1	
2	
3	PUBLIC MEETING
4	Patrican II C. Nivalan Panulatan Commission 0250 Panul
5	Between U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 0350 Panel and FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company
6	
7	Meeting held on Wednesday, September 10, 2003, at 7:00 p.m. at Oak Harbor High School, Oak Harbor,
8	Ohio, taken by me, Marlene S. Lewis, Stenotype Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
9	Ohio.
10	
11	
12	
13	PANEL MEMBERS PRESENT:
14	
15	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
16	John (Jack Grobe), Chairman for 0350 Panel Davis-Besse facility
17	David Passehl, Project Engineer, NRC, Region III
18	
19	William Ruland, Vice Chairman, MC IMC 0350 Panel
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. PASSEHL: Okay, welcome. We
2	want to get started. Welcome to members of the
3	public for coming to our meeting this evening.
4	I'm Dave Passehl, the Project Engineer at the
5	NRC Region III office. I work for Christine Lipa,
6	who is in charge of the Inspection Program for
7	Davis-Besse. She can't be here tonight due to other
8	commitments.
9	We had a business meeting during the day
10	today, and the purpose of tonight's meeting is to
11	inform members of the public what we discussed during
12	the business meeting and also to give you an
13	opportunity to ask questions and for us to hear
14	comments.
15	Before we get started, I want to mention
16	there's copies of the August edition of the monthly
17	newsletter and copies of slides from today's meeting
18	in the foyer. The newsletter provides background
19	information, discusses current findings and NRC
20	activities. One correction to the newsletter, it
21	states that the next 0350 public meeting, two p.m.
22	meeting, will be held here. That's incorrect. It
23	will be held at Camp Perry on October 7th.
24	Also in the foyer, there's public meeting
25	feedback forms which we use to get feedback from

1	people to learn about aspects of the meeting that we
2	can improve on.
3	We're having the meeting transcribed today by
4	Marlene Lewis, and she'll maintain a record of the
5	meeting, and a transcription will be available on our
6	web page usually in about three to four weeks.
7	What I'd like to do is start off with some
8	introductions for the NRC folks that are here. To my
9	left is Jack Grobe
10	MR. GROBE: (Indicating).
11	MR. PASSEHL: Senior Manager in
12	the NRC Region III office in Lisle, Illinois, and
13	he's the Chairman of the Davis-Besse oversight panel.
14	To his left is Bill Ruland. He's a Senior
15	Manager, and he's the Vice Chairman of the Oversight
16	Panel. Bill's position is Director, Project
17	Director at III in a division of Licensing Project
18	Management. Is Jon here?
19	MR. GROBE: (Indicating).
20	MR. PASSEHL: Jon Hopkins.
21	MR. HOPKINS: (Indicating).
22	MR. PASSEHL: He's NRR Project
23	Manager for the Davis-Besse facility.
24	And we have Jan Strasma
25	MR. STRASMA: (Indicating).

1	MR. PASSEHL:	our Region III	
2	Public Affairs Officer here tonight.		
3	MR. GROBE:	And Roland,	
4	(indicating).		
5	MR. PASSEHL:	Oh, I'm sorry, and	
6	Roland Lickus		
7	MR. LICKUS:	(Indicating).	
8	MR. PASSEHL:	our State and	
9	Government Liaison Affa	irs Officer from the Region is	
10	also here.		
11	Okay, Bill Ruland w	vill present a summary of	
12	what was discussed dur	what was discussed during the business portion of the	
13	meeting, and then we'll	meeting, and then we'll go ahead and turn it over to	
14	hear comments and ans	wer questions.	
15	MR. GROBE:	Did you introduce	
16	Monica?		
17	MR. RULAND:	Yeah, introduce	
18	Monica.		
19	MR. PASSEHL:	I'm sorry, I wanted to	
20	introduce Monica Salter-Williams. She's the third		
21	Resident Inspector at Davis-Besse. Most plants in		
22	the country have two Resident Inspectors;		
23	Davis-Besse, because of a lot of work we're doing and		
24	the oversight of the plant, we have a third Resident		
25	Inspector and did you want to talk a little bit		

1	about her background?	
2	MR. GROBE: Sure. I'll tell you a	
3	little bit about Monica. As Dave indicated, we now	
4	have three fully qualified Resident Inspectors at	
5	Davis-Besse. She was selected for this job a couple	
6	of months ago and just reported on Monday. She and	
7	her husband and five-year-old daughter are currently	
8	in temporary quarters, but will shortly be moving	
9	into a home in the area, and Monica comes to us from	
10	our Region I office, which is in Philadelphia,	
11	Pennsylvania. She's got a Bachelor's degree in	
12	chemistry from an esteemed university in Georgia, and	
13	then sought a Master's degree in Nuclear Engineering	
14	from Pennsylvania State University, was an engineer	
15	at the Three Mile Island plant in Pennsylvania for a	
16	couple of years and then took a job as an engineer	
17	with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in Region I	
18	and received her training and qualification in Region	
19	I, and they were upset to let her go, but we posted	
20	our third resident slot, and Monica applied, and is	
21	an outstanding candidate. We're really glad to have	
22	her on our team at Davis-Besse, so she and Chris	
23	Thomas and my goodness, Jack Rutkowski, are the	
24	three Residents at the site, that they're there every	
25	day inspecting the activities at Davis-Besse.	

