



Weston Geophysical CORPORATION

July 26, 1985
WGC - R-531

WM-RES
WM Record File
D-1003
WESTON

WM Project 10, 11, 16
Docket No. _____
PDR ✓
LPDR B, N, S

Distribution:

IBRAHIM

(Return to WM, 623-SS)

23

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Division of Contracts
Attention: Barry Bