Appendix D

Contains Mathcad worksheets for;

- 1) Evaluations of Curve Fitting method.
- 2) Demonstration of the validity of the Moving Average method.
- 3) Comparison of SICF for the Edge Crack Formulation and Current model.
- 4) Comparison of Conventional and Current model for OD Surface Crack.
- 5) Comparison of Current model with Conventional model and edge Crack. model for Through-wall Crack.

This Appendix has five (5) Attachments.

Appendix D; Attachment 1 Page 1 of 7 Enginering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Evaluation of Curve fit for Stress Profile Generation along the Tube Axis

In this worksheet the effect of data set selection for curve fitting, using a third order polynomial is evaluated. The data table below is form a data set used in the CEDM analyses. This data set is imported directly from the Excel spreadsheet provided by Dominion Engineering for the CEDM. The evaluation considers the full data set and a limited data set spanning the region of interest.

The purpose of this evaluation is to demonstrate the need for the proper selection of a subset of nodal stress data (in the region of interest) to ensure the accuracy of the analysis.

Data set imported from Excel spreadsheet.

AllData :=

| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|----|--------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | 0 | 0.0000 | -28.3240 | -12.1600 | -21.0000 |
| [| 1 | 0.3500 | -18.7940 | -6.6070 | 3.6550 |
| | 2 | 0.6300 | -17.8380 | -4.4070 | 2.0800 |
| | 3 | 0.8540 | -20.5170 | -5.9020 | -1.5360 |
| | 4 | 1.0340 | -19.6630 | -5.2880 | 1.4600 |
| | 5 | 1.1780 | -17.2030 | -0.5150 | 21.0190 |
| ľ | 6 | 1.2930 | -8.0230 | 10.4610 | 37.2890 |
| Ī | 7 | 1.4420 | 4.7780 | 24.9030 | 54.0890 |
| ľ | 8 | 1.5910 | 13.2520 | 35.2780 | 66.5170 |
| | 9 | 1.7400 | 16.0010 | 39.1940 | 75.0010 |
| ľ | 10 | 1.8890 | 15.8570 | 40.2350 | 74.8740 |
| ſ | 11 | 2.0380 | 12.6290 | 41.2630 | 66.7770 |
| Ī | 12 | 2.1870 | 10.0610 | 39.6280 | 55.0120 |
| | 13 | 2.3360 | 11.1610 | 35.6460 | 37.5700 |
| | 14 | 2.4850 | 17.2630 | 31.3090 | 24.6930 |
| | 15 | 2.6340 | 27.2640 | 26.5110 | 17.4680 |
| | 16 | 2.7830 | 35.4650 | 27.1090 | 16.3050 |
| ľ | 17 | 2.9930 | 39.9490 | 31.3960 | 12.4040 |
| Ī | 18 | 3.0820 | 39.5470 | 37.1560 | 1.4480 |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

AxILen := AllData
$$\langle 0 \rangle$$

 $IDAII := AIIData^{\langle 1 \rangle}$

MidWall := AllData (2)

 $ODAII := AIIData^{\langle 3 \rangle}$

Appendix D; Attachment 1 Page 2 of 7 Enginering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$ID_{AII} := regress(AxILen, IDAII, 3)$$

$$ROD_{AII} := regress(AxILen, ODAII, 3)$$

$$Selected subset from the data table above from table above fro$$

Bottom := 0 Top := 3.2

WB := 1.74

 $D := WB - Bottom \qquad Incr1 := \frac{D}{20}$

Dist := Top – Bottom

Incr := $\frac{\text{Dist}}{20}$

Appendix D; Attachment 1 Page 3 of 7 Enginering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$L_0 := 0 - Incr$$

$$Ien_0 := 0 - Incrl$$

$$i := 1 .. 20$$

$$L_i := L_{i-1} + Incr$$

$$Len_i := Len_{i-1} + Incrl$$

Determination of Stresses at three locations across wall thickness, using the full data set

$$ID_{all_i} := RID_{All_3} + RID_{All_4} \cdot L_i + RID_{All_5} \cdot (L_i)^2 + RID_{All_6} \cdot (L_i)^3$$

$$MW_{all_{i}} := RMW_{All_{3}} + RMW_{All_{4}} \cdot L_{i} + RMW_{All_{5}} \cdot (L_{i})^{2} + RMW_{All_{6}} \cdot (L_{i})^{3}$$
$$OD_{all_{i}} := ROD_{All_{3}} + ROD_{All_{4}} \cdot L_{i} + ROD_{All_{5}} \cdot (L_{i})^{2} + ROD_{All_{6}} \cdot (L_{i})^{3}$$

Determination of Stresses at three locations across wall thickness, using the selected data set

$$ID_{data_{i}} := RID_{data_{3}} + RID_{data_{4}} \cdot Len_{i} + RID_{data_{5}} \cdot (Len_{i})^{2} + RID_{data_{6}} \cdot (Len_{i})^{3}$$

$$MW_{data_{i}} := RMW_{data_{3}} + RMW_{data_{4}} \cdot Len_{i} + RMW_{data_{5}} \cdot (Len_{i})^{2} + (RMW_{data_{6}}) \cdot (Len_{i})^{3}$$

$$OD_{data_{i}} := ROD_{data_{3}} + ROD_{data_{4}} \cdot Len_{i} + ROD_{data_{5}} \cdot (Len_{i})^{2} + ROD_{data_{6}} \cdot (Len_{i})^{3}$$

Appendix D; Attachment 1 Page 4 of 7 Enginering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Graphical Display of Results



Nodal stress data plotted for the ID and the OD distribution. This plot is based on the full data set.

ID Stress Distribution:-

Comparison of regression fit versus the full data set. The third-order polynomial does not provide an accurate fit. The trend in the data is captured.

Appendix D; Attachment 1 Page 5 of 7 Enginering Report M-EP-2003-002-01



OD Stress Distribution:-

Comparison of regression fit versus the full data set. The third-order polynomial does not provide an accurate fit. The trend in the data is captured.



Mid-Wall Stress Distribution:-

Comparison of regression fit versus the full data set. The third-order polynomial does not provide an accurate fit. The trend in the data is captured.

Appendix D; Attachment 1 Page 6 of 7 Enginering Report M-EP-2003-002-01



ID Stress Distribution (Selected Data Set):-Comparison of regression fit versus the selected data set. The third-order polynomial provides an accurate fit.

Mid-Wall Stress Distribution (Selected Data Set):-Comparison of regression fit versus the selected data set. The third-order polynomial provides an accurate fit.

Appendix D; Attachment 1 Page 7 of 7 Enginering Report M-EP-2003-002-01



OD Stress Distribution (Selected Data Set):-Comparison of regression fit versus the selected data set. The third-order polynomial provides an accurate fit.

Conclusion :- By selecting the data judiciously, in the region of interest, facilitates an accurate regression fit of the data.

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 1 of 8 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Example Worksheet Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc.

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Verified by: B. C. Gray

Example to Evaluate Moving Stress Averaging Technique

Basis :- In this worksheet the moving average method is exercised to demonstrate that no numerical errors exist. In this example a linear through-wall stress distribution that remains constant over the length of the nozzle is used. Thus the moving average method, if working properly should provide the same linear through-wall distribution at all segments considered.

This worksheet is developed using the stress distribution analysis portion from the working worksheets used in the analyses. The data table in the worksheet was modified with the entry of a linear throughwall stress distribution at all axial height locations. The result of the moving average technique was output as a table.

The first Required input is a location for a point on the tube elevation to define the point of interest (e.g. The top of the Blind Zone, or bottom of fillet weld etc.). This reference point is necessary to evaluate the stress distribution on the flaw both for the initial flaw and for a growing flaw. This is defined as the reference point. Enter a number (inch) that represents the reference point elevation measured upward from the nozzle end.

$Ref_{Point} := 1.544$

To place the flaw with respect to the reference point, the flaw tips and center can be located as follows:

1) The Upper "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 1)

2) The Center of the flaw at the reference point (Enter 2)

3) The lower "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 3).

Val := 1

The Input Below is the Upper Limit for the evaluation, which is the bottom of the fillet weld leg. This is shown on the Excel spread sheet as weld bottom. Enter this dimension (measured from nozzle bottom) below.

UL_{Strs.Dist} := 2.75 Upper axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the Analysis (Axial distance above nozzle bottom).

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 2 of 8 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Only input data pertinent to this worksheet are provided. The internal pressure and the information for the PWSCC crack growth, which are not essential to the example problem, have been removed.

Input Data :-

| L := .35 | Initial Flaw Length |
|----------------|---------------------|
| $a_0 := 0.035$ | Initial Flaw Depth |
| od := 4.05 | Tube OD |
| id := 2.728 | Tube ID |

$$R_0 := \frac{od}{2}$$
 $R_{id} := \frac{id}{2}$ $t := R_0 - R_{id}$ $R_m := R_{id} + \frac{t}{2}$ $Tim_{opr} := Years \cdot 365 \cdot 24$

 $c_0 := \frac{L}{2} \qquad R_t := \frac{R_m}{t}$

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 3 of 8

The stress input table that is used to import the nodal stress data was modified. The stress input was manually entered as a linear through-wall distribution at all axial height locations. The table entries below shows the entries used.

Stress Input Data

Input all available Nodal stress data in the table below. The column designations are as follows:

Column "0" = Axial distance from minimum to maximum recorded on data sheet (inches) Column "1" = ID Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "2" = Quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "3" = Mid Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "4" = Three quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

Column "5" = OD Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

AllData :=

| - | 0 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----|------|---|----|----|----|----|
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 1 | 0.35 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 2 | 0.63 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 3 | 0.85 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 4 | 1.03 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 5 | 1.18 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 6 | 1.29 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 7 | 1.44 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 8 | 1.59 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 9 | 1.74 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 10 | 1.89 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 11 | 2.04 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |

AXLen := AllData⁽⁰⁾
$$ID_{All} := AllData^{(1)} OD_{All} := AllData^{(5)}$$

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 4 of 8

The graph below is a plot of the table data in the previous page. Note the horizontal lines for the ID and OD stress distribution along the nozzle length. Therefore, the input data shows that there is a constant distribution along the nozzle length



| | (0 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
|---------|-------|---|----|----|----|-----|
| | 0.35 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 0.63 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 0.854 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 1.034 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 1.178 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 1.293 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| Data := | 1.442 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 1.591 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 1.74 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 1.889 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 2.038 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 2.187 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 2.336 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 2.485 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 2.634 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| | 2.783 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16) |

The data matrix to the left is the selection of data from the data table used to input the data. All entries have been selected. The matrix is exactly the same as the input data table

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 5 of 8

The statements below are the assignment statements defining the column arrays for the axial height followed by the five locations across the tube wall thickness.

 $Axl := Data^{\langle 0 \rangle} MD := Data^{\langle 3 \rangle} ID := Data^{\langle 1 \rangle} TQ := Data^{\langle 4 \rangle} QT := Data^{\langle 2 \rangle} OD := Data^{\langle 5 \rangle}$ $R_{ID} := regress(Axl, ID, 3) R_{QT} := regress(Axl, QT, 3)$ $R_{OD} := regress(Axl, OD, 3)$ $R_{MD} := regress(Axl, MD, 3) R_{TQ} := regress(Axl, TQ, 3)$

The statement below defines the flaw location to be used in the analysis, based on the entry for the variable "Val" entered on the first page.

 $FL_{Cntr} := \begin{cases} Ref_{Point} - c_0 & \text{if } Val = 1 \\ Ref_{Point} & \text{if } Val = 2 \\ Ref_{Point} + c_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Flaw center Location above Nozzle Bottom

The two statements below are as follows:

- 1) The statement on the left defines the upper crack tip based on the flaw location determined above.
- 2) The statement on the right computes the segment height for the segments above the upper crack tip based on twenty equal segments.

