November 19, 2003

Mr. David H. Oatley
Vice President and General Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
9 Miles Northwest of Avila Beach
Avila Beach, CA 93424

SUBJECT: ORDERS MODIFYING LICENSES FOR REVISED DESIGN BASIS THREAT AND FOR TRAINING AND PHYSICAL FITNESS ENHANCEMENTS APPLICABLE TO SECURITY FORCE PERSONNEL (TAC NOS. MB9284, MB9285, MB9388 AND MB9389)

Dear Mr. Oatley:

On April 29, 2003, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) issued Orders modifying the operating licenses for Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 to: (1) require compliance with a revised design basis threat (DBT); (2) implement new security force personnel training and physical fitness requirements; and (3) prescribe compensatory measures related to fitness-for-duty enhancements applicable to security force personnel.

When it issued the Orders, the Commission recognized that you voluntarily and responsibly implemented additional security measures following the events of September 11, 2001. However, as part of the Commission's review of the security and safeguards program, the Commission determined that enhancements to the DBT, as currently specified in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 73.1(a), were required. The NRC further determined that security force personnel tactical and firearms proficiency, physical fitness, and fitness-for-duty requirements needed to be enhanced. The Commission also concluded that, based on the current threat environment, these Orders were to be effective immediately.

The Orders require responses and actions within specified time frames. This letter addresses your response to the Orders pertaining to the revised DBT and the new security force personnel training and physical fitness requirements. The Commission replied to your response to the fitness-for-duty Order in separate correspondence, dated July 10, 2003.

Section III.A.1 of the Order implementing new security force personnel training and physical fitness requirements states that licensees must comply with the new requirements described in the Order, except to the extent that more stringent requirements are set forth in the licensee's security plan and security training and qualifications plan. Section III.A.1 of the DBT Order requires licensees to submit revised physical security plans, safeguards contingency plans, and guard training and qualification plans, including an implementation schedule, based on the revised DBT, to the Commission for review and approval no later than April 29, 2004. The Order requires licensees to implement the revised physical security plans, revised safeguards contingency plans, and revised security force personnel training and qualification plans no later than October 29, 2004. Section IV of both Orders noted that, in accordance with

10 CFR 2.202, licensees must submit an answer to the Orders and may request a hearing on the Orders, within thirty-five (35) days of the date of the Orders and, where good cause is shown, consideration would be given to extend the time to request a hearing.

The Orders also required licensees to notify the Commission within thirty-five (35) days if: (1) the licensee was unable to comply with the requirements specified in the attachments to the Orders; (2) compliance with the requirements is unnecessary; (3) implementation of any of the requirements would result in a violation of the provisions of any Commission regulation of the facility license; or (4) implementation of any of the requirements described in the attachments to the Orders would adversely impact safe operation of the facility. Section III.C.1 of the security force personnel training and physical fitness Order required licensees to submit to the Commission, within thirty-five (35) days of the date of the Order, a schedule for achieving compliance with each requirement described in Attachment 2 to the Order.

By letters dated June 2, 2003, you submitted responses to the Orders for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. In your responses, you indicated that you consented to the DBT and security force personnel training Orders and did not request a hearing. The NRC staff has reviewed your responses, and finds that you have satisfied the thirty-five (35) day reporting requirements for both Orders.

In your response to the DBT Order, you also requested that the NRC provide clarification of five DBT-related issues described in the safeguards attachment to a letter from Mr. Colvin, President and CEO of the Nuclear Energy Institute, to Chairman Diaz dated May 16, 2003. By letter dated September 25, 2003, the NRC provided you a copy of Chairman Diaz's response to Mr. Colvin, dated July 18, 2003, which clarified the five DBT-related issues.

Please contact Robert Fretz at 301-415-1324, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Ledyard B. Marsh, Director
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

cc: See next page

10 CFR 2.202, licensees must submit an answer to the Orders and may request a hearing on the Orders, within thirty-five (35) days of the date of the Orders and, where good cause is shown, consideration would be given to extend the time to request a hearing.

The Orders also required licensees to notify the Commission within thirty-five (35) days if: (1) the licensee was unable to comply with the requirements specified in the attachments to the Orders; (2) compliance with the requirements is unnecessary; (3) implementation of any of the requirements would result in a violation of the provisions of any Commission regulation of the facility license; or (4) implementation of any of the requirements described in the attachments to the Orders would adversely impact safe operation of the facility. Section III.C.1 of the security force personnel training and physical fitness Order required licensees to submit to the Commission, within thirty-five (35) days of the date of the Order, a schedule for achieving compliance with each requirement described in Attachment 2 to the Order.

By letters dated June 2, 2003, you submitted responses to the Orders for the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. In your responses, you indicated that you consented to the DBT and security force personnel training Orders and did not request a hearing. The NRC staff has reviewed your responses, and finds that you have satisfied the thirty-five (35) day reporting requirements for both Orders.

In your response to the DBT Order, you also requested that the NRC provide clarification of five DBT-related issues described in the safeguards attachment to a letter from Mr. Colvin, President and CEO of the Nuclear Energy Institute, to Chairman Diaz dated May 16, 2003. By letter dated September 25, 2003, the NRC provided you a copy of Chairman Diaz's response to Mr. Colvin, dated July 18, 2003, which clarified the five DBT-related issues.

Please contact Robert Fretz at 301-415-1324, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely,
/RA/
Ledyard B. Marsh, Director
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	TMarsh	DLPM Project Directors	AHowell, Region IV
OGC	CHolden	DLPM Section Chiefs	PD Reading Files
ACRS	JClifford	DLPM Project Managers	GTracy, NSIR
RFretz	VNerses	DLPM Licensing Assistants	JPeralta, NSIR

ADAMS Accession Number: ML032970139 * See previous concurrence

OFFICE	PDI-2/LPM	PDI-2/LA	PDI-2/SC*	NSIR/DNS*	PDI/D*	DLPM/D
NAME	RFretz	CRaynor	JClifford	GTracy	CHolden	LMarsh
DATE	11/13/03	11/13/03	10/30/03	11/03/03	11/07/03	11/14/03

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

cc:

NRC Resident Inspector
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 369
Avila Beach, CA 93424

Mr. Pete Wagner
Sierra Club California
2650 Maple Avenue
Morro Bay, California 93442

Ms. Nancy Culver
San Luis Obispo
Mothers for Peace
P.O. Box 164
Pismo Beach, CA 93448

Chairman
San Luis Obispo County Board of
Supervisors
Room 370
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Mr. Truman Burns
Mr. Robert Kinosian
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness, Room 4102
San Francisco, CA 94102

Diablo Canyon Independent Safety
Committee
ATTN: Robert R. Wellington, Esq.
Legal Counsel
857 Cass Street, Suite D
Monterey, CA 93940

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Harris Tower & Pavillion
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Richard F. Locke, Esq.
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120

Mr. David H. Oatley, Vice President
and General Manager
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
P.O. Box 56
Avila Beach, CA 93424

City Editor
The Tribune
3825 South Higuera Street
P.O. Box 112
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406-0112

Mr. Ed Bailey, Radiation Program Director
Radiologic Health Branch
State Department of Health Services
P.O. Box 942732 (MS 178)
Sacramento, CA 94234-7320

Mr. James D. Boyd, Commissioner
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street (MS 31)
Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. James R. Becker, Vice President
Diablo Canyon Operations
and Station Director
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
P.O. Box 3
Avila Beach, CA 93424

Mr. Gregory M. Rueger
Senior Vice President, Generation and
Chief Nuclear Officer
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
P.O. Box 3
Avila Beach, CA 93424