

Department of Energy Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office P. O. Box 98608 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8608

WBS 1.2.9.3

OCT 2 8 1992

Richard L. Bullock Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Raytheon Services Nevada 101 Convention Center Drive Phase II, Suite P-250 Las Vegas, NV 89109

VERIFICATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLOSURE OF CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (CAR) YM-92-051 RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN QUALITY ASSURANCE DIVISION (YMQAD) AUDIT YMP-92-18 OF RAYTHEON SERVICES NEVADA

The YMQAD staff has verified the corrective action to CAR YM-92-051 and determined the results to be satisfactory. As a result, the CAR is considered closed.

If you have any questions, please contact either Robert B. Constable at 794-7945 or Gerard Heaney at 794-7826.

V: Kin Hooks Utr. Exal. WM. 11

Richard E. Spence, Director Yucca Mountain Quality Assurance Division

YMQAD:RBC-631

Enclosure: CAR YM-92-051

cc w/encl: VK.R. Hooks, NRC, Washington, DC S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV M. J. Regenda, RSN, Las Vegas, NV J. H. Rusk, MACTEC, Las Vegas, NV W. B. Simecka, YMP, NV

cc w/o encl: J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV N. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-12

9211030319 921028 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR

(MP-B

والمسادية والمراجع والمحافظ والمحافظ

•		• •		. ¹		IS A RE	
•		ACTIVE W	OF CIVILIAN ASTE MANAGEN MENT OF ENERG IGTON, D.C.	MENT	CAR NO. DATE: SHEET:	<u>YM-92-0</u> <u>6/26/92</u> <u>1</u> 0 Q/	F _1
	COR	RECTIVE	ACTION REQUES	ST:			
1 Controlling Document AP 3.50				2 Related Audit	Report No 92-18),	
3 Responsible Organization RSN	n		Discussed With H. Booth				
6 Requirement: AP 3.50 "Field Change Section II (of the FC appropriate supportir	e Control Proce CR), and attach ng documentatic	ess" Rev 1, h the evalua on to comple	Para. 5.0, Step 7 st tion, sketches, or o te the FCR."	ates "Sign ther	n		
6 Adverse Condition:	2 Thield Chang	e Control P	rocess.* Rev. 0. doe:	s not add:		<u> </u>	
RSN procedure PP-03-2 the fact that interna FCRs must be attached checklists have not b	l participant 1 to FCRs and s	evaluation of submitted to	checklists used for (the FCCB. RSN inter	evaluation rnal evaly	ation		
 Does a significant conditionadverse to quality exist? Yes, Circle One: A B 	on YesNo <u>x_</u>	¹⁰ Does a sta YesN H Yes, Cir	pp work condition exist? of X_:H Yes - Attach cop cle One: A B C D	oy of SWO	11 Resp 20 days issuand		
 ⁹ Does a significant conditionadverse to quality exist? If Yes, Circle One: A B 	on YesNo <u>x_</u>	¹⁰ Does a sta YesN H Yes, Cir	pp work condition exist? of X_:H Yes - Attach cop cle One: A B C D	oy of SWO	11 Resp 20 days	s from De.	
 ⁹ Does a significant conditional adverse to quality exist? If Yes, Circle One: A B ¹² Required Actions: [X] 	on YesNo <u>x_</u>	¹⁰ Does a sta YesN H Yes, Cir	pp work condition exist? of X_:H Yes - Attach cop cle One: A B C D	oy of SWO	11 Resp 20 days 13Suand	s from De.	
 ⁹ Does a significant conditional checklists have not be attached checklists have not be adverse to quality exist? If Yes, Circle One: A Be 12 Required Actions: 13 Recommended Actions: 7 Initiator 6. Heaney J. Heo 	on YesNo <u>x</u> Remedial [X] Factor	¹⁰ Does a sta YesN H Yes, Cir	pp work condition exist? pp work condition exist? p	oy of SWO currence	11 Resp 20 days 13Suand	s from De.	
 ⁹ Does a significant conditional conditiona	on YesNo <u>x</u> Remedial [X] For D	evaluation of submitted to to the FCCB 10 Does a sto YesN If Yes, Cir xtent of Deficie	checklists used for a the FCCB. RSN inter to date. pwork condition exist? <u>o_X_:</u> if Yes - Attach cop <u>cle One:</u> A B C D <u>oncy</u> [X] Preclude Re <u>r</u> <u>14 Issuance Approx</u> <u>QADD</u> <u>16 Response Accep</u> <u>QADD</u>	oy of SWO currence	11 Resp 20 days issuand Root C	s from Ce.	
 ⁹ Does a significant conditional structure of the set o	on YesNo <u>x</u> C Remedial [X] 5: MeyD epted	10 Does a sta Yes H Yes. Cir xtent of Deficie	pp work condition exist? pp work condition exist? py work condition exist? py to date. py work condition exist? py to date. py to date. py work condition exist? py to date. py to date. py work condition exist? py to date. py to date. py to date. py work condition exist? py to date. py work condition exist? py to date. py to date. py work condition exist? py to date. py to date. py work condition exist? py to date. py to	oy of SWO currence	11 Resp 20 days issuand Root C	bate	
 ⁹ Does a significant conditional conditiona	on YesNoxC Remedial [X] [:: WeyD epted D	evaluation of submitted to to the FCCB 10 Does a sta YesN H Yes, Cir xtent of Deficient pate 7-1-9	pp work condition exist? pp work condition exist? py work condition exist? py the second exist? py the sec	oy of SWO currence	11 Resp 20 days issuand Root C	Date	

•

.• .

