of commercial nuclear power plants varied as a function of when the plants were licensed. Plants that were operating at the time of the TMI accident are likely to have been the subject of confirmatory orders that imposed the PASS functions described in NUREG-0737 as obligations. The issuance of plant-specific amendments to adopt this change, which would remove PASS and related administrative controls from TSs, would also supercede the PASS specific requirements imposed by post-TMI confirmatory orders.

The technical evaluations for the elimination of PASS sampling requirements are provided in the SE dated June 12, 2001, for BWROG TR NEDO-32991. As described in its SE for the TR, the staff finds that the post-accident sampling requirements for the following may be eliminated for BWR plants:

- 1. Reactor coolant dissolved gases.
- 2. Reactor coolant hydrogen.
- 3. Reactor coolant oxygen.
- 4. Reactor coolant chlorides.

- 5. Reactor coolant pH.
- 6. Reactor coolant boron.
- 7. Reactor coolant conductivity.
- 8. Radioisotopes in the reactor coolant.
- 9. Containment hydrogen.
- 10. Containment oxygen.
- 11. Radioisotopes in the containment atmosphere.
- 12. Suppression pool pH.
- 13. Chlorides in the suppression pool.
- 14. Boron in the suppression pool.
- 15. Radioisotopes in the suppression pool.

The staff agrees that the sampling of radioisotopes is not required to support emergency response decisionmaking during the initial phases of an accident because the information provided by PASS is either unnecessary or is effectively provided by other indications of process parameters or measurement of radiation levels. Therefore, it is not necessary to have dedicated equipment to obtain this sample in a prompt manner.

The staff does, however, believe that there could be significant benefits to having information about the radioisotopes existing post-accident in order to address public concerns and plan for long-term recovery operations. As stated in the SE for the TR, the staff has found that licensees could satisfy this function by developing contingency plans to describe existing sampling capabilities and what actions (e.g., assembling temporary shielding) may be necessary to obtain and analyze highly radioactive samples from the reactor coolant system (RCS), suppression pool, and containment atmosphere. (See item 4.1 under Verifications and Commitments.) The contingency plans for obtaining samples from the RCS, suppression pool, and containment atmosphere may also enable a licensee to derive information on parameters such as hydrogen concentrations in containment and the pH of water in the suppression pool. The staff considers the sampling of the suppression pool to be potentially useful in confirming calculations of pH and confirming that potentially unaccounted for acid sources have been sufficiently neutralized. The use of the contingency plans for obtaining samples would depend on the plant conditions and the need for information by the decisionmakers responsible for responding to the accident.

In addition, the staff considers radioisotope sampling information to be useful in classifying certain types of events (such as a reactivity excursion or mechanical damage) that could cause fuel damage without having an indication of a loss of reactor coolant inventory. However, the staff agrees with the TR's contentions that other indicators of failed fuel, such as radiation monitors, can be correlated to the degree of failed fuel. (See item 4.2 under Verifications and Commitments.)

In lieu of the information that would have been obtained from PASS, the staff believes that licensees should maintain or develop the capability to monitor radioactive iodines that have been released to offsite environs. This information would be useful for decisionmakers trying to assess a release of and limit the public's exposure to radioactive materials. (See item 4.3 under Verifications and Commitments.)

The staff believes that the changes related to the elimination of PASS that are described in the TR, related SE, and this proposed change to the TSs are unlikely to result in a decrease in the

effectiveness of a licensee's emergency plan. Each licensee, however, must evaluate possible changes to its emergency plan in accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.54(q) to determine if the change decreases the effectiveness of its sitespecific plan. Evaluations and reporting of changes to emergency plans should be performed in accordance with applicable regulations and procedures.

The staff notes that containment hydrogen concentration monitors are required by 10 CFR 50.44 and are relied upon to meet the data reporting requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section VI.2.a.(ii)(3). The staff concludes that these hydrogen monitors provide an adequate capability for monitoring containment hydrogen concentration during the early phases of an accident. The staff sees value in maintaining the capability to obtain grab samples for complementing the information from the hydrogen monitors in the long term (i.e., by confirming the indications from the monitors and providing hydrogen measurements for concentrations outside the range of the monitors). As previously mentioned, the licensee's contingency plan (see item 4.1 under Verifications and Commitments) for obtaining highly radioactive samples will include sampling of the containment atmosphere and may, if deemed necessary and practical by the appropriate decisionmakers, be used to supplement the hydrogen monitors.

# 4.0 <u>VERIFICATIONS AND COMMITMENTS</u>

As requested by the staff in the notice of availability for this TS improvement, the licensee has addressed the following plant-specific verifications and commitments.

4.1 Each licensee should verify that it has, and make a regulatory commitment to maintain (or make a regulatory commitment to develop and maintain), contingency plans for obtaining and analyzing highly radioactive samples of reactor coolant, suppression pool, and containment atmosphere.

The licensee has made a regulatory commitment to develop contingency plans for obtaining and analyzing highly radioactive samples from the RCS, suppression pool, and containment atmosphere. The licensee has committed to maintain the contingency plans within its plant procedures. The licensee will implement this regulatory commitment within 6 months after the implementation of this amendment.

4.2 Each licensee should verify that it has, and make a regulatory commitment to maintain (or make a regulatory commitment to develop and maintain), a capability for classifying fuel damage events at the Alert level threshold (typically this is 300 μCi/ml dose equivalent iodine). This capability may utilize the normal sampling system and/or correlations of radiation readings to radioisotope concentrations in the reactor coolant.

The licensee has made a regulatory commitment to establish and maintain the capability for classifying fuel damage events at the Alert level threshold. The capability will be described in plant procedures. The licensee will implement this regulatory commitment within 6 months after the implementation of this amendment.

4.3 Each licensee should verify that it has, and make a regulatory commitment to maintain (or make a regulatory commitment to develop and maintain), an I-131 site survey detection capability, including an ability to assess radioactive iodines released to offsite environs, by using effluent monitoring systems or portable sampling equipment.

The licensee has made a regulatory commitment to develop and maintain an I-131 site survey detection capability. The capability will be described in plant procedures. The licensee will implement this regulatory commitment within 6 months after the implementation of this amendment.

The NRC staff finds that reasonable controls for the implementation and for subsequent evaluation of proposed changes pertaining to the above regulatory commitments are provided by the licensee's administrative processes, including its commitment management program. Should the licensee choose to incorporate a regulatory commitment into the emergency plan. final safety analysis report, or other document with established regulatory controls, the associated regulations would define the appropriate change-control and reporting requirements. The staff has determined that the commitments do not warrant the creation of regulatory requirements, which would require prior NRC approval of subsequent changes. The NRC staff has agreed that NEI 99-04, Revision 0, "Guidelines for Managing NRC Commitment Changes," provides reasonable guidance for the control of regulatory commitments made to the NRC staff. (See Regulatory Issue Summary 2000-17, "Managing Regulatory Commitments Made by Power Reactor Licensees to the NRC Staff," dated September 21, 2000 (ADAMS Accession Number ML003741774). The commitments should be controlled in accordance with the industry guidance or comparable criteria employed by a specific licensee. The staff may choose to verify the implementation and maintenance of these commitments in a future inspection or audit.

## 4.0 <u>STATE CONSULTATION</u>

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Massachusetts State Official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

## 5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (68 FR 34663). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

## 6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the

Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: W. Reckley

Date: November 14, 2003