 $U_{\text{Tip}} \coloneqq FL_{\text{Cntr}} + c_0$

 $Inc_{Strs.avg} := \frac{UL_{Strs.Dist} - U_{Tip}}{20}$

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 6 of 8 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

The statements below develops the through-wall stress profiles at the twenty-three segments (three segments for the initial flaw length and twenty segments above the upper tip of the flaw.

Calculation to develop Stress Profiles for Analysis

N := 20 Number of locations for stress profiles

 $Loc_0 := FL_{Cntr} - L$

$$i := 1..N + 3$$
 Incr_i := c_0 if $i < 4$
Inc_{Strs.avg} otherwise

 $Loc_i := Loc_{i-1} + Incr_i$

$$\begin{split} \text{SID}_{i} &:= \text{R}_{\text{ID}_{3}} + \text{R}_{\text{ID}_{4}} \cdot \text{Loc}_{i} + \text{R}_{\text{ID}_{5}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \text{R}_{\text{ID}_{6}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{3} \\ \text{SQT}_{i} &:= \text{R}_{\text{QT}_{3}} + \text{R}_{\text{QT}_{4}} \cdot \text{Loc}_{i} + \text{R}_{\text{QT}_{5}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \text{R}_{\text{QT}_{6}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{3} \\ \text{SMD}_{i} &:= \text{R}_{\text{MD}_{3}} + \text{R}_{\text{MD}_{4}} \cdot \text{Loc}_{i} + \text{R}_{\text{MD}_{5}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \text{R}_{\text{MD}_{6}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{3} \\ \text{STQ}_{i} &:= \text{R}_{\text{TQ}_{3}} + \text{R}_{\text{TQ}_{4}} \cdot \text{Loc}_{i} + \text{R}_{\text{TQ}_{5}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \text{R}_{\text{TQ}_{6}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{3} \\ \text{SOD}_{i} &:= \text{R}_{\text{OD}_{3}} + \text{R}_{\text{OD}_{4}} \cdot \text{Loc}_{i} + \text{R}_{\text{OD}_{5}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \text{R}_{\text{OD}_{6}} \cdot (\text{Loc}_{i})^{3} \end{split}$$

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 7 of 8 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

The statements below perform the moving average stress profile calculations. The first profile, at location 1, is the average profile for the initial crack. The remaining profiles are the average profiles for the twenty segments above the upper tip of the crack.

$$S_{id_{j}} \coloneqq \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{SID_{j} + SID_{j+1} + SID_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \end{array} \right| \qquad S_{qt_{j}} \coloneqq \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{SQT_{j} + SQT_{j+1} + SQT_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \end{array} \right| \\ \frac{S_{id_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SID_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right| \qquad \qquad S_{qt_{j}} \coloneqq \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{SQT_{j} + SQT_{j+1} + SQT_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \end{array} \right| \\ \frac{S_{id_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SID_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right|$$

$$S_{md_{j}} \coloneqq \left[\frac{SMD_{j} + SMD_{j+1} + SMD_{j+2}}{3} \text{ if } j = 1 \right]$$

$$S_{tq_{j}} \coloneqq \left[\frac{STQ_{j} + STQ_{j+1} + STQ_{j+2}}{3} \text{ if } j = 1 \right]$$

$$S_{tq_{j-1}} (j+1) + SMD_{j+2} = 0$$

$$S_{tq_{j-1}} (j+1) + STQ_{j+2} = 0$$

$$S_{od_{j}} := \begin{cases} \frac{SOD_{j} + SOD_{j+1} + SOD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{od_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SOD_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Apendix D; Attachment 2 Page 8 of 8

Presented below is the output at each location defined for the moving average stress profile. The first element in each array is for the average stress profile for the initial crack. The subsequent elements in each column array are for the equal segments above the upper tip of the flaw. Each column array represents one of the five locations across the wall thickness (marked).



The output of the moving average evaluation is the same as the input data. This ensures that the moving average technique is functioning properly.

Appendix D; Attachment 3 Page 1 of 4 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Comparison of Edge Crack Model With Through-wall Model {SICF} Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc Verified by: B. C. Gray Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam References : 1) Murakami; "Stress Intensity factors handbook"; 1.3 Single Edge Cracked Plate; page 771. Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2 Component : Reactor Vessel CEDM -"0"degree Nozzle, All Azimuth 1.544 inch above Nozzle Bottom In this worksheet a comparison between the SICF for an Edge Crack and the axial through-wall crack of the current model are compared. For the edge crack the SICF is dependent on the ratio of crack length to plate height. For the application to the CEDM nozzle the plate height can be assumed at three locations, these are: 1) The nozzle length up to the bottom to the J-weld (the bottom point of fixity for the nozzle) 2) The nozzle length up to the top of the J-weld (the upper point of fixity for the nozzle) 3) The nozzle length assuming no fixity. For the current model only the SICF for the membrane loading is used for comparison because the SICF for these two conditions are separate and are applied to the SIF for equivalent plate geometry. Hence three is no single SIF that represents a composite SICF. However a comparison using the membrane SICF should facilitate a rational assessment. The first Input is to locate the Reference Line (eg. top of the Blind Zone). The through-wall flaw "Upper Tip" is located at the Reference Line. Enter the elevation of the Reference Line (eq. Blind Zone) above the nozzle bottom in inches. Location of Blind Zone above nozzle bottom (inch) BZ := 1.544 The Second Input is the Upper Limit for the evaluation, which is the bottom of the fillet weld leg. This is shown on the Excel spread sheet as weld bottom. Enter this dimension (measured from nozzle bottom) below. Upper axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the analysis (Axial distance UL_{Strs.Dist} := 1.796 above nozzle bottom) Edge Crack- Entergy-Comparison-000.mcd

Appendix D; Attachment 3 Page 2 of 4

| <u>put Data :-</u> | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|-------------------|
| | L := .794 | | Initial Flaw Length TV | V axial | |
| | od := 4.05 | | Tube OD | | |
| | id := 2.728 | | Tube ID | | |
| | P _{Int} := 2.235 | | Design Operating Pre | essure (internal) | |
| | v := 0.307 | | Poissons ratio at 600 | deg. F | |
| R ₀ : | $=\frac{\mathrm{od}}{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{\mathbf{id}}{2}$ | $t := R_0 - R_i$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}} := \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{\mathbf{t}}{2}$ | N := 500 |
| The plate h 1) l 2) ¹ | eight are set to the Bottom of the J-welcong of the J-wel | hree elevations ; veld. 1. | as follows: | | |
| The plate h 1) 2) ` 3) b := UL _{Str} | rs.Dist | hree elevations a veld. J. zzle. of J-weld | as follows: | | |
| The plate h 1) 2) ⁻ 3) b := UL _{Str} b ₁ := 2.886 | eight are set to th Bottom of the J-w Top of the J-weld Full length of Noz rs.Dist Bottom 6 Top of | hree elevations a veld. J. zzle. of J-weld J-Weld | as follows: | | |
| The plate h 1) 2) ⁷ 3) b := UL _{Str} b ₁ := 2.886 b ₂ := 20 | eight are set to th Bottom of the J-w Top of the J-weld Full length of Noz rs.Dist Bottom 6 Top of Top of | hree elevations a veld. zzle. of J-weld J-Weld Nozzle | as follows: Inc := $\frac{b}{N}$ | | |
| The plate h 1) I 2) $\overline{}$ 3) I b := UL _{Str} b ₁ := 2.886 b ₂ := 20 t is important to Therefore, for th | eight are set to the Bottom of the J-weld Full length of Noz rs.Dist Bottom 6 Top of Top of 5 note that the Sli he crack length v | hree elevations veld. d. zzle. o of J-weld J-Weld Nozzle CF for the Edge when the a/b ra | as follows: $Inc := \frac{b}{N}$ e Crack model are limited tio is violated are as show | to the a/b ratio (Crack lengtl vn below. | h/height) of 0.6. |
| The plate h 1) I 2) (3) $b := UL_{Str}$ $b_1 := 2.880$ $b_2 := 20$ It is important to Therefore, for the case 1: Plate | eight are set to the Bottom of the J-weld Full length of Noz rs.Dist Bottom 6 Top of Top of 5 note that the Sh he crack length we e height equal to | hree elevations a veld. d. zzle. o of J-weld J-Weld Nozzle CF for the Edge when the a/b ra nozzle length to | as follows: $Inc := \frac{b}{N}$ e Crack model are limited tio is violated are as show b bottom of weld:- | to the a/b ratio (Crack lengtl vn below. b-0.6 = 1.078 | h/height) of 0.6. |
| The plate h 1) $ $ 2) (3) $b := UL_{Str}$ $b_1 := 2.880$ $b_2 := 20$ It is important to Therefore, for the Case 1: Plate Case 2: Plate | eight are set to the Bottom of the J-welc Full length of Noz rs.Dist Bottom 6 Top of Top of 5 note that the Sh he crack length v e height equal to e height equal to | hree elevations veld. zzle. of J-weld J-Weld Nozzle CF for the Edge when the a/b ra nozzle length to top of J-weld:- | as follows: $Inc := \frac{b}{N}$ e Crack model are limited tio is violated are as show b bottom of weld:- | to the a/b ratio (Crack length vn below. $b \cdot 0.6 = 1.078$ $b_1 \cdot 0.6 = 1.732$ | h/height) of 0.6. |

Appendix D; Attachment 3 Page 3 of 4

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Calculations :} \\ a_{0} &:= 0 \\ j &:= 1.. N - 1 \\ a_{j} &:= a_{j-1} + lnc \\ x_{j} &:= \frac{a_{j}}{b} \\ x_{1j} &:= \frac{a_{j}}{b_{1}} \\ x_{2j} &:= \frac{a_{j}}{b_{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Brown and Srawley Model For edge Crack in a Plate} \\ \text{Fbe}_{j} &:= 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot x_{j} + 10.55 \left(x_{j}\right)^{2} - 21.72 \left(x_{j}\right)^{3} + 30.39 \left(x_{j}\right)^{4} \\ \text{Plate height as length below Filet weld to tube bottom} \\ \text{Fbe}_{1j} &:= 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot x_{j} + 10.55 \left(x_{j}\right)^{2} - 21.72 \left(x_{1j}\right)^{3} + 30.39 \left(x_{1j}\right)^{4} \\ \text{Plate height as length below Top of J-weld to tube bottom} \\ \text{Fbe}_{2j} &:= 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot x_{2j} + 10.55 \left(x_{2j}\right)^{2} - 21.72 \left(x_{2j}\right)^{3} + 30.39 \left(x_{2j}\right)^{4} \\ \text{Plate height as length below Top of J-weld to tube bottom} \\ \text{Fbe}_{2j} &:= 1.12 - 0.231 \cdot x_{2j} + 10.55 \left(x_{2j}\right)^{2} - 21.72 \left(x_{2j}\right)^{3} + 30.39 \left(x_{2j}\right)^{4} \\ \text{Plate height as Full length of Nozzle} \\ \text{Through-wall Axiat crack in a Thick Cylinder (Entergy Model)} \\ \lambda_{j} &:= \left[\left[12 \left(1 - v^{2}\right) \right]^{0.25} - \frac{a_{j}}{2} \\ \left(R_{m} t\right)^{0.5} \right] \\ \text{AcM}_{j} &:= 1.009 + 0.3621 \cdot \lambda_{j} + 0.0565 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} - 0.0082 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{3} + 0.0004 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{4} - 8.326 \cdot 10^{-6} \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{5} \\ \text{AcM}_{j} &:= 0.0029 + 0.0707 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{1} - 0.0197 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} + 0.0034 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{4} - 2.9701 \cdot 10^{-5} \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{5} \\ \text{AbM}_{j} &:= -0.0063 + 0.919 \cdot \lambda_{j} - 0.168 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} - 0.0021 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{3} + 0.0008 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{4} - 2.9701 \cdot 10^{-5} \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{5} \\ \text{AbB}_{j} &:= 0.9961 - 0.3806 \cdot \lambda_{j} + 0.1239 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{2} - 0.0211 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{3} + 0.0017 \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{4} - 4.9939 \cdot 10^{-5} \left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{5} \\ \text{AbM}_{j} &:= AcM_{j} + AbM_{j} \\ AB_{j} &:= AcB_{j} + AbB_{j} \\ \end{aligned}$$

Appendix D; Attachment 3 Page 4 of 4



Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 1 of 31

Comparison of Surface Crack Models : Conventional Model with the Current Model

Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam Verified by: B. C. Gray

References :

1) "Stress Intensity factors for Part-through Surface cracks"; NASA TM-11707; July 1992.