•

 A. Remedial The FCRs that have not been closed shall be reviewed and the ones that do not have the internal participant evaluation checklist shall have these amended to the FCR. This shall be completed within 15 working days after the completion of the investigation. B. Extent of Deficiency In a preliminary investigation it has been determined that some of the fCRs have the checklist and some do not. To remedy the deficiency each FCR that has been issued shall be reviewed and a determination made if it requires the checklist. The evaluation shall be completed by 8/31/92. C. Preclude Recurrence The procedure is under evaluation to determine if it is explicit and understandable. After the evaluation the appropriate personnel shall have the appropriate training. The training should be completed by 8/31/92 Response Approved: Response Approved:	 A. Remedial The FCRs that have not been closed shall be reviewed and the ones that do not have the internal participant evaluation checklist shall have these amended to the FCR. This shall be completed within 15 working days after the completion of the investigation. B. Extent of Deficiency In a preliminary investigation it has been determined that some of the FCRs have the checklist and some do not. To remedy the deficiency each FCR that has been issued shall be reviewed and a determination made if it requires the checklist. The evaluation shall be completed by B/31/92. C. Preclude Recurrence The procedure is under evaluation to determine if it is explicit and understandable. After the evaluation the appropriate personnel shall have the appropriate training. The training should be completed by B/31/92. Response Approved: Response Approved: Response Approved: Response Proved: Response Approved: Response Approved: Resp	<u></u>	OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.
 The FCRs that have not been closed shall be reviewed and the ones that do not have the internal participant evaluation checklist shall have these amended to the FCR. This shall be completed within 15 working days after the completion of the investigation. B. Extent of Deficiency In a preliminary investigation it has been determined that some of the FCRs have the checklist and some do not. To remedy the deficiency each FCR that has been issued shall be reviewed and a determination made if it requires the checklist. The evaluation shall be completed by B/31/92. C. Preclude Recurrence The procedure is under evaluation to determine if it is explicit and understandatle. After the evaluation the appropriate personnel shall have the appropriate training. The training should be completed by B/31/92 Response Approved: 	 The FCRs that have not been closed shall be reviewed and the ones that do not have the internal participant evaluation checklist shall have these amended to the FCR. This shall be completed within 15 working days after the completion of the investigation. B. Extent of Deficiency In a preliminary investigation it has been determined that some of the FCRs have the checklist and some do not. To remedy the deficiency each FCR that has been issued shall be reviewed and a determination made if it requires the checklist. The evaluation shall be completed by B/31/92. C. Preclude Recurrence The procedure is under evaluation to determine if it is explicit and understandable. After the evaluation the appropriate personnel shall have the appropriate training. The training should be completed by B/31/92. Response Approved: 		

KSN-4HP-1367 8-4-92

AMENDED RESPONSE TO CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST YM-92-051 FROM AUDIT YMP-92-18

A. REMEDIAL

All FCRs shall be reviewed and the evaluation checklist prepared for the FCRs lacking one. This CAR is also amended in that the completion dates for all identified activities has been changed to September 30, 1992. This is a result of the additional work because of the amended response and the response date of the DOE letter YMQAD:RBC-5365 of September 3, 1992.

Ammended Response Approved: Responsible Manager

Date: 4/15/12-

Str ded 9116/92 - RSN - YMP - 1397

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUEST (Continuation Page)

CAR NO.	YM-92-051
	10/23/92
PAGE:	OF
	QA

The following corrective actions were verified to be completed:

- Procedure PP-03-23, "Field Change Control Process," Revision 0, has been revised by Procedure Interim Change No. 1 to address AP-3.5Q requirements.
- 2. Training records for the following personnel involved with the FCR process were reviewed to ensure training to the PIC was completed:

Lee Watson Bob Houde Scott Loftfield Randy Schreiner

3. An investigation was conducted and RSN Internal Participant Evaluation Checklists were generated, where required. However, several of the checklists had not been received by the Field Change Control Board (FCCB) Secretary for incorporation into the FCR record packages. CAR No. YM-93-001 was generated during YMPO Audit YMP-92-24 for several participants not submitting technical evaluation checklists to the FCCB.

This CAR will be closed and the verification of those additional checklists will be by CAR YM-93-001.

_ Date: <u>10.23-9</u>2 Gerard Heaney

REV. 08-91