

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		Conversation Date: 9/29/03	
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD		Time:	
Mail Control No.:	License No.:	Docket No.:	
N/A (NOTE TO FILE)	37-30804-02	030-36239	
Licensee/Applicant Participant(s):	Organization:	Telephone No.:	
Sharon Turner, RSO and Rich Kiper (Landis)	CFC Logistics, Inc.	215 529 9522	
Person(s) Calling: Sattar Lodhi			
Subject: Changes in the Plenum			
<p>Summary:</p> <p>I called Sharon to discuss why Reviss was worried about the pool water getting into the plenum tubes, because the sources are approved for use in underwater or dry source storage irradiators. She was unable to answer the question and directed me to call Rick Kiper at Landis Engineering.</p> <p>I called Rick, who is the project manager for the manufacture of the plenum. He stated that Reviss was concerned that small amount of water on the sources may leave some deposits that could possibly affect the cladding of the sources. He also stated that the valve removal took place a few weeks ago to check if the system will work, and then they replaced the valve after this testing.</p>			
Action Required/Taken: Document			
Prepared By: Sattar Lodhi		Date: 9/29/03	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		Conversation Date: 9/30/03	
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD		Time: 9:00 a.m.	
Mail Control No.:	License No.:	Docket No.:	
N/A (NOTE TO FILE)	37-30804-02	030-36239	
Licensee/Applicant Participant(s):	Organization:	Telephone No.:	
Russell Stein, Vice President of Gray*Star	CFC Logistics, Inc.	973 479 8604	
Person(s) Calling: Sattar Lodhi			
Subject: Changes in the Plenum			
<p>Summary:</p> <p>There was a message (left yesterday after I had left the office) from Russell Stein on my voice mail asking me to call him so that he can explain the reasons why they want the change in the plenum. I returned his call and told him that Rick Kiper has already explained to me the reasons of Reviss' concern. He stated that the valve was removed about three weeks ago, but they reinstalled the valve after my conversation with Sharon on September 25, 2003, when I informed her that they could not make any changes to the plenum without NRC's approval.</p> <p>He wanted to know if NRC will approve the requested change before this Thursday. I told him that the request is under review and I could not tell him how long it will take to complete our review. He stated that the system without the valve is much better and safer.</p> <p>He also wanted to know if an inspector will be coming to CFC on Thursday, and who will be coming to the site. I told him that inspection schedules and assignment of inspectors is decided by our management. He stated that right now he is on his way to the site (CFC) for a walk though tour.</p>			
Action Required/Taken: Document			
Prepared By: Sattar Lodhi		Date: 9/30/03	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		Conversation Date: 9/25/03	
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD		Time: 3:30 p.m.	
Mail Control No.:	License No.:	Docket No.:	
N/A (NOTE TO FILE)	37-30804-02	030-36239	
Licensee/Applicant Participant(s):	Organization:	Telephone No.:	
Sharon Turner, RSO	CFC Logistics, Inc.	215 529 9522	
Person(s) Calling: Sattar Lodhi			
Subject: Modification to Plenum			
<p>Summary:</p> <p>The RSO called to inform me that she is sending the notification of arrival of licensed material (as required by the license condition). During the conversation, she also mentioned that they had to take off the check valve from the bottom of the plenum because Reviss had expressed some reservation that moisture may seep into the tubes because of lower pressure in the tubes compared to the water pressure at the bottom of the pool.</p> <p>I told her that Condition 18 of their license does not authorize any modification of the plenum without approval from the NRC, and that they need to request such an authorization from the NRC before they make any changes. She stated that she can have the valve put back, and send a letter to the NRC.</p>			
Action Required/Taken: Document			
Prepared By: Sattar Lodhi		Date: 9/29/03	