2) Crack Growth of Alloy 600 Base Metal in PWR Environments; EPRI MRP Report MRP 55 Rev. 1, 2002

Purpose :- This worksheet is used to compare the crack growth and SIF results between the conventional model (using a fixed R/t ratio and a fixed flaw aspect ratio- a/c) and the current model. The current model uses the R/t ratio appropriate to the CEDM nozzle tube geometry and the flaw aspect ratio is not fixed. The flaw aspect ratio is determined at each crack growth interval based on the seperate growth for both the depth direction (a-tip) and the length direction (c-tip). Therefore, the current model permits the evaluation of crack growth through the wall thickness and along the nozzle surface simultaneously. The evaluation, using the same residual stresses distribution, compares the results form both models. The worksheet is essentially the same as that used in the analyses. The only difference is that a separate loop. The graphical presentations towards the end of the worksheet present the comparative results.

Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2

Component : Reactor Vessel CEDM -"8.8" Degree Nozzle, "0" Degree Azimuth, 1.544" above Nozzle Bottom

Calculation Basis: MRP 75 th Percentile and Flaw Face Pressurized

Note : Used the Metric form of the equation from EPRI MRP 55-Rev. 1. The correction is applied in the determination of the crack extension to obtain the value in inch/hr. **OD Surface Flaw**

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 2 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

The first Required input is a location for a point on the tube elevation to define the point of interest (e.g. The top of the Blind Zone, or bottom of fillet weld etc.). This reference point is necessary to evaluate the stress distribution on the flaw both for the initial flaw and for a growing flaw. This is defined as the reference point. Enter a number (inch) that represents the reference point elevation measured upward from the nozzle end.

$$Ref_{Point} := 1.544$$

To place the flaw with respect to the reference point, the flaw tips and center can be located as follows:

- 1) The Upper "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 1)
- 2) The Center of the flaw at the reference point (Enter 2)
- 3) The lower "C- tip" located at the reference point (Enter 3).

Val := 2

Input Data :-

| L := 0.3966 | Initial Flaw Length |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| $a_0 := 0.0661$ | Initial Flaw Depth |
| od := 4.05 | Tube OD |
| id := 2.728 | Tube ID |
| $P_{Int} := 2.235$ | Design Operating Pressure (internal) |
| Years := 4 | Number of Operating Years |
| I _{lim} := 1500 | Iteration limit for Crack Growth loop |
| T := 604 | Estimate of Operating Temperature |
| $\alpha_{0c} := 2.67 \cdot 10^{-12}$ | Constant in MRP PWSCC Model for I-600 Wrought @ 617 deg. F |
| $Q_g := 31.0$ | Thermal activation Energy for Crack Growth (MRP) |
| $T_{ref} := 617$ | Reference Temperature for normalizing Data deg. F |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 3 of 31

$$R_0 := \frac{od}{2}$$
 $R_{id} := \frac{id}{2}$ $t := R_0 - R_{id}$ $R_m := R_{id} + \frac{t}{2}$ $Tim_{opr} := Years \cdot 365 \cdot 24$

$$CF_{inhr} := 1.417 \cdot 10^5 \qquad C_{blk} := \frac{Tim_{opr}}{l_{lim}} \qquad Prnt_{blk} := \left| \frac{l_{lim}}{50} \right| \qquad c_0 := \frac{L}{2} \qquad R_t := \frac{R_m}{t}$$

٦

$$C_{01} := e^{\left[\frac{-Q_g}{1.103 \cdot 10^{-3}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T+459.67} - \frac{1}{T_{ref} + 459.67}\right)\right]} \cdot \alpha_{0c}$$

Temperature Correction for Coefficient Alpha

 $C_0 := C_{01}$

Г

75 th percentile MRP-55 Revision 1

.

Stress Input Data

Input all available Nodal stress data in the table below. The column designations are as follows: Column "0" = Axial distance from minimum to maximum recorded on data sheet(inches) Column "1" = ID Stress data at each Elevation (ksi) Column "2" = Quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi) Column "3" = Mid Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi) Column "4" = Three Quarter Thickness Stress data at each Elevation (ksi) Column "5" = OD Stress data at each Elevation (ksi)

AllData :=

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0 | 0 | -27.4 | -24.36 | -22.21 | -20.41 | -18.98 |
| 1 | 0.48 | 0.63 | -1.49 | -3.6 | -4.44 | -5.27 |
| 2 | 0.87 | 17.66 | 16.42 | 14.61 | 12.41 | 9.38 |
| 3 | 1.18 | 29.8 | 26.05 | 22.72 | 18.95 | 14.2 |
| 4 | 1.43 | 33.62 | 27.79 | 24.8 | 24.32 | 26.99 |
| 5 | 1.63 | 32.36 | 28.47 | 27.59 | 34.28 | 45.1 |
| 6 | 1.79 | 27.39 | 28.92 | 31.39 | 43.88 | 63.72 |
| 7 | 1.92 | 21.5 | 25.56 | 33.55 | 48.09 | 66.36 |
| 8 | 2.05 | 16.94 | 23.79 | 34.06 | 49.47 | 67.67 |
| 9 | 2.18 | 14.83 | 22.26 | 34.78 | 49.05 | 63.38 |



Observing the stress distribution select the region in the table above labeled $Data_{All}$ that represents the region of interest. This needs to be done especially for distributions that have a large compressive stress at the nozzle bottom and high tensile stresses at the J-weld location. Copy the selection in the above table, click on the "Data" statement below and delete it from the edit menu. Type "Data and the Mathcad "equal" sign (Shift-Colon) then insert the same to the right of the Mathcad Equals sign below (paste symbol).

$$Data := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -27.404 & -24.356 & -22.209 & -20.407 & -18.978 \\ 0.483 & 0.633 & -1.486 & -3.599 & -4.44 & -5.268 \\ 0.87 & 17.665 & 16.422 & 14.61 & 12.415 & 9.376 \\ 1.18 & 29.798 & 26.049 & 22.723 & 18.95 & 14.201 \\ 1.428 & 33.623 & 27.792 & 24.8 & 24.321 & 26.989 \\ 1.627 & 32.364 & 28.469 & 27.591 & 34.284 & 45.104 \\ 1.786 & 27.394 & 28.918 & 31.388 & 43.882 & 63.718 \\ 1.919 & 21.498 & 25.556 & 33.55 & 48.089 & 66.365 \\ 2.051 & 16.944 & 23.793 & 34.064 & 49.472 & 67.672 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $AxI := Data^{\langle 0 \rangle} \qquad MD := Data^{\langle 3 \rangle} \qquad ID := Data^{\langle 1 \rangle} \qquad TQ := Data^{\langle 4 \rangle} \qquad QT := Data^{\langle 2 \rangle} \qquad OD := Data^{\langle 5 \rangle}$

Entergy Operations Inc

Central Engineering Programs

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 5 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$\begin{split} R_{\text{ID}} &\coloneqq \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{ID}, 3) & R_{\text{QT}} \coloneqq \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{QT}, 3) \\ R_{\text{OD}} &\coloneqq \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{OD}, 3) \\ \end{split} \\ R_{\text{MD}} &\coloneqq \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{MD}, 3) & R_{\text{TQ}} \coloneqq \text{regress}(\text{Axl}, \text{TQ}, 3) \end{split}$$

UL_{Strs.Dist} := 1.786 Upper Axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the Analysis (Axial distance above nozzle bottom)

$$FL_{Cntr} := \begin{cases} Ref_{Point} - c_0 & \text{if } Val = 1 \\ Ref_{Point} & \text{if } Val = 2 \\ Ref_{Point} + c_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
Flaw center Location Location above Nozzle Bottom

No User Input is required beyond this Point

Calculation to Develop Hoop Stress Profiles in the Axial Direction for Fracture Mechanics Analysis

N := 20 Number of locations for stress profiles

 $Loc_0 := FL_{Cntr} - L$

$$i := 1..N + 3$$
 Incr_i := c_0 if $i < 4$
Inc_{Strs.avg} otherwise

 $Loc_i := Loc_{i-1} + Incr_i$

$$SID_i := R_{ID_3} + R_{ID_4} \cdot Loc_i + R_{ID_5} \cdot (Loc_i)^2 + R_{ID_6} \cdot (Loc_i)^3$$

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 6 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{SQT}_{i} \coloneqq \operatorname{R}_{QT_{3}} + \operatorname{R}_{QT_{4}} \cdot \operatorname{Loc}_{i} + \operatorname{R}_{QT_{5}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \operatorname{R}_{QT_{6}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{3} \\ & \operatorname{SMD}_{i} \coloneqq \operatorname{R}_{MD_{3}} + \operatorname{R}_{MD_{4}} \cdot \operatorname{Loc}_{i} + \operatorname{R}_{MD_{5}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \left[\operatorname{R}_{MD_{6}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{3} \right] \\ & \operatorname{STQ}_{i} \coloneqq \operatorname{R}_{TQ_{3}} + \operatorname{R}_{TQ_{4}} \cdot \operatorname{Loc}_{i} + \operatorname{R}_{TQ_{5}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \operatorname{R}_{TQ_{6}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{3} \\ & \operatorname{SOD}_{i} \coloneqq \operatorname{R}_{OD_{3}} + \operatorname{R}_{OD_{4}} \cdot \operatorname{Loc}_{i} + \operatorname{R}_{OD_{5}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{2} + \operatorname{R}_{OD_{6}} \cdot (\operatorname{Loc}_{i})^{3} \end{split}$$

Development of Elevation-Averaged stresses at 20 elevations along the tube for use in Fracture Mechanics Model

$$S_{id_{j}} := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{SID_{j} + SID_{j+1} + SID_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{id_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SID_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } j = 1$$

$$S_{qt_{j}} := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{SQT_{j} + SQT_{j+1} + SQT_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{qt_{(j-1)}} \cdot (j+1) + SQT_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S_{md_{j}} := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{SMD_{j} + SMD_{j+1} + SMD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{md_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SMD_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix} \quad S_{tq_{j}} := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{STQ_{j} + STQ_{j+1} + STQ_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{tq_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + STQ_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}$$

J. S.

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 7 of 31

Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$S_{od_{j}} := \begin{cases} \frac{SOD_{j} + SOD_{j+1} + SOD_{j+2}}{3} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \frac{S_{od_{j-1}} \cdot (j+1) + SOD_{j+2}}{j+2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Elevation-Averaged Hoop Stress Distribution for OD Flaws (i.e. OD to ID Stress distribution)

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 8 of 31

$$SIG_{13} := stack(S_{od_{13}}, S_{tq_{13}}, S_{md_{13}}, S_{qt_{13}}, S_{id_{13}})$$

$$SIG_{15} := stack(S_{od_{15}}, S_{tq_{15}}, S_{md_{15}}, S_{qt_{15}}, S_{id_{15}})$$

$$SIG_{17} := stack(S_{od_{17}}, S_{tq_{17}}, S_{md_{17}}, S_{qt_{17}}, S_{id_{17}})$$

$$SIG_{14} := stack \left(S_{od_{14}}, S_{tq_{14}}, S_{md_{14}}, S_{qt_{14}}, S_{id_{14}} \right)$$
$$SIG_{16} := stack \left(S_{od_{16}}, S_{tq_{16}}, S_{md_{16}}, S_{qt_{16}}, S_{id_{16}} \right)$$

$$SIG_{18} := stack \left(S_{od_{18}}, S_{tq_{18}}, S_{md_{18}}, S_{qt_{18}}, S_{id_{18}} \right)$$

$$SIG_{19} := stack \left(S_{od_{19}}, S_{tq_{19}}, S_{md_{19}}, S_{qt_{19}}, S_{id_{19}} \right) \qquad SIG_{20} := stack \left(S_{od_{20}}, S_{tq_{20}}, S_{md_{20}}, S_{qt_{20}}, S_{id_{20}} \right)$$