1	Bill, why don't you summarize the afternoon		
2	meeting a little bit?		
3	MR. RULAND: Okay, thank you, Jack.		
4	Initially, Dave Passehl started our meeting off and		
5	we discussed the last meeting discussions basically.		
6	We reviewed significant NRC activities that		
7	had gone on this past month. We closed two restart		
8	checklist items; one on the emergency sump in		
9	containment and a license amendment of high and low		
10	pressure injection system where certain surveillance		
11	requirements were relocated to the updated safety		
12	analysis report.		
13	We also discussed a CAL update. We		
14	mentioned also that we did two exit interviews on the		
15	Corrective Action Team inspections and the System		
16	Health Inspections. Those internal exit meetings		
17	were conducted yesterday, and after regional		
18	management and 0350 panel reviews the results of		
19	those exits, the reports will be issued and whatever		
20	action that we're going to need to take, if any, will		
21	come out of that.		
22	In addition, we listed some upcoming NRC		
23	activities. As you might be aware, the licensee,		
24	FENOC, is pressurizing their reactor coolant system,		
25	and we have an upper upper under vessel head		

1	inspection planned. We have a planned public
2	meeting October 1st in the regional office in Lisle
3	on safety culture. The our inspection for
4	following up on what FENOC is doing on incomplete and
5	inaccurate documents, that will be going we'll
6	probably do that inspection starting the end of this
7	month, and we're also making plans for the Restart
8	Assessment Team Inspection, and so we basically
9	listed those upcoming NRC activities.
10	FENOC, Lew Myers, listed a number of things
11	that they're planning on doing to make sure that,
12	among other things well, excuse me for a second.
13	What they're doing, they described the plant response
14	to the loss of the transmission grid. In that
15	response, they stated that all equipment operated as
16	designed.
17	Later on in the meeting we found out that the
18	containment air coolers, apparently, there might have
19	been some anomalies associated with the containment
20	air coolers, and that was also discussed later in the
21	meeting.
22	We discussed the licensee discussed their
23	NOP test, the Normal Operating Pressure Test
24	preparations, and people, plant and processes that
25	they're going to examine during this test.

1	They discussed their expectations for people
2	and division and management responsibilities. They
3	discussed their inspection teams, that they've
4	trained those inspection teams for this NOP test.
5	They have over a thousand visual inspections planned.
6	They and they continued to discuss their
7	plans for both contingencies and post-maintenance and
8	modifications testing associated with that test.
9	There's a number of things they listed that
10	the NOP test is to accomplish. It's to test safety
11	equipment with a focus on important important
12	components. They're going to examine they're
13	going to use cameras stationed underneath the reactor
14	vessel to monitor for leakage, and they're going to
15	specifically monitor, like I said, over a thousand
16	components, or a thousand items, including the
17	reactor coolant pump seals in the reactor vessel
18	head.
19	In addition, they gave a list of what they
20	believe were accomplishments that they have had
21	throughout this outage in this long shutdown, and
22	basically listed mostly hardware corrections and
23	additions that they've made to increase margin during
24	the shutdown.
25	They discussed several technical issues with

1	us. The first one was the HPI pumps where they said	
2	that the final qualification testing started	
3	basically today, will go on for 21 days.	
4	In addition, they finalized the design in the	
5	sump, or the debris that this the pumps are going	
6	to have to pump in containment, and as a result of	
7	our learning that, we're planning to have a meeting	
8	with them to discuss the results of what their design	
9	is and their testing. That meeting will be planned	
10	for headquarters, but it has not yet been scheduled.	
11	Jim Powers also discussed the electrical	
12	distribution system, the activities they've done,	
13	that their analysis is complete and that the first	
14	mode 4 and 3 Mods were done and the second mode 3	
15	and 4 Mods have been at least identified.	
16	They further stated that contrary to some	
17	previous indications, no license amendment was going	
18	to be required for the second mode Mode 3 and 4.	
19	They also discussed the containment air	
20	coolers and the damage that the valves assemblies had	
21	incurred as a result of the loss of offsite power	
22	that happened at well, I think that all of you	
23	know that it happened, so we discussed that and that	
24	probably got the most lively exchange that we had,	
25	trying to understand, you know, exactly what the	

