

From: Gilbert Millman
To: Mindy Landau
Date: 3/5/02 9:42AM
Subject: Comments on Draft Memorandum for Release of Information to the Public

Mindy, You did a good job on the draft document. My few comments follow:

Page 1, Para. 2: To provide the sense of the SRM, it should be stated that the draft criteria were disapproved by the Commission.

Page 2, First two bullets: Clarification of precedence of bullets -- If a document is available in ADAMS as of the date of the guidance, and was removed from the external web page following 9/11, does that document have to undergo a systematic review? I believe this may be a common situation. Example; RGs were removed from the external web after 9/11, but many (most) were never removed from ADAMS. Do RGs have to be systematically reviewed prior to reposting?

P-43