Regression of Through-wall Stress distribution to obtain Stress Coefficients through-wall using a Third Order polynomial

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 9 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Stress Distribution in the tube. Stress influence coefficients obtained from third order polynomial curve fit to the throughway stress distribution

 $Prop_{Length} := UL_{Strs.Dist} - FL_{Cntr} - c_0$

 $Prop_{Length} = 0.044$

Data Files for Flaw Shape Factors from NASA (NASA-TM-111707-SC04 Model) {NO INPUT Required}

Mettu Raju Newman Sivakumar Forman Solution of ID Part through-wall Flaw in Cylinder

Jsb :=

| | 0 | 1997 (1 997) | 2 |
|----|-------|----------------------|-------|
| 0 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.000 |
| 1 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 2 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.500 |
| 3 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.800 |
| 4 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| 5 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 0.000 |
| 6 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 0.200 |
| 7 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 0.500 |
| 8 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 0.800 |
| 9 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.000 |
| 10 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 |
| 11 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| 12 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.500 |
| 13 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.800 |
| 14 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 15 | 2.000 | 0.200 | 0.000 |
| 16 | 2.000 | 0.200 | 0.200 |
| 17 | 2.000 | 0.200 | 0.500 |
| 18 | 2.000 | 0.200 | 0.800 |
| 19 | 2.000 | 0.200 | 1.000 |
| 20 | 2.000 | 0.400 | 0.000 |
| 21 | 2.000 | 0.400 | 0.200 |
| 22 | 2.000 | 0.400 | 0.500 |
| 23 | 2.000 | 0.400 | 0.800 |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 10 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

| 24 2.000 0.400 1.000 25 2.000 1.000 0.200 26 2.000 1.000 0.200 27 2.000 1.000 0.800 29 2.000 1.000 0.800 29 2.000 1.000 0.800 30 4.000 0.200 0.000 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.500 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.400 0.200 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.800 38 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 0.200 0.200 |
|---|
| 25 2.000 1.000 0.000 26 2.000 1.000 0.200 27 2.000 1.000 0.800 29 2.000 1.000 1.000 30 4.000 0.200 0.000 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.500 34 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.200 1.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 1.000 0.200 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 0.200 0.200 |
| 26 2.000 1.000 0.200 27 2.000 1.000 0.500 28 2.000 1.000 0.800 29 2.000 1.000 1.000 30 4.000 0.200 0.000 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.200 1.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.200 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 1.000 0.200 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 0.200 0.200 |
| 27 2.000 1.000 0.500 28 2.000 1.000 0.800 29 2.000 1.000 1.000 30 4.000 0.200 0.000 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.200 1.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 1.000 0.200 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 1.000 0.200 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 |
| 28 2.000 1.000 0.800 29 2.000 1.000 1.000 30 4.000 0.200 0.000 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.200 1.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.200 38 4.000 0.400 0.500 39 4.000 1.000 0.800 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 1.000 0.200 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.500 |
| 29 2.000 1.000 1.000 30 4.000 0.200 0.000 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.400 0.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.500 39 4.000 0.400 0.800 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 1.000 0.200 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.500 |
| 30 4.000 0.200 0.000 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.400 0.200 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 0.800 40 4.000 1.000 0.800 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 0.200 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 0.000 |
| 31 4.000 0.200 0.200 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.400 0.200 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 0.800 40 4.000 1.000 0.800 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 1.000 0.200 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 0.800 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 32 4.000 0.200 0.500 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.400 0.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 0.800 40 4.000 1.000 0.000 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.500 44 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 0.200 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 33 4.000 0.200 0.800 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.400 0.200 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 1.000 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.200 44 4.000 1.000 0.200 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 34 4.000 0.200 1.000 35 4.000 0.400 0.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 1.000 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.500 44 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 0.200 0.000 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 35 4.000 0.400 0.000 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 1.000 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 0.800 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 |
| 36 4.000 0.400 0.200 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 1.000 40 4.000 1.000 0.200 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 0.800 45 10.000 0.200 0.200 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 37 4.000 0.400 0.500 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 1.000 40 4.000 1.000 0.000 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 0.800 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 38 4.000 0.400 0.800 39 4.000 0.400 1.000 40 4.000 1.000 0.000 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 1.000 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 39 4.000 0.400 1.000 40 4.000 1.000 0.000 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.200 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 0.800 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 40 4.000 1.000 0.000 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 1.000 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.800 48 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 41 4.000 1.000 0.200 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 1.000 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.800 48 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 42 4.000 1.000 0.500 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 1.000 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.800 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 43 4.000 1.000 0.800 44 4.000 1.000 1.000 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 44 4.000 1.000 1.000 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 45 10.000 0.200 0.000 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 46 10.000 0.200 0.200 47 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 47 10.000 0.200 0.500 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 48 10.000 0.200 0.800 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 49 10.000 0.200 1.000 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| 50 10.000 0.400 0.000 |
| |
| 51 10.000 0.400 0.200 |
| 52 10.000 0.400 0.500 |
| 53 10.000 0.400 0.800 |
| 54 10.000 0.400 1.000 |
| 55 10.000 1.000 0.000 |
| 56 10.000 1.000 0.200 |
| 57 10.000 1.000 0.500 |
| 58 10.000 1.000 0.800 |
| 59 10.000 1.000 1.000 |
| 60 300.000 0.200 0.000 |
| 61 300.000 0.200 0.200 |
| 62 300.000 0.200 0.500 |
| 63 300.000 0.200 0.800 |
| |
| 64 300.000 0.200 1.000 |
| 64 300.000 0.200 1.000 65 300.000 0.400 0.000 |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 11 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

| 67 | 300.000 | 0.400 | 0.500 |
|----|---------|-------|-------|
| 68 | 300.000 | 0.400 | 0.800 |
| 69 | 300.000 | 0.400 | 1.000 |
| 70 | 300.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 |
| 71 | 300.000 | 1.000 | 0.200 |
| 72 | 300.000 | 1.000 | 0.500 |
| 73 | 300.000 | 1.000 | 0.800 |
| 74 | 300.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Sambi :=

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0 | 1.244 | 0.754 | 0.564 | 0.454 | 0.755 | 0.153 | 0.06 | 0.032 |
| 1 | 1.237 | 0.719 | 0.536 | 0.435 | 0.594 | 0.076 | 0.021 | 0.009 |
| 2 | 1.641 | 0.867 | 0.615 | 0.486 | 0.648 | 0.089 | 0.026 | 0.011 |
| 3 | 2.965 | 1.336 | 0.858 | 0.635 | 1.293 | 0.271 | 0.109 | 0.058 |
| 4 | 4.498 | 1.839 | 1.107 | 0.783 | 2.129 | 0.481 | 0.202 | 0.11 |
| 5 | 1.146 | 0.716 | 0.546 | 0.448 | 0.889 | 0.17 | 0.064 | 0.032 |
| 6 | 1.175 | 0.709 | 0.539 | 0.444 | 0.809 | 0.132 | 0.046 | 0.023 |
| 7 | 1.452 | 0.806 | 0.589 | 0.474 | 0.934 | 0.17 | 0.064 | 0.033 |
| 8 | 2.119 | 1.046 | 0.714 | 0.55 | 1.492 | 0.329 | 0.136 | 0.073 |
| 9 | 2.8 | 1.279 | 0.833 | 0.621 | 2.143 | 0.497 | 0.21 | 0.114 |
| 10 | 1.03 | 0.715 | 0.577 | 0.49 | 1.148 | 0.202 | 0.076 | 0.039 |
| 11 | 1.054 | 0.725 | 0.586 | 0.499 | 1.202 | 0.214 | 0.081 | 0.042 |
| 12 | 1.146 | 0.76 | 0.606 | 0.513 | 1.354 | 0.256 | 0.1 | 0.053 |
| 13 | 1.305 | 0.817 | 0.634 | 0.527 | 1.594 | 0.327 | 0.133 | 0.071 |
| 14 | 1.412 | 0.866 | 0.657 | 0.537 | 1.796 | 0.387 | 0.161 | 0.087 |
| 15 | 1.111 | 0.688 | 0.522 | 0.426 | 0.72 | 0.121 | 0.041 | 0.02 |
| 16 | 1.193 | 0.7 | 0.524 | 0.427 | 0.611 | 0.079 | 0.022 | 0.01 |
| 17 | 1.655 | 0.868 | 0.614 | 0.484 | 0.693 | 0.105 | 0.035 | 0.017 |
| 18 | 2.732 | 1.255 | 0.817 | 0.609 | 1.207 | 0.245 | 0.097 | 0.051 |
| 19 | 3.842 | 1.634 | 1.009 | 0.726 | 1.826 | 0.395 | 0.162 | 0.086 |
| 20 | 1.077 | 0.685 | 0.528 | 0.436 | 0.817 | 0.14 | 0.049 | 0.023 |
| 21 | 1.136 | 0.692 | 0.528 | 0.436 | 0.796 | 0.13 | 0.046 | 0.022 |
| 22 | 1.403 | 0.785 | 0.576 | 0.465 | 0.959 | 0.182 | 0.071 | 0.037 |
| 23 | 1.942 | 0.984 | 0.682 | 0.53 | 1.425 | 0.315 | 0.131 | 0.071 |
| 24 | 2.454 | 1.168 | 0.78 | 0.591 | 1.915 | 0.443 | 0.188 | 0.102 |
| 25 | 1.02 | 0.72 | 0.585 | 0.498 | 1.152 | 0.196 | 0.072 | 0.036 |
| 26 | 1.044 | 0.722 | 0.584 | 0.498 | 1.185 | 0.209 | 0.079 | 0.041 |
| 27 | 1.117 | 0.746 | 0.597 | 0.505 | 1.318 | 0.25 | 0.098 | 0.052 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 12 of 31