1	licensee, FENOC, was going to do going forward. I
2	don't think we we didn't get any real big issues
3	associated with that, but just an increased
4	understanding of where FENOC was headed on that.
5	Rick Dame discussed the Operational Readiness
6	Assessment Plan, and he pointed out, as we knew that
7	this was part of the restart checklist. They're
8	going to do a number of assessments during this NOP
9	test during these upcoming seven days.
10	In addition, if and those assessments are
11	basically focused on examining what operators and
12	plant management and staff, how they respond to
13	emerging issues, how they handle the plant
14	evolutions, and we also learned that if they don't
15	have enough evolutions, don't have enough emerging
16	issues, they plan on conducting exercises to test the
17	plant and test plant management of how they deal with
18	those issues. We also discussed how they're going
19	to manage those manage those exercises to minimize
20	any potential negative impact on the actual
21	operations of the plant.
22	Along those lines, they also discussed that
23	the they're going to have a number of assessments,
24	several assessment teams, one an internal assessment
25	team with FENOC folks, both line management, people

1	from Perry, Beaver Valley.
2	In addition, they were going to have external
3	independent assessors on site during that week in
4	addition to quality assurance, the quality assessment
5	organization was also all these three groups are
6	going to be performing assessments of the approach to
7	and the conduct of the NOP test.
8	During that after that particular
9	presentation on the NOP test, Jack remarked that it
10	sounded like they had a solid plan.
11	Steve Loehlein discussed the QA Oversight and
12	what they're doing both to examine the corrective
13	action review, the corrective action review they're
14	doing, and discussed how they plan on doing
15	assessment of restart activities.
16	Finally, Lew Myers discussed the actions that
17	he believed his company was putting in place to
18	anchor long-term improvements and a number of things
19	he listed was the new organization, improvements in
20	the safety margin that have been made by equipment
21	addition or reconditioning, new objectives and
22	measures that have been established, improvements in
23	personal performance and several other several
24	other items, and that's basically my summary of what
25	happened at the meeting.

1	MR. GROBE:	Okay, very good.
2	Thanks, Bill. At this poin	t we'd like to open it up
3	to any questions or comm	nents. I know that it's
4	sometimes difficult to kee	p a broad perspective on
5	what's going on, so we're eager to help you	
6	understand what's going on from the standpoint of the	
7	NRC oversight at Davis-E	Besse, as well as clarify for
8	you activities that are going on that the utility has	
9	underway and respond to any concerns or questions yo	
10	have, so, please, come forward, sign your name and	
11	ask us your question. V	ho has a question?
12	MR. DUSSEL:	(Indicating).
13	MR. GROBE:	Yes, sir.
14	MR. DUSSEL:	Maybe you could touch
15	base on	
16	MR. GROBE:	Why don't you come up
17	to the microphone, tell us	s your name, and sign in,
18	and then go ahead.	
19	MR. DUSSEL:	My name is Tim Dussel.
20	I'm a local citizen, and I would like to know if	
21	there's been any information brought out on how	
22	FirstEnergy is coming with the outside consultants	
23	that they've hired to see how the safety culture is	
24	coming? There's been very little said on any of	
25	that, and that was suppo	sed to have been like an

1	independent group that came in that was supposed to	
2	be looking at how things were going.	
3	MR. GROBE: Yeah, that's an	
4	excellent question. FirstEnergy conducted both	
5	internal and external assessments of safety culture,	
6	and they're continuing to conduct internal	
7	assessments as they continue to address areas of	
8	weakness. The external assessment was conducted	
9	under Dr. Sonia Haber, which we've she's appeared	
10	at some of our meetings and provided insights into	
11	what she is doing. The results of her assessment	
12	are available publicly. They're on our Web site.	
13	If you don't have access, we'd be glad to get you a	
14	copy of that report if you're interested. She	
15	identified several areas of strength and several	
16	opportunities for continued improvement at	
17	FirstEnergy, and they're proceeding with their	
18	management human performance improvement plan to	
19	address issues that they have identified as well as	
20	issues that Dr. Haber's team identified. We have a	
21	public meeting scheduled for October 1st, and I	
22	appreciate you asking this question because it gives	
23	me an opportunity to share with you that we don't	
24	like having meetings away from the immediate vicinity	
25	of the plant here, at the high school or over at Camp	

1	Perry, because it makes it more difficult for you
2	folks to get involved in those meetings. So what we
3	would do is we put together both a telephone as well
4	as a video teleconferencing link and free of
5	charge free to you, you can dial in and be
6	connected to that meeting and listen to the business
7	portion of the meeting if you're interested and also
8	participate in the question and answer session
9	afterwards, and that's been very effective for past
10	meetings that we've had to conduct either in Chicago,
11	the Region III office, or in Washington at the
12	headquarter's offices, so those meetings that we're
13	going to be conducting outside of the immediate area
14	here, we'll have those kinds of access via the
15	telephone link if you're unable to travel to the
16	Windy City or down to our nation's Capitol, but Dr.
17	Haber's report is complete. It's publicly available
18	and FirstEnergy is addressing those issues, and they
19	will be discussing not only the actions they've taken
20	to date, and the assessments they're doing to date,
21	also the assessments they're going to continue to do
22	between now and the time they request restart, but
23	also their long-term improvement initiatives in
24	safety culture and their long-term plans for
25	assessing those improvements, and by long-term, I

1	mean over the next several	years, including how
2	they're going to share the results of those	
3	assessments publicly, so the	at's the purpose of the
4	October 1st meeting. It's a	continuing dialogue of
5	the dialogue we've been have	ving over several of our
6	meetings in the safety cultur	re area. Today's
7	presentation, this afternoon	, the last section of
8	that presentation and I'm	not sure, were there
9	additional copies of the licer	nsee's slides out on the
10	table?	
11	MS. KELLER:	Yes.
12	MR. GROBE:	There were?
13	MS. KELLER:	Yes.
14	MR. GROBE:	f there are, it's the
15	last four or five pages of the	eir presentation.
16	They're kind of an indicator	of those activities that
17	they have done. As Bill art	iculated, Lew described
18	actions that they've taken to	o anchor the
19	phraseology he used was to	o anchor the improvements
20	going forward and allow co	ntinued improvement so you
21	can get a snapshot of the k	inds of things we're going
22	to be talking about in much	more detail on October
23	1st.	
24	MR. DUSSEL:	Also, I read an
25	article and have heard on the	he news and other things,

1	the 1986 incident where, I forget what the amount	
2	FirstEnergy was fined, but it was dropped by almost	
3	50% because FirstEnergy was doing such a good job on	
4	their corrosion program, and here we are 14 years	
5	later, same circumstance basically. I'd like to	
6	know what the NRC, how they feel they handled the '86	
7	and what they plan on doing with this incident as far	
8	as fines or people being held accountable.	
9	MR. GROBE: Right.	
10	MR. DUSSEL: It seems like we	
11	should learn from history, and I don't think someone	
12	is doing a real good job of it.	
13	MR. GROBE: The situation that	
14	occurred in the mid '80s didn't have anything to do	
15	with boric acid corrosion, and there was a protracted	
16	shutdown of the plant, and the plant restarted after	
17	that, but the the area that you started asking	
18	questions about is really what I view as the area	
19	that will ensure that there is no recurrence of this	
20	kind of a situation.	
21	The NRC oversight panel for Davis-Besse has	
22	two responsibilities. One is to define what is	
23	necessary prior to restart, to evaluate the	
24	licensee's accomplishments of those activities that	
25	are required and to share all that information	

1	publicly.
2	In addition to that, we're responsible prior
3	to recommending restart of the plant the panel
4	doesn't actually approve restart, we make a
5	recommendation to our bosses, Jim Caldwell in Region
6	III and Jim Dyer in NRR and Bill Travers, who is the
7	head of the Agency and the final decision rests will
8	Jim Caldwell, on the advice of Jim Dyer and Bill
9	Travers, but the other half of our responsibility is
10	to assess not only will the plant be able to restart
11	safely, but will it be able to operate into the
12	future safely, and the key to that is the management
13	human performance area, and that is establishing the
14	right expectations of the standards in the safety
15	culture area as well as management organizational
16	effectiveness, setting clear expectations for staff
17	and holding people accountable to those.
18	With respect to the past, the boric acid
19	corrosion with the reactor head excuse me, the
20	Company did comprehensive investigation of that and
21	took a large number of personal actions including
22	terminations and demotions and reassignments of those
23	people who they believe didn't meet their
24	expectations.

The NRC likewise has an investigation ongoing

25

1	looking into those issues. No enforcement action
2	will likely be taken until the completion of all
3	those investigative activities we have underway.
4	Our office of investigation has regular briefing, the
5	oversight panel as well as Senior Management with the
6	Region in headquarters on their progress on the
7	investigation. And as that investigation evolves, if
8	it appears that there's a need for immediate
9	enforcement action, we would take that. Otherwise,
10	we'll wait until the completion of that investigation
11	before enforcement action will be taken.
12	MR. DUSSEL: Well, like I say, it's
13	been well over a year since all this took place, and
14	I don't understand I realize you want to change
15	the rules and have different inspections and you have
16	oversight committees and all this, but all the stuff
17	that was put in place before any of this happened,
18	all the checks and balances, I still am completely
19	amazed at how this could happen. I'm totally
20	amazed, and I don't care how many more rules and how
21	much more paperwork you make, that's not going to
22	change anything if no one is held responsible and no
23	one is doing their job. That really bothers me, and
24	I don't see anything that's really being changed on
25	that. I see a lot of different ideas, different

1	rules and different titles and different	
2	classifications, but nothing's really has been	
3	addressed on how this all took place to begin with.	
4	It amazes me because there was so many checks and	
5	balances that was put in place before and how did	
6	this all take place?	
7	MR. GROBE: I'm not sure I have	
8	articulated it clearly enough, but the Company has	
9	taken action which resulted in termination or	
10	separation of a number of individuals ranging from	
11	front level engineers up through vice presidents,	
12	and, in addition to that, there's a number of	
13	additional individuals who were given opportunities	
14	for other jobs at other locations or were demoted or	
15	were counselled, so there are individuals at the	
16	plant that have been held accountable by FirstEnergy,	
17	and they have discussed that during these public	
18	meetings. In addition to that, once we complete our	
19	investigations we will hold FirstEnergy, and as	
20	appropriate, individuals accountable pursuant to our	
21	enforcement action.	
22	MR. DUSSEL: Well, I just think it	
23	would be nice if the public was informed more of what	
24	was going on. Like I say, it's been well over a year	
25	since this has all taken place, and it just seems	

1	like you just keep having more meetings and more	
2	meetings, and it will all slide off the back burner	
3	sooner or later.	
4	MR. GROBE: Okay, appreciate your	
5	comments. While the next person is approaching the	
6	podium, I'd just like to share a perspective with	
7	you, and I would appreciate if you have a different	
8	perspective that you share it either personally today	
9	or you can certainly fill out a feedback form, you	
10	don't even have to put a stamp on it, we'll receive	
11	it, just drop it in the mail.	
12	I have been involved in five plant recoveries	
13	and restart efforts over my career, and this activity	
14	has been conducted with an unprecedented amount of	
15	public access to information. We publish a monthly	
16	newsletter that we bring to the meeting here. We	
17	also send it to Congress. We send it to State and	
18	local officials and all of your media outlets,	
19	newspapers and radios and things of that. We also	
20	make it available on the Web site, and I think we're	
21	in our 13th issue this month. There's just a	
22	compendium of information in there that talks about	
23	all of these subjects as well as many others.	
24	In addition to that, we've conducted if	
25	you total public meetings, briefings of State,	

1	Federal and local officials, nearly a hundred		
2	meetings to keep people informed on what's going on		
3	I meet with your County Administrator, and usually		
4	several of your County	board members on a monthly	
5	basis to talk through w	hat's going on, receive their	
6	perspective and they're	e able to ask me questions.	
7	We meet with the	State of Ohio on a quarterly	
8	basis. We've briefed to	he Governor. I meet with the	
9	staff of your Federal el	staff of your Federal elected officials,	
10	representatives and th	ne senators in Washington on a	
11	regular basis, so we h	ave attempted to be as	
12	transparent as we car	and provide as much public	
13	information as we can	information as we can, and if there is other ways	
14	that we can provide	that we can provide can be more effective in	
15	providing information,	providing information, we're all ears, because that's	
16	why we're here, so I lo	why we're here, so I look forward to any additional	
17	comments on how we	comments on how we can do better in that regard.	
18	Who would like to	Who would like to ask a question?	
19	MR. KHAN:	(Indicating).	
20	MR. GROBE:	Come on forward.	
21	MR. KHAN:	Just going back. If I	
22	can just follow-up		
23	MR. GROBE:	Could you tell us your	
24	name and sign in, plea	name and sign in, please?	
25	MR. KHAN:	Yes, it's Ashar Khan.	

1	Just following up on that, when is your
2	investigation likely to be to get some report on
3	it, just going back to the previous guy's the
4	critical time is coming for the start up. Can I just
5	ask, where is the investigation reporting in terms of
6	its readiness?
7	MR. GROBE: Investigations are a
8	lot like fine wine. They're not ready until they
9	are ready. The investigations have been ongoing for
10	quite awhile. It was initiated, I believe, last
11	April not last April, April of 2000 2002, and there has
12	been an extraordinary amount of evidence evaluated
13	and reviewed. The investigation is in its final
14	stages. I can't project when it will be completed,
15	but what I can tell you is that the Oversight Panel
16	has received regular briefings from the
17	investigators. The folks that are working for the
18	Oversight Panel, accomplishing reviews and activities
19	for us have been reviewing the evidence and receiving
20	briefings from the investigative team to ensure that
21	if there is any immediate safety issues that are
22	evolving from that investigation, that they will be
23	addressed before restart.
24	With respect to public access to the
25	investigation, the NRC doesn't have the authority to