| 28 | 1.236 | 0.797 | 0.625 | 0.523 | 1.56 | 0.315 | 0.127 | 0.068 |
|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 29 | 1.335 | 0.844 | 0.652 | 0.538 | 1.775 | 0.37 | 0.151 | 0.08 |
| 30 | 1.009 | 0.65 | 0.507 | 0.427 | 0.589 | 0.073 | 0.018 | 0.006 |
| 31 | 1.162 | 0.691 | 0.524 | 0.434 | 0.612 | 0.08 | 0.023 | 0.01 |
| 32 | 1.64 | 0.861 | 0.613 | 0.488 | 0.786 | 0.134 | 0.049 | 0.025 |
| 33 | 2.51 | 1.178 | 0.782 | 0.596 | 1.16 | 0.242 | 0.097 | 0.051 |
| 34 | 3.313 | 1.464 | 0.932 | 0.693 | 1.517 | 0.339 | 0.139 | 0.073 |
| 35 | 1 | 0.655 | 0.518 | 0.44 | 0.754 | 0.118 | 0.036 | 0.017 |
| 36 | 1.109 | 0.685 | 0.53 | 0.445 | 0.793 | 0.13 | 0.045 | 0.022 |
| 37 | 1.36 | 0.773 | 0.575 | 0.472 | 0.994 | 0.195 | 0.078 | 0.041 |
| 38 | 1.727 | 0.914 | 0.653 | 0.523 | 1.4 | 0.318 | 0.134 | 0.073 |
| 39 | 2.025 | 1.032 | 0.72 | 0.568 | 1.781 | 0.427 | 0.181 | 0.1 |
| 40 | 0.986 | 0.711 | 0.589 | 0.513 | 1.127 | 0.189 | 0.068 | 0.034 |
| 41 | 1.03 | 0.72 | 0.591 | 0.513 | 1.163 | 0.204 | 0.077 | 0.04 |
| 42 | 1.094 | 0.743 | 0.603 | 0.52 | 1.286 | 0.243 | 0.096 | 0.051 |
| 43 | 1.156 | 0.777 | 0.625 | 0.536 | 1.498 | 0.302 | 0.122 | 0.064 |
| 44 | 1.194 | 0.804 | 0.644 | 0.551 | 1.681 | 0.35 | 0.142 | 0.073 |
| 45 | 0.981 | 0.636 | 0.501 | 0.422 | 0.598 | 0.078 | 0.02 | 0.007 |
| 46 | 1.147 | 0.685 | 0.521 | 0.432 | 0.612 | 0.08 | 0.023 | 0.01 |
| 47 | 1.584 | 0.839 | 0.6 | 0.48 | 0.806 | 0.142 | 0.053 | 0.028 |
| 48 | 2.298 | 1.099 | 0.739 | 0.568 | 1.262 | 0.277 | 0.114 | 0.062 |
| 49 | 2.921 | 1.323 | 0.859 | 0.645 | 1.715 | 0.402 | 0.169 | 0.092 |
| 50 | 0.975 | 0.645 | 0.516 | 0.439 | 0.75 | 0.114 | 0.036 | 0.017 |
| 51 | 1.096 | 0.68 | 0.528 | 0.444 | 0.788 | 0.128 | 0.045 | 0.022 |
| 52 | 1.31 | 0.755 | 0.565 | 0.466 | 0.984 | 0.192 | 0.076 | 0.04 |
| 53 | 1.565 | 0.858 | 0.625 | 0.505 | 1.378 | 0.309 | 0.129 | 0.07 |
| 54 | 1.749 | 0.938 | 0.675 | 0.539 | 1.747 | 0.411 | 0.174 | 0.095 |
| 55 | 0.982 | 0.709 | 0.588 | 0.515 | 1.123 | 0.188 | 0.068 | 0.034 |
| 56 | 1.025 | 0.718 | 0.59 | 0.513 | 1.156 | 0.202 | 0.076 | 0.039 |
| 57 | 1.078 | 0.738 | 0.6 | 0.518 | 1.266 | 0.236 | 0.092 | 0.048 |
| 58 | 1.118 | 0.765 | 0.619 | 0.533 | 1.453 | 0.286 | 0.113 | 0.059 |
| 59 | 1.137 | 0.786 | 0.636 | 0.548 | 1.613 | 0.326 | 0.129 | 0.067 |
| 60 | 0.936 | 0.62 | 0.486 | 0.405 | 0.582 | 0.068 | 0.015 | 0.005 |
| 61 | 1.145 | 0.681 | 0.514 | 0.42 | 0.613 | 0.081 | 0.024 | 0.011 |
| 62 | 1.459 | 0.79 | 0.569 | 0.454 | 0.79 | 0.138 | 0.051 | 0.026 |
| 63 | 1.774 | 0.917 | 0.641 | 0.501 | 1.148 | 0.239 | 0.096 | 0.051 |
| 64 | 1.974 | 1.008 | 0.696 | 0.537 | 1.482 | 0.328 | 0.134 | 0.07 |
| 65 | 0.982 | 0.651 | 0.512 | 0.427 | 0.721 | 0.103 | 0.031 | 0.013 |
| 66 | 1.095 | 0.677 | 0.52 | 0.431 | 0.782 | 0.127 | 0.045 | 0.022 |
| 67 | 1.244 | 0.727 | 0.546 | 0.446 | 0.946 | 0.18 | 0.071 | 0.037 |
| 68 | 1.37 | 0.791 | 0.585 | 0.473 | 1.201 | 0.253 | 0.102 | 0.054 |
| 69 | 1.438 | 0.838 | 0.618 | 0.496 | 1.413 | 0.31 | 0.126 | 0.066 |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 13 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

W := Jsb^{(0)}X := Jsb^{(1)}Y := Jsb^{(2)}
$$a_U$$
 := Sambi $^{(0)}$ a_L := Sambi $^{(1)}$ a_Q := Sambi $^{(2)}$ a_C := Sambi $^{(3)}$ c_U := Sambi $^{(4)}$ c_L := Sambi $^{(5)}$ c_Q := Sambi $^{(6)}$ c_C := Sambi $^{(7)}$

$$n := \begin{vmatrix} 3 & \text{if } R_t \le 4.0 \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}$$

"a-Tip" Uniform Term

$$M_{aU} := augment(W, X, Y)$$
 $V_{aU} := a_U$ $R_{aU} := regress(M_{aU}, V_{aU}, n)$

$$f_{aU}(W, X, Y) := interp \left[R_{aU}, M_{aU}, V_{aU}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

 $f_{aU}(4,.4,.8) = 1.741$ Check Calculation

Linear Term

$$M_{aL} := augment(W, X, Y)$$
 $V_{aL} := a_L$ $R_{aL} := regress(M_{aL}, V_{aL}, n)$

$$f_{aL}(W, X, Y) := interp \left[R_{aL}, M_{aL}, V_{aL}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 14 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$f_{aL}(4,.4,.8) = 0.919$$
 Check Calculation

Quadratic Term

$$M_{aQ} := augment(W, X, Y)$$
 $V_{aQ} := a_Q$

$$R_{aQ} := regress(M_{aQ}, V_{aQ}, n)$$

$$f_{aQ}(W, X, Y) := interp\left[R_{aQ}, M_{aQ}, V_{aQ}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

 $f_{aQ}(4,.4,.8) = 0.656$ Check Calculation

Cubic Term

 $M_{aC} := augment(W, X, Y)$ $V_{aC} := a_C$ $R_{aC} := regress(M_{aC}, V_{aC}, n)$

$$f_{aC}(W, X, Y) := interp\left[R_{aC}, M_{aC}, V_{aC}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

 $f_{aC}(4,.4,.8) = 0.524$ Check Calculation

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 15 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

"**C**" Tip Coefficients

Uniform Term

$$M_{cU} := augment(W, X, Y)$$
 $V_{cU} := c_U$ $R_{cU} := regress(M_{cU}, V_{cU}, n)$

.. ...

$$f_{cU}(W, X, Y) := interp \left[R_{cU}, M_{cU}, V_{cU}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

 $f_{cU}(4,.4,.8) = 1.371$ Check Calculation

Linear Term

$$M_{cL} := augment(W, X, Y) \qquad V_{cL} := c_L \qquad R_{cL} := regress(M_{cL}, V_{cL}, n)$$

$$f_{cL}(W, X, Y) := interp \left[R_{cL}, M_{cL}, V_{cL}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

 $f_{cL}(2,.4,.8) = 0.319$

Check Calculation

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 16 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Quadratic Term

$$M_{cQ} := augment(W, X, Y) \qquad V_{cQ} := c_Q \qquad R_{cQ} := regress(M_{cQ}, V_{cQ}, n)$$

$$f_{cQ}(W, X, Y) := interp\left[R_{cQ}, M_{cQ}, V_{cQ}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

 $f_{cQ}(4,.4,.8) = 0.126$ Check Calculation

Cubic Term

$$M_{cC} := augment(W, X, Y) \qquad V_{cC} := c_C \qquad \qquad R_{cC} := regress(M_{cC}, V_{cC}, n)$$

$$f_{cC}(W, X, Y) := interp\left[R_{cC}, M_{cC}, V_{cC}, \begin{pmatrix} W \\ X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}\right]$$

 $f_{cC}(4, .4, .8) = 0.068$ Check Calculation

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 17 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Calculations : Recursive calculations to estimate flaw growth.

Recursive Loop for Calculation of PWSCC Crack Growth Entergy Model

| CGR _{sambi} := | j≁ | - 0 | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | a ₀ · | ← a ₀ | |
| | c ₀ · | ← c ₀ | |
| | NC | $CB_0 \leftarrow C$ | blk |
| | wh | nile j ≤ I | lim |
| | | σ 0← | $ODRG_{1_3}$ if $c_j \le c_0$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{2_3}$ if $c_0 < c_j \le c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{3}$ if $c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{4_3}$ if $c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{5_3}$ if $c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 4 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{6_3}$ if $c_0 + 4 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 5 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{7_3}$ if $c_0 + 5 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{8_3}$ if $c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{9_3}$ if $c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 8 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{10_3}$ if $c_0 + 8 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{11_3}$ if $c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{12_3}$ if $c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | | $ODRG_{13_3}$ if $c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | | 1 | |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 18 of 31

| | $ODRG_{14_3}$ if $c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
|------------------|---|
| | $ODRG_{15_3}$ if $c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{16_3}$ if $c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| - | $ODRG_{17_3}$ if $c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{18_3}$ if $c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 17 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{19_3}$ if $c_0 + 17 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 18 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | ODRG ₂₀₃ otherwise |
| σ ₁ ← | $ODRG_{l_{A}}$ if $c_{j} \leq c_{0}$ |
| | $ODRG_{2_4}$ if $c_0 < c_j \le c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{3_4}$ if $c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{4_{4}}$ if $c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{5_4}$ if $c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 4 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{6_4}$ if $c_0 + 4 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 5 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{7_4}$ if $c_0 + 5 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{8_4}$ if $c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{9_4}$ if $c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 8 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{10_4}$ if $c_0 + 8 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| i | $ODRG_{11_4}$ if $c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{12_4}$ if $c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{13_4} \text{ if } c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{14_{4}}$ if $c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{15}$ if $c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 19 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

| | 4 |
|------------------|--|
| | $ODRG_{16_4}$ if $c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{17_4}$ if $c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{18_4}$ if $c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 17 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{19_4}$ if $c_0 + 17 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 18 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| : | ODRG ₂₀₄ otherwise |
| σ ₂ ← | $ODRG_{1_5}$ if $c_j \le c_0$ |
| | $ODRG_{2_5}$ if $c_0 < c_j \le c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{3_5}$ if $c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{4_5}$ if $c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{5_{5}}$ if $c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 4 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{6_5}$ if $c_0 + 4 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 5 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{7_5}$ if $c_0 + 5 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{8_5}$ if $c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{9_5}$ if $c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 8 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{10_5}$ if $c_0 + \$ \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{11_5}$ if $c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{12_5}$ if $c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{13_5}$ if $c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{14_5}$ if $c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{15_5}$ if $c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{16_5}$ if $c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 20 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

| | $ODKO_{17_5} \text{ it } c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
|------------------|---|
| | $ODRG_{18_5}$ if $c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 17 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{19_5}$ if $c_0 + 17 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 18 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | ODRG ₂₀₅ otherwise |
| σ ₃ ← | $ODRG_{1_{6}}$ if $c_j \leq c_0$ |
| | $ODRG_{2_6}$ if $c_0 < c_j \le c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{3_{6}}$ if $c_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{4_{6}}$ if $c_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{5_{6}}$ if $c_0 + 3 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 4 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{6}$ if $c_0 + 4 \cdot lnc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 5 \cdot lnc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{7_6}$ if $c_0 + 5 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{8_{6}}$ if $c_0 + 6 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{9_{6}}$ if $c_0 + 7 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 8 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{10_6}$ if $c_0 + 8 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{11_6}$ if $c_0 + 9 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{12_6}$ if $c_0 + 10 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{13_6}$ if $c_0 + 11 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{14_6}$ if $c_0 + 12 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{15_6}$ if $c_0 + 13 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{16_6}$ if $c_0 + 14 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{17_6}$ if $c_0 + 15 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |
| | $ODRG_{18_6}$ if $c_0 + 16 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg} < c_j \le c_0 + 17 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ |

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 21 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