1	prosecute individuals. We have a relationship with
2	the Department of Justice, so before anything can be
3	released publicly, the process would have to go
4	through its entire set of paces, which would include
5	completion of the investigation. If there is any
6	indication of wrongdoing, deliberate or willful
7	violations of our requirements, it has criminal
8	sanctions as well as civil sanctions associated with
9	them, so an individual could be held criminally
10	responsible for acts of deliberate violation
11	requirements. Our Office of Investigation has a
12	relationship with the Department of Justice. If that
13	were the case, if that was the conclusion of the
14	investigation, they would brief the Department of
15	Justice, the Department of Justice would evaluate the
16	case and determine whether it's appropriate to
17	proceed to a Grand Jury. Because, I'm sure you can
18	appreciate because of all of that, the release of
19	any information is completely inappropriate, and so
20	what the message you need to take away from this
21	is that we are actively engaged in evaluating the
22	ongoing investigation and the information they're
23	developing, and if there is anything relevant to the
24	safe restart of this plant, we will address that
25	prior to the restart of the plant.

1	MR. KHAN: I guess just judging
2	from your tone and the way you answered the question,
3	you've already taken, you know, changes in management
4	and terminating people and everything and from where
5	the investigation report might be in terms of, you
6	know, the data gathering and assessment, I think,
7	there is it seems like there is nothing in the
8	investigation report, it seems like 'til this present
9	time, which would be in any way be more harmful or in
10	any way stop the process of the restart, otherwise
11	you would have addressed it with current management.
12	MR. GROBE: I think what you need
13	to appreciate is that before we would make a restart
14	decision, those issues, if they exist, would be
15	addressed. I don't want you to draw any conclusions
16	from what I'm saying because that's not appropriate.
17	Prior to any kind of restart decision, which isn't
18	happening today or tomorrow or next week, these
19	issues will be appropriately addressed if there are
20	any.
21	MR. KHAN: Second, if I can go to
22	your safety culture test, and we appreciate the NRC
23	being focused on it, but I wanted to get a view,
24	'cause safety culture, you know, I guess the method
25	and methodology being inculcated in towards the

1	workers and management can only be witnessed as seen
2	over a period of time to assess whether it is working
3	or not, and as these reports just came out about,
4	what was it, two months ago or three months ago when
5	the independent assessment report came out in May, if
6	I'm right, May or June time period, what is the
7	thesis or what is the working analysis by you guys?
8	What period do you have to observe that those things
9	have been inculcated within the work force and
10	management and it is working in a manner that you
11	would feel comfortable with and because it's
12	basically a timing issue, the way I look at it,
13	because observations and timing of each particular
14	worker is really appreciated. If we can get a little
15	bit more feedback from you guys as to what time frame
16	you look at. You must have time frame to look over
17	assessment and observations.
18	MR. GROBE: It's an excellent
19	question. The NRC does not have any requirements,
20	any direct requirements, that address safety culture.
21	We have performance expectations that we expect our
22	licensees to meet. Some of those performance
23	expectations include not retaliating against
24	individuals for raising concerns. We have a
25	specific requirement in that area. There's other

1 requirements in our regulations that address the need 2 for an effective Corrective Action Program. 3 In addition, the Commission has established some policy statements with respect to safety 5 culture, but we don't have an inspection program per 6 se on safety culture. The way the NRC has 7 approached regulatory oversight of nuclear power plants in the United States is what we call 8 9 performance based. We look at the safety of the 10 plant, and then if there's a significant performance 11 deficiency in the safety of the plant we make sure 12 that the root causes of that issue are addressed and 13 ensure that significant issues don't recur, and 14 that's what this panel is all about. What happened 15 at Davis-Besse is very rare in the nuclear industry 16 in the United States, and this is -- in the last five 17 years, this is the only plant that has gotten into a 18 situation where it has needed an oversight panel of 19 this nature, so the first assessment -- you've asked 20 a number of really good questions, the first 21 assessment that was done was completed, I believe, 22 was in August of 2002. That assessment in that 23 particular area of safety culture has been repeated 24 and corrective actions were initiated. You're 25 absolutely right, cultural issues take time to

ı	change, and that same assessment approach was
2	repeated in the spring of this year, and this was all
3	reported in our public meetings, and you can find it
4	on the Web site, and there were significant
5	improvements in all areas of that specific activity.
6	In addition to that, the external safety
7	culture assessment was conducted in February of this
8	year, so that was about seven months ago, and that
9	was after the Company had initiated they have a
10	return to service plan, they call it a return to
11	service plan which has seven building blocks. One
12	of those building blocks is management human
13	performance, so it was clear from the Company's
14	perspective right at the beginning that they had some
15	management organizational problems and they had some
16	human performance problems both at the employee
17	level, the supervisor level and management level.
18	They've that was part of their building blocks and
19	return to service plan right from the start, and they
20	performed a number of root cause assessments, so this
21	has been an ongoing activity for the better part of
22	probably 15 or 16 months. I do not expect that this
23	is going to be a what's commonly referred to in a
24	business vernacular as a high performing organization
25	at the time of restart. Our standard is that it's

1	good enough that we believe it will operate safely,
2	but we also believe that there will need to be
3	continuing improvements after restart in this area to
4	ensure you used the word inculcate, that's a good
5	word. Lew Myers used the word anchored changes, and
6	that's also another good word, but the goal is to
7	ensure that these issues are addressed and not only
8	addressed at the time of restart, but continuing on
9	in the future, so there's two parts of the meeting on
10	October 1st. The first part is dealing with
11	activities that have occurred in the past, their
12	assessments in the past and what they're planning on
13	doing prior to requesting a restart authorization
14	from us, and then going in the future, what they plan
15	on doing continuing into the future, how they're
16	going to assess activities going into the future,
17	using inside assessments on their quality assurance
18	organization, as well as external assessments, and
19	then how they're going to communicate those
20	assessments and their progress publicly. The panel
21	will stay in existence long after restart and will
22	continue to monitor performance in areas just like
23	this one and will continue to have public meetings to
24	discuss this. That was kind of a long-winded
25	answer. Did I

1	MR. KHAN:	So I guess what I'm
2	getting is that there ha	ave been improvements made,
3	seems like those impr	ovements are satisfactory. You
4	expect to continue to r	monitor safety culture even
5	after the start date goi	ng forward?
6	MR. GROBE:	Yeah, and you took
7	that a little further I thin	nk than what I said. We
8	have an inspection tea	am that's ongoing right now.
9	We call it our manage	ment human performance
10	inspection, and it inclu	udes experts from our
11	headquarters office in	safety culture, as well as
12	safety conscious work	k environment.
13	In addition, they	are experts from outside
14	the industry who have	e years of experience of
15	successful operation	of nuclear power plants, as well
16	as specific experience	e in recovering plants that had
17	safety culture problen	ns. These are two retired
18	senior executives fror	m the nuclear industry that are
19	experts in this area.	That inspection team is
20	ongoing, and we have	e not yet concluded that they have
21	made sufficient progre	ess, so that's at the October
22	1 meeting we're going	g to receive FirstEnergy's
23	assessment of where	they think they are and that
24	inspection will continu	ue beyond October 1.
25	MR. KHAN:	So these two

1	individuals, they would	n't be there at the October
2	1st meeting, will they,	to present any of their
3	analysis	
4	MR. GROBE:	No.
5	MR. KHAN:	or when can we
6	expect	
7	MR. GROBE:	No, we will not be
8	discussing inspection i	results at that meeting.
9	That's a meeting for Fi	rstEnergy to tell us what they
10	think.	
11	MR. KHAN:	Okay.
12	MR. GROBE:	And for us to question
13	them.	
14	MR. KHAN:	When can we get some
15	views from those two	guys that you mentioned?
16	MR. GROBE:	Well, it's not just
17	those two guys. Let n	ne give you a little more
18	detail. I mean, they a	are two very important people
19	'cause they give the ir	spection team breath and depth
20	of experience. We ha	ave an individual from our
21	Office of Research wh	o has a Ph.D. in Industrial
22	Psychology and has a	bout 25 years of experience in
23	the safety culture area	a.
24	We have two oth	er individuals from the Office
25	of Nuclear Reactor Re	egulation who are experts in

1	human performance ar	nd in organizational
2	effectiveness.	
3	In addition, we ha	ve an individual from the
4	Office of Nuclear Reac	tor Regulation who has both NRC
5	and industry experienc	e in safety conscious work
6	environment, and she's	s back with us again, and she's
7	on this team. The team	m is being led by the Senior
8	Engineer from our Reg	ion III office who has 30 years
9	of experience in nuclea	ar plant operations and
10	regulatory oversight.	
11	In addition to that	t, these two individuals
12	broaden the team with	the industry executive
13	experience, so I don't	want you to look at this as a
14	two person inspection.	
15	MR. KHAN:	Okay.
16	MR. GROBE:	It's a very broad and
17	balanced inspection to	eam with a wealth of experience.
18	I anticipate that we'll b	e having a public dialogue
19	on the results of that in	nspection when it's
20	completed, and that w	ill be prior to restart.
21	MR. KHAN:	Okay. So that we
22	should expect someting	ne in October?
23	MR. GROBE:	No, you should expect
24	it before restart.	
25	MR. KHAN:	Before restart.