_

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} & ODRG_{19_{6}} \quad \text{if } c_{0} + 17 \cdot \ln c_{\text{Strs.avg}} < c_{j} \leq c_{0} + 18 \cdot \ln c_{\text{Strs.avg}} \\ ODRG_{20_{6}} \quad \text{otherwise} \\ & \xi_{0} \leftarrow \sigma_{0} \\ & \xi_{1} \leftarrow \sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{2} \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{3} \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{3} \\ & \xi_{2} \leftarrow \sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{2} \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{3} \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{3} \\ & \xi_{3} \leftarrow \sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{2} \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{3} \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{3} \\ & \xi_{4} \leftarrow \sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1} \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{2} + \sigma_{3} \cdot \left(\frac{1.0 \cdot a_{j}}{t}\right)^{3} \\ & x_{0} \leftarrow 0.0 \\ & x_{1} \leftarrow 0.25 \\ & x_{2} \leftarrow 0.5 \\ & x_{3} \leftarrow 0.75 \\ & x_{4} \leftarrow 1.0 \\ & X \leftarrow \text{stack}(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}) \\ & \text{ST} \leftarrow \text{stack}(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \xi_{4}) \\ & \text{RG} \leftarrow \text{regress}(X, \text{ST}, 3) \\ & \sigma_{00} \leftarrow \text{RG}_{3} + P_{\text{Int}} \\ & \sigma_{10} \leftarrow \text{RG}_{4} \\ & \sigma_{20} \leftarrow \text{RG}_{5} \\ & \sigma_{30} \leftarrow \text{RG}_{6} \\ & \text{AR}_{j} \leftarrow \frac{a_{j}}{c_{j}} \\ & \text{AT}_{j} \leftarrow \frac{a_{j}}{t} \end{array}$$

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 22 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{G}_{au_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{aU}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{al_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{aL}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{aq_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{aQ}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{ac_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{aQ}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{cu_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{cU}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{cu_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{cL}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{cq_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{cQ}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{cq_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{cQ}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{cq_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{cC}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{cq_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{cC}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{G}_{cq_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{f}_{cC}(\mathsf{R}_l,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{R}_j,\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}_j) \\ & \mathsf{Q}_j \leftarrow \left[1 + 1.464 \left(\frac{\mathsf{a}_j}{\mathsf{c}_j} \right)^{1.65} & \text{if } \mathsf{c}_j \ge \mathsf{a}_j \\ & 1 + 1.464 \left(\frac{\mathsf{c}_j}{\mathsf{a}_j} \right)^{1.65} & \text{otherwise} \\ \\ & \mathsf{K}_{a_j} \leftarrow \left(\frac{\pi \cdot \mathsf{a}_j}{\mathsf{Q}_j} \right)^{0.5} \cdot \left(\sigma_{00} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{au_j} + \sigma_{10} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{al_j} + \sigma_{20} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{aq_j} + \sigma_{30} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{ac_j} \right) \\ & \mathsf{K}_{c_j} \leftarrow \left(\frac{\pi \cdot \mathsf{c}_j}{\mathsf{Q}_j} \right)^{0.5} \cdot \left(\sigma_{00} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{cu_j} + \sigma_{10} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{cl_j} + \sigma_{20} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{aq_j} + \sigma_{30} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{ac_j} \right) \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{K}_{a_j}^{-1.099} \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{K}_{a_j}^{-1.099} \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{K}_{a_j}^{-1.099} \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{K}_{a_j} & \mathsf{otherwise} \\ \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\gamma_j} \leftarrow \left[9.0 \quad \text{if } \mathsf{K}_{\alpha_j} \le 9.0 \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\alpha_j} & \mathsf{otherwise} \\ \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\gamma_j} \leftarrow \left[9.0 \quad \text{if } \mathsf{K}_{\gamma_j} \le 9.0 \\ & \mathsf{K}_{\gamma_j} & \mathsf{otherwise} \\ \\ & \mathsf{D}_{a_j} \leftarrow \mathsf{C}_0 \cdot \left(\mathsf{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \right)^{1.16} \\ \end{array} \right]^{1.16} \end{split}$$

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 23 of 31

< 80.0

< 80.0

Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} D_{ag_{j}} \leftarrow & \left| \begin{array}{c} D_{a_{j}} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{if } K_{\alpha_{j}} < 80.0 \\ 4 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \hline D_{c_{j}} \leftarrow C_{0} \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} K_{\gamma_{j}} - 9.0 \end{array} \right)^{1.16} \\ \hline D_{cg_{j}} \leftarrow & \left| \begin{array}{c} D_{c_{j}} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{if } K_{\gamma_{j}} < 80.0 \\ 4 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \hline output(j, 0) \leftarrow j \\ output(j, 1) \leftarrow a_{j} \\ output(j, 2) \leftarrow c_{j} - c_{0} \\ output(j, 3) \leftarrow D_{ag_{j}} \\ output(j, 5) \leftarrow K_{a_{j}} \\ output(j, 6) \leftarrow K_{c_{j}} \\ output(j, 6) \leftarrow K_{c_{j}} \\ output(j, 8) \leftarrow G_{au_{j}} \\ output(j, 8) \leftarrow G_{al_{j}} \\ output(j, 9) \leftarrow G_{al_{j}} \\ output(j, 10) \leftarrow G_{aq_{j}} \\ output(j, 12) \leftarrow G_{cu_{j}} \\ output(j, 13) \leftarrow G_{cl_{j}} \\ output(j, 15) \leftarrow G_{cc_{j}} \\ \end{array}$$

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 24 of 31

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{j} \leftarrow a_{j-1} + D_{ag_{j-1}} \\ c_{j} \leftarrow c_{j-1} + D_{cg_{j-1}} \\ a_{j} \leftarrow \begin{vmatrix} t & \text{if } a_{j} \ge t \\ a_{j} & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$NCB_{j} \leftarrow NCB_{j-1} + C_{blk}$$
output

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 25 of 31

Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Recursive Loop for Industry Model {R/t = 4.0 and a/c=0.33 The R/t lower Limit for Original Raju-Newman model and aspect ratio was fixed at 1:6}

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{CGR}_{\mathrm{Bam},\mathrm{Bam}} &\coloneqq \begin{array}{l} j \leftarrow 0 \\ a_0 \leftarrow a_0 \\ c_0 \leftarrow c_0 \\ &\mathrm{NCB}_0 \leftarrow \mathrm{C_{blk}} \\ &\mathrm{while} \ j \leq \mathrm{I_{lim}} \\ & \\ & \sigma_0 \leftarrow \mathrm{ODRG}_{\mathrm{I_3}} \\ & \sigma_1 \leftarrow \mathrm{ODRG}_{\mathrm{I_4}} \\ & \sigma_2 \leftarrow \mathrm{ODRG}_{\mathrm{I_5}} \\ & \sigma_3 \leftarrow \mathrm{ODRG}_{\mathrm{I_6}} \\ & \xi_0 \leftarrow \sigma_0 \\ & \xi_1 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.25 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3 \\ & \xi_2 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t}\right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{0.5 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3 \\ & \xi_3 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t}\right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{10 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{0.75 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3 \\ & \xi_4 \leftarrow \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \cdot \left(\frac{10 \cdot a_j}{t}\right) + \sigma_2 \cdot \left(\frac{10 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^2 + \sigma_3 \cdot \left(\frac{10 \cdot a_j}{t}\right)^3 \\ & x_0 \leftarrow 0.0 \\ & x_1 \leftarrow 0.25 \\ & x_2 \leftarrow 0.5 \\ & x_3 \leftarrow 0.75 \\ & x_4 \leftarrow 1.0 \\ \end{array}$$

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 26 of 31

$$\begin{split} & X \leftarrow \text{stack} \Big(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \Big) \\ & \text{ST} \leftarrow \text{stack} \Big(\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4 \Big) \\ & \text{RG} \leftarrow \text{regress}(X, \text{ST}, 3) \\ & \sigma_{00} \leftarrow \text{RG}_3 \\ & \sigma_{10} \leftarrow \text{RG}_4 \\ & \sigma_{20} \leftarrow \text{RG}_5 \\ & \sigma_{30} \leftarrow \text{RG}_6 \\ & \text{AR}_j \leftarrow \frac{a_j}{c_j} \\ & \text{AT}_j \leftarrow \frac{a_j}{t} \\ & G_{au_j} \leftarrow f_{aU}(4, 3, \text{AT}_j) \\ & G_{al_j} \leftarrow f_{aU}(4, .3, \text{AT}_j) \\ & G_{al_j} \leftarrow f_{aQ}(4, .3, \text{AT}_j) \\ & G_{ac_j} \leftarrow f_{aQ}(4, .3, \text{AT}_j) \\ & Q_j \leftarrow \\ & 1 + 1.464 \left(\frac{a_j}{c_j} \right)^{1.65} \text{ otherwise} \\ & \text{K}_{a_j} \leftarrow \left(\frac{\pi \cdot a_j}{Q_j} \right)^{0.5} \left(\sigma_{00} \cdot G_{au_j} + \sigma_{10} \cdot G_{al_j} + \sigma_{20} \cdot G_{aq_j} + \sigma_{30} \cdot G_{ac_j} \right) \\ & \text{K}_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow \text{K}_{a_j} \cdot 1.099 \\ & \text{K}_{\alpha_j} \leftarrow \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot \Big(\text{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \Big)^{1.16} \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot \Big(\text{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \Big)^{1.16} \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot \Big(\text{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \Big)^{1.16} \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot \Big(\text{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \Big)^{1.16} \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot \Big(\text{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \Big)^{1.16} \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot \Big(\text{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \Big)^{1.16} \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow C_0 \cdot \Big(\text{K}_{\alpha_j} - 9.0 \Big)^{1.16} \\ & P_{a_j} \leftarrow P_{a_j} \cdot P_{a_j} \cdot P_{a_j} \cdot P_{a_j} \cdot P_{a_j} \cdot P_{a_j} \cdot P_{a_j} + P_{a_j} \cdot P_{a_j$$

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 27 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

 $k := 0.. I_{\lim}$

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 28 of 31



The Current model, in the time period of interest provides a higher growth.

The flaw growth in the length direction for the conventional model is controlled by the flaw aspect ratio. Hence the observed higher growth rate for the conventional model doe not signify a truly higher growth rate.

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 29 of 31



The SIF comparison shows that the current model has higher SIF for the period of interest (one operating cycle). The conventional model SIF rises above the current model SIF for the depth point (a-tip) but remains below that for the surface point (c-tip).

Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam



Axum plot showing the ID and the OD stress distribution for the CEDM

Axum plot showing the comparison for Crack growth between Conventional and Current Model



Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Appendix D; Attachment 4 Page 31 of 31 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Axum plot showing the SIF comparison between the Conventional and Current Models



Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 1 of 17 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Comparison for Through-wall Cracks Developed by Central Engineering Programs, Entergy Operations Inc Developed by: J. S. Brihmadesam Verified b

Verified by: B. C. Gray

References :

1) ASME PVP paper PVP-350, Page 143; 1997 {Fracture Mechanics Model}

2) Crack Growth of Alloy 600 Base Metal in PWR Environments; EPRI MRP Report MRP 55 Rev. 1, 2002

Purpose :- This worksheet is used to compare the results from the conventional model, edge crack model and the current model. The SIF comparison is made between the conventional model and the current model. The crack growth and SIF comparisons are made between the edge crack and current model. The SIF equations for the conventional model are included in the current model's recursive loop structure. The edge crack is modeled separately in a recursive loop immediately following the loop for the current model. Graphical results show the comparisons at the end.

The salient differences between the three models considered are:

- Current model is based on λ, which is limited to 20. The closed form solutions are based on a thick wall cylinder. The applied stresses are based on a moving average. Therefore an increase in the stress field as the crack advances is considered in the analyses
- 2) The conventional model is based on a Center Cracked Panel with a SICF of 1.0. The applied stresses are at the initial flaw location and remain constant over the entire crack growth regime.
- 3) The edge crack model uses the plate height (b) equal to the nozzle length from the bottom of the nozzle to below the weld. The initial flaw length (a) is equal to the blind zone (1.544 inches). When this is done the ratio a/b (crack-length/plate-height) is larger than the validity limit of 0.6. Therefore, the estimated SIF is considered non-representative.

Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2

Component : Reactor Vessel CEDM -"8.8" degree Nozzle, "0" Degree Azimuth 1.3 inch above Nozzle Bottom

Calculation Reference: MRP 75 th Percentile and Flaw Pressurized

Note : Used the Metric form of the equation from EPRI MRP 55-Rev. 1. The correction is applied in the determination of the crack extension to obtain the value in inch/hr.

Through Wall Axial Flaw Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 2 of 17

The first Input is to locate the Reference Line (eg. top of the Blind Zone). The through-wall flaw "Upper Tip" is located at the Reference Line. Enter the elevation of the Reference Line (eg. Blind Zone) above the nozzle bottom in inches.

BZ := 1.3

Location of Blind Zone above nozzle bottom (inch)

The Second Input is the Upper Limit for the evaluation, which is the bottom of the fillet weld leg. This is shown on the Excel spread sheet as weld bottom. Enter this dimension (measured from nozzle bottom) below.

 $UL_{Strs.Dist} := 1.786$

Upper axial Extent for Stress Distribution to be used in the analysis (Axial distance above nozzle bottom)

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 3 of 17

| Input Data :- | | |
|--|---|---|
| | L := .794 | Initial Flaw Length TW axial |
| | OD := 4.05 | Tube OD |
| | ID := 2.728 | Tube ID |
| | $P_{Int} := 2.235$ | Design Operating Pressure (internal) |
| | Years := 4 | Number of Operating Years |
| | I _{lim} := 1500 | Iteration limit for Crack Growth loop |
| | T := 604 | Estimate of Operating Temperature |
| | v := 0.307 | Poissons ratio @ 600 F |
| | $\alpha_{0c} := 2.67 \cdot 10^{-12}$ | Constant in MRP PWSCC Model for I-600 Wrought @ 617 deg. F |
| | Qg := 31.0 | Thermal activation Energy for Crack Growth (MRP) |
| | $T_{ref} := 617$ | Reference Temperature for normalizing Data deg. F |
| $C_0 := e^{\left[\frac{-Q_g}{1.103 \cdot 10^{-1}}\right]}$ $R_o := \frac{OD}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{-3} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T+459.67} - \frac{1}{T_{ref}+459.67}\right) = \alpha_{0c}$ | Tim _{opr} := Years 365 24 |
| | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{j}} := \frac{\mathbf{ID}}{2}$ | $t := R_0 - R_i$ $R_m := R_i + \frac{t}{2}$ $CF_{inhr} := 1.417 \cdot 10^5$ |
| $C_{blk} := \frac{Tim_{opr}}{I_{lim}}$ | $Prnt_{blk} := \left \frac{l_{lim}}{50} \right $ | $I := \frac{L}{2} \qquad \qquad L_I := BZ$ |

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 4 of 17

Stress Distribution in the tube. The outside surface is the reference surface for all analysis in accordance with the reference. **Stress Input Data** Import the Required data from applicable Excel spread Sheet. The column designations are as follows: Column "0" = Axial distance from Minimum to Maximum recorded on the data sheet (inches) Column "1" = ID Stress data at each Elevation (ksi) Column "5" = OD Stress data at each Elevation (ksi) DataAll := 0 2 5 1-3 .4 0 0 -27.4 -24.36 -22.21 -20.41 -18.981 0.63 -1.49 -3.6 -4.44 -5.27 0.48 2 0.87 17.66 16.42 14.61 12.41 9.38 3 26.05 22.72 18.95 1.18 29.8 14.2 4 27.79 24.8 1.43 33.62 24.32 26.99 5 1.63 32.36 28.47 27.59 34.28 45.1 6 27.39 31.39 43.88 63.72 1.79 28.92 7 1.92 21.5 25.56 33.55 48.09 66.36 8 16.94 2.05 23.79 34.06 49.47 67.67 14.83 22.26 34.78 49.05 9 2.18 63.38 $AIIAxI := Data_{AII}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$ $AIIOD := Data_{AII}^{\langle 5 \rangle}$ AllID := $Data_{All}$ 100 .75 1.544 75 50 Stress [ksi] 25 10 0 -25 -50 0.5 I 1.5 2 2.5 3 0 Axial Distance above Bottom [inch] **ID** Distribution **OD** distribution

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 5 of 17 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Observing the stress distribution select the region in the table above labeled Data_{All} that represents the region of interest. This needs to be done especially for distributions that have a large compressive stress at the nozzle bottom and high tensile stresses at the J-weld location. Copy the selection in the above table, click on the "Data" statement below and delete it from the edit menu. Type "Data and the Mathcad "equal" sign (Shift-Colon) then insert the same to the right of the Mathcad Equals sign below (paste symbol).

$$Data := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -27.404 & -24.356 & -22.209 & -20.407 & -18.978 \\ 0.483 & 0.633 & -1.486 & -3.599 & -4.44 & -5.268 \\ 0.87 & 17.665 & 16.422 & 14.61 & 12.415 & 9.376 \\ 1.18 & 29.798 & 26.049 & 22.723 & 18.95 & 14.201 \\ 1.428 & 33.623 & 27.792 & 24.8 & 24.321 & 26.989 \\ 1.627 & 32.364 & 28.469 & 27.591 & 34.284 & 45.104 \\ 1.786 & 27.394 & 28.918 & 31.388 & 43.882 & 63.718 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $AxI := Data^{(0)}$

 $R_{ID} := regress(AxI, ID, 3)$

 $R_{OD} := regress(Axl, OD, 3)$

 $OD := Data^{(5)}$

FL_{Cntr} := BZ – I Flaw Center above Nozzle Bottom

$$lnc_{Strs.avg} := \frac{UL_{Strs.Dist} - BZ}{20}$$
$$lncr_{Edg} := \frac{UL_{Strs.Dist} - BZ}{20}$$

 $ID := Data^{\langle j \rangle}$

 $RID_{AII} := regress(AIIAxI, AIIID, 3)$

ROD_{All} := regress(AllAxl, AllOD, 3)

No User Input required beyond this Point

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 6 of 17

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Calculation to develop Stress Profiles for Analysis} \\ \mbox{Hoop Stress Profile in the axial direction of the tube for ID and OD locations} \\ \mbox{N:= 20} \qquad \mbox{Number of locations for stress profiles} \\ \mbox{Loc}_0 := \mbox{FL}_{Cntr} - \mbox{L}. \\ \mbox{i := 1..N + 3} \\ \mbox{Incr}_{i:} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mbox{if } i < 4 & \\ \mbox{Incr}_{iotg} & \mbox{otherwise} & \\ \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{otherwise} & \\ \mbox{Loc}_{i:} = \mbox{Loc}_{i-1} + \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{otherwise} & \\ \mbox{Loc}_{i-1} + \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{otherwise} & \\ \mbox{Loc}_{i-1} + \mbox{Incr}_{olg} & \mbox{otherwise} & \\ \mbox{SID}_{i} := \mbox{RID}_{3} + \mbox{RID}_{4} \mbox{Loc}_{1} + \mbox{RID}_{5} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{2} + \mbox{RID}_{4} \mbox{Inc}_{i} + \mbox{ROD}_{4} \mbox{Inc}_{i} + \mbox{ROD}_{6} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \mbox{SID}_{All} := \mbox{RID}_{All_{3}} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{4}} \mbox{Loc}_{1} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{5}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{2} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \mbox{SID}_{All_{3}} := \mbox{RID}_{All_{3}} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{4}} \mbox{Loc}_{1} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{5}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{2} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \mbox{SID}_{All_{3}} := \mbox{RID}_{All_{3}} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{4}} \mbox{Loc}_{1} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{5}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{2} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \mbox{SID}_{All_{3}} := \mbox{RID}_{All_{3}} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{4}} \mbox{Loc}_{1} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{5}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{2} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \mbox{SID}_{All_{3}} := \mbox{RID}_{All_{3}} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{4}} \mbox{Loc}_{1} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{5}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{2} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \mbox{SID}_{All_{5}} := \mbox{RID}_{All_{4}} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{4}} \mbox{Loc}_{1} + \mbox{RID}_{All_{5}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{2} & \\ \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{RID}_{All_{6}} \mbox{(Loc}_{1})^{3} & \\ \m$$

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 7 of 17



Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 8 of 17

| | | S | tress Dis | stributions | s for use | in Fracture N | lechani | cs Analysis | | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|---|-------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Membrane Bend Stress Stre | | ending Stress | ling OD S Iss | | Stress ID Stress | | Me stre (E | Membrane stress (Edge Crack) | | |
| σ _m = | 0 0 0 1 15.27 2 18.819 3 21.119 4 22.794 5 24.115 6 25.215 7 26.169 8 27.022 9 27.802 10 28.53 11 29.217 12 29.874 13 30.507 14 31.122 15 31.723 | $\sigma_b =$ | 0 0 1 -4.731 2 -4.823 3 -4.766 4 -4.625 5 -4.426 6 -4.184 7 -3.905 8 -3.594 9 -3.254 10 -2.885 11 -2.489 12 -2.066 13 -1.617 14 -1.142 15 -0.64 | S _{od} = | 0 0 1 8.303 2 11.761 3 14.117 4 15.934 5 17.454 6 18.796 7 20.029 8 21.193 9 22.314 10 23.41 11 24.493 12 25.572 13 26.6555 14 27.745 15 28.848 | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 | .0 17.766 21.408 23.65 25.184 26.306 27.164 27.839 28.381 28.821 29.18 29.705 29.889 30.029 30.128 | σ _{m.all} = | 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 0 5.53 12.037 16.08 18.889 20.99 22.646 24.005 25.153 26.146 27.022 27.807 28.518 29.169 29.77 30.329 |

 $Prop_{Length} := UL_{Strs.Dist} - (FL_{Cntr} + I)$

 $Prop_{Length} = 0.486$

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 9 of 17

| Calculations : Recursive calculations to estimate flaw growth | | | | | |
|---|---|----|--|--|--|
| Recursive loop for Entergy Model and Industry Model | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| TWC _{pwscc} := $\prod i \leftarrow 0$ | | רר | | | |
| l I ₀ ← I | | | | | |
| $ NCB_0 \leftarrow C_{blk}$ | | | | | |
| while i ≤ I _{lim} | | | | | |
| $\sigma_{m.appld} \leftarrow$ | σ_{m_1} if $l_1 \leq l_0$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_2} if $l_0 < l_i \le l_0 + lnc_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_3} if $l_0 + Inc_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 2 \cdot Inc_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_4} if $l_0 + 2 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 3 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_5} if $l_0 + 3 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_1 \le l_0 + 4 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_6} if $l_0 + 4 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 5 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_7} if $l_0 + 5 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 6 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_8} if $l_0 + 6 \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 7 \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | σ_{m_0} if $l_0 + 7 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 8 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{10}}$ if $l_0 + 8 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 9 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{11}}$ if $I_0 + 9 \ln c_{Strs.avg} < I_1 \leq I_0 + 10 \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{12}}$ if $l_0 + 10 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 11 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{13}}$ if $l_0 + 11 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 12 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{14}}$ if $l_0 + 12 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 13 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{15}}$ if $l_0 + 13 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 14 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{i}}$ if $l_0 + 14 \cdot lnc_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 15 \cdot lnc_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{in}}$ if $l_0 + 15 \ln c_{Strs,avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 16 \ln c_{Strs,avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m_{10}}$ if $l_0 + 16 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_1 \le l_0 + 17 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\rm m}$ if $l_{\rm o}$ + 17·lnc _{Strs ave} < l. $\leq l_{\rm o}$ + 18·lnc _{Strs ave} | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\rm m}$ otherwise | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 10 of 17

| $\sigma_{b.appld} \leftarrow$ | $b_1 \cdots b_{i_1} = 0$ | |
|---|---|--|
| | σ_{b_2} if $l_0 < l_i \le l_0 + \text{Inc}_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | σ_{b_3} if $l_0 + \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 2 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | σ_{b_4} if $l_0 + 2 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 3 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | σ_{b_5} if $l_0 + 3 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 4 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | σ_{b_6} if $l_0 + 4 \cdot \text{Inc}_{\text{Strs.avg}} < l_i \le l_0 + 5 \cdot \text{Inc}_{\text{Strs.avg}}$ | |
| | σ_{b_7} if $l_0 + 5 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 6 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | σ_{b_8} if $l_0 + 6 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 7 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | σ_{b_9} if $l_0 + 7 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 8 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{10}}$ if $l_0 + 8 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 9 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{11}}$ if $l_0 + 9 \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 10 \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{12}}$ if $l_0 + 10 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 11 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{13}}$ if $l_0 + 11 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 12 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{14}}$ if $l_0 + 12 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 13 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{15}}$ if $l_0 + 13 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 14 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{16}}$ if $l_0 + 14 \cdot \text{Inc}_{\text{Strs.avg}} < l_i \le l_0 + 15 \cdot \text{Inc}_{\text{Strs.avg}}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{17}}$ if $l_0 + 15 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 16 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{18}}$ if $l_0 + 16 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 17 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{19}}$ if $l_0 + 17 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg} < l_i \le l_0 + 18 \cdot \ln c_{Strs.avg}$ | |
| | $\sigma_{b_{20}}$ otherwise | |
| $\lambda_i \leftarrow \left[12 \cdot \left(1 \right) \right]$ | $(-v^2) \Big]^{0.25} \cdot \frac{l_i}{(R_m \cdot t)^{0.5}}$ | |
| $A_{em_i} \leftarrow 1.00$ | $090 + 0.3621 \cdot \lambda_{i} + 0.0565 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{2} - 0.0082 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{3} + 0.0004 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{4} - 8.326 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{5}$ | |
| A _{bmi} ← −0.0 | $0063 + 0.0919 \cdot \lambda_{i} - 0.0168 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{2} - 0.0052 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{3} + 0.0008 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{4} - 2.9701 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{5}$ | |
| $A_{eb_i} \leftarrow 0.002$ | $29 + 0.0707 \cdot \lambda_{i} - 0.0197 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{2} + 0.0034 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{3} - 0.0003 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{4} + 8.8052 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{5}$ | |
| A _{bb} , ← 0.99 | $61 - 0.3806 \cdot \lambda_{i} + 0.1239 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{2} - 0.0211 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{3} + 0.0017 \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{4} - 4.9939 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot (\lambda_{i})^{5}$ | |

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 11 of 17

| $K_{pm_{i}} \leftarrow \sigma_{m.appld} (\pi \cdot l_{i})^{0.5}$ | |
|--|--|
| $K_{pb_i} \leftarrow \sigma_{b.appld} (\pi \cdot l_i)^{0.5}$ | |
| $K_{\text{membrnOD}_i} \leftarrow (A_{\text{em}_i} + A_{\text{bm}_i}) K_{\text{pm}_i}$ | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} K_{membrnID_i} \leftarrow (A_{em_i} - A_{bm_i}) \\ K_{pm_i} \end{bmatrix}$ | |
| $K_{bendOD} \leftarrow (A_{eb} + A_{bb}) K_{pb}$ | |
| $\left \left \mathbf{K}_{bendID_{i}} \leftarrow \left(\mathbf{A}_{eb_{i}} - \mathbf{A}_{bb_{i}} \right) \mathbf{K}_{pb_{i}} \right \right $ | |
| $K_{AppOD_i} \leftarrow K_{membrnOD_i} + K_{bendOD_i}$ | |
| $K_{AppID_i} \leftarrow K_{membrnID_i} + K_{bendID_i}$ | |
| $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{WH}_{i}} \leftarrow \sigma_{\mathbf{m}_{i}} (\pi \cdot \mathbf{l}_{i})^{0.5}$ | |
| $K_{App_i} \leftarrow \frac{K_{AppOD_i} + K_{AppID_i}}{2}$ | |
| $K_{\text{WH.lcnr.Strs}_{i}} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{m.appld}} \left(\pi \cdot I_{j}\right)^{0.5}$ | |
| $K_{\alpha_i} \leftarrow K_{App_i} \cdot 1.099$ | |
| $K_{\alpha_i} \leftarrow 9.0 \text{ if } K_{\alpha_i} \leq 9.0$ | |
| K_{α_i} otherwise | |
| $D_{\text{len}_i} \leftarrow C_0 \left(K_{\alpha_i} - 9.0 \right)^{1.16}$ | |
| $D_{\text{lengrth}} \leftarrow D_{\text{len}} \cdot CF_{\text{inhr}} \cdot C_{\text{blk}}$ if $K_{\alpha_1} \le 80.0$ | |
| 4.10^{-10} CF _{inhr} C _{blk} otherwise | |
| $output_{(i,0)} \leftarrow i$ | |
| NCB | |
| $\operatorname{output}_{(i,1)} \leftarrow \overline{365.24}$ | |
| $\operatorname{output}_{(i,2)} \leftarrow \lambda_i$ | |
| $\operatorname{output}_{(i,3)} \leftarrow l_i - l_0$ | |
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{output}_{(i,4)} \leftarrow I_i \\ \text{integration} \\ integ$ | |
| $\bigcup_{(i,5)} \leftarrow K_{App}_i$ | |
| $\operatorname{output}_{(i,6)} \leftarrow \operatorname{KappOD}_i$ | |
| $\operatorname{output}_{(i,7)} \leftarrow \kappa_{\operatorname{AppID}_i}$ | |

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 12 of 17 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 13 of 17

| Recursive Loc | Recursive Loop For Edge Crack Model | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| TWCEDG _{pwscc} := | i ← 0]] | | | | |
| | $L_{l_0} \leftarrow L_l $ | | | | |
| | $NCB_0 \leftarrow C_{blk}$ | | | | |
| | while $i \leq I_{\lim}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.appld}} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{m.all}_1} \text{ if } L_{l_i} \leq L_{l_0}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_2}$ if $L_{1_0} < L_{1_i} \leq L_{1_0} + \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_3}$ if $L_{1_0} + \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{1_i} \leq L_{1_i} + 2 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_4}$ if $L_{1_0} + 2 \ln \operatorname{cr}_{Edg} < L_{1_i} \leq L_{1_0} + 3 \ln \operatorname{cr}_{Edg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_5}$ if $L_{1_0} + 3 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{1_i} \leq L_{1_0} + 4 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_6}$ if $L_{1_0} + 4 \ln \operatorname{cr}_{Edg} < L_{1_1} \leq L_{1_0} + 5 \ln \operatorname{cr}_{Edg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_7}$ if $L_{1_0} + 5 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{1_1} \leq L_{1_0} + 6 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m.all_8}$ if $L_{1_0} + 6 \cdot \ln \operatorname{cr}_{Edg} < L_{1_1} \leq L_{I_0} + 7 \cdot \ln \operatorname{cr}_{Edg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_9}$ if $L_{1_0} + 7 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{1_i} \leq L_{1_0} + 8 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{10}}$ if $L_{I_0} + 8 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{I_i} \leq L_{I_0} + 9 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{11}}$ if $L_{l_0} + 9 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{l_i} \leq L_{l_0} + 10 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{12}}$ if $L_{l_0} + 10 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{l_i} \leq L_{l_0} + 11 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{13}}$ if $L_{l_0} + 11 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{l_i} \leq L_{l_0} + 12 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{14}}$ if $L_{1_0} + 12 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{1_i} \leq L_{1_0} + 13 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m.all_{15}}$ if $L_{1_0} + 13 \cdot Incr_{Edg} < L_{1_i} \leq L_{1_0} + 14 \cdot Incr_{Edg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{16}}$ if $L_{1_0} + 14 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{1_1} \leq L_{1_0} + 15 \cdot \text{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{17}}$ if $L_{1_0} + 15$ Incr _{Edg} $< L_{1_1} \le L_{1_0} + 16$ Incr _{Edg} | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{\text{m.all}_{18}}$ if $L_{1_0} + 16 \operatorname{Incr}_{\text{Edg}} < L_{1_1} \leq L_{1_0} + 17 \operatorname{Incr}_{\text{Edg}}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m.all_{19}}$ if $L_{1_0} + 17 \cdot \ln cr_{Edg} < L_{1_1} \leq L_{1_0} + 18 \cdot \ln cr_{Edg}$ | | | | |
| | $\sigma_{m.all_{20}}$ otherwise | | | | |
| | $b \leftarrow UL_{Strs.Dist}$ | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 14 of 17 Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01

 $Z_{i} \leftarrow 0.99 \text{ if } \frac{E_{i}}{b} \ge 1.0$ $L_{i} = 0.91 \text{ if } \frac{E_{i}}{b} \ge 1.0$ $L_{i} = 0.231 \cdot (Z_{i}) + 10.55 \cdot (Z_{i})^{2} - 21.72 \cdot (Z_{i})^{3} + 30.39 \cdot (Z_{i})^{4}$ $K_{edg,Crk} \leftarrow \sigma_{m.appld} \sqrt{\pi \cdot L_{i}} = if (\sigma_{m.appld} \sqrt{\pi \cdot L_{i}}) \le 0$ $\sigma_{m.appld} \cdot (\pi \cdot L_{i})^{0.5} \cdot F_{a.b_{i}} \text{ otherwise}$ $K_{A_{i}} \leftarrow K_{edg,Crk_{i}} \cdot 1.099$ $K_{\alpha_{i}} \leftarrow 9.0 \text{ if } K_{A_{i}} \le 9.0$ $K_{A_{i}} \text{ otherwise}$ $D_{len_{i}} \leftarrow C_{0} (K_{\alpha_{i}} - 9.0)^{1.16}$ $D_{lengrth_{i}} \leftarrow D_{len_{i}} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} \text{ if } K_{\alpha_{i}} \le 80.0$ $4 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot CF_{inhr} \cdot C_{blk} \text{ otherwise}$

$$output_{(i, 0)} \leftarrow i$$

$$output_{(i, 1)} \leftarrow \frac{NC}{365}$$

output_(i,1) $\leftarrow \frac{\text{NCB}_i}{365 \cdot 24}$ output_(i,2) $\leftarrow \text{L}_{1_i} - \text{L}_{1_0}$ output_(i,3) $\leftarrow \text{D}_{\text{lengrth}_i}$ output_(i,4) $\leftarrow \text{K}_{\text{edg.Crk}_i}$ output_(i,5) $\leftarrow \text{F}_{a.b_i}$ $i \leftarrow i + 1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{1_{i}} \leftarrow L_{1_{i-1}} + D_{\text{lengrth}_{i-1}} \\ \text{NCB}_{i} \leftarrow \text{NCB}_{i-1} + C_{\text{blk}} \end{bmatrix}$$

j := 1 .. I_{lim}

Appendix D; Attachment 5 Page 15 of 17

Engineering Report M-EP-2003-002-01





Comparison for crack growth between Edge Crack and Current Model. The edge crack mc provides a constant crack growth rate equal to the asymptotic growth rate of about 05. inch/year. The edge crack model produces a SIF much greater than the asymptotic value of ksi* in^0.5 or 80 Mpa*m^0.5. This is because the "a/b" ratio (crack-length/plate-height) is significantly greater than the validity limit of 0.6 In order to meet the "a/b" ratio validity limit of (the crack length, for the assumed plate height cannot be greater than 1.073 inches, which is lower than the blind zone length of 1.544 inche As shown in attachment 3 of this appendix, assuming a longer plate height produces SICF that can be lower than the membrane compon SICF. Therefore, the SICF for the modeled ed crack configuration is considered incorrect because the validity regime is violated (since a ratio is in excess of 0.6).





Axum plot showing the comparison for the SIF between the Current and Conventional Models.