1	Okay. I appreciate that.
2	MR. GROBE: Thank you. You folks
3	are asking excellent questions. I know there is
4	another question out there.
5	(BRIEF PAUSE).
6	Let me make a couple of comments about some
7	upcoming activities that Bill highlighted but just
8	reinforce those. We have talked a lot about safety
9	culture tonight, and we have that meeting on October
10	1st. You're certainly welcome to participate in that
11	meeting by telephone or in person. Chicago is a
12	lovely place.
13	The in addition to that, Bill mentioned
14	that we had exit meetings on two very important
15	inspections; one is the System Health inspection.
16	That's looking at the equipment, the hardware in the
17	plant, and the other one was the Corrective Action
18	Team inspection, that's looking at the effectiveness
19	of the Corrective Action Program. We already
20	inspected the improvements that the Company was
21	making to their Corrective Action Program and
22	concluded that those improvements on paper looked
23	fine. This inspection team just to give you a
24	sense, it was 10 inspectors, well over 200 years of
25	experience, assessing this kind of an area of nuclear

1	plant operations. Their goal was to look at the
2	implementation and effectiveness of the Corrective
3	Action Program. Those exits we call it an exit,
4	that's the end of the inspection when the inspectors
5	give a final debrief of the utility on their
6	inspection findings. Those findings are
7	pre-decisional. They need to be reviewed, as Bill
8	indicated, by Regional Management, as well as
9	reviewed by the panel. I anticipate a public
10	meeting sometime in the next several weeks to discuss
11	the results of those two inspections.
12	In addition, the high pressure injection
13	pumps are a very unique problem that Davis-Besse has
14	and they've developed some solutions to that problem.
15	They're far enough along in those solutions to have
16	an effective meeting with us, and we're planning a
17	public meeting to specifically discuss the details of
18	that rather unique equipment problem and how they're
19	solving it, and we have an assessment ongoing in that
20	area also.
21	In that regard, we've had people from the
22	office that Bill works in to Alabama, the test
23	facility, where they're testing the high pressure
24	injection pump and the modications set pump, as well
25	as an engineer and engineering supervisor from the

1	organization that Bill works for in the Washington
2	area, to the licensee's contracted engineering firm
3	that's evaluating the design of those high pressure
4	injection pumps, and, so far, those evaluations are
5	going forward without any significant issues being
6	identified, but that's an activity that's also
7	ongoing, and we plan to have more trips to Alabama to
8	witness this testing as it's going on over the next
9	several weeks, so there's a lot of things happening.
10	It's an exciting time.
11	Who else has questions?
12	(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE).
13	(BRIEF PAUSE).
14	Well, we will be up here after the meeting,
15	and if you want to come up and ask us a question
16	personally, that's fine. We also have the feedback
17	forms in the outside vestibule here, which, if you
18	want to jot us a note, that's a freebee, just take a
19	few minutes, write us a note.
20	In addition to that, we have our monthly
21	newsletter which has some egregiously bad information
22	in it. The next public meeting is October 7th at
23	2:00 and 7:00, but it's not at Oak Harbor like the
24	newsletter says. It's at Camp Perry, so join us next
25	month at Camp Perry.

1	Also in that newsletter are included the
2	phone number and E-mail address for our Public
3	Affairs Officer, Jan Strasma, and his assistant, Vika
4	Mitlyng, and they always have access to me and the
5	staff in Region III in NRR if they can't answer your
6	question, so you have a lot of opportunities to gain
7	additional information and get your questions
8	answered if you're not one of those that likes to
9	come forward to the podium, so, with that, our last
10	call for questions.
11	(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE).
12	Thank you very much for coming out this
13	evening, and, like I said, we'll be here for awhile
14	if you have any questions.
15	
16	
17	THEREUPON, the meeting was adjourned.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OHIO)
3) ss. COUNTY OF HURON)
4	I Marlana C. Lavia, Chanatura Banartar and
5	I, Marlene S. Lewis, Stenotype Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State aforesaid,
6	duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the foregoing, consisting of 35 pages, was taken by me in stenotype and was reduced to writing by me
7	by means of Computer-Aided Transcription; that the foregoing is a true and complete transcript of the
8	proceedings held in that room on the 10th day of September, 2003 before the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
9	Commission. I also further certify that I was present in
10	the room during all of the proceedings.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	and seal of office at Wakeman, Ohio this day of . 2003.
13	, 2003.
14	
15	Marlene S. Lewis Notary Public
16	3922 Court Road Wakeman, OH 44889
17	My commission expires 4/29/04
18	iny commission expires 1/25/61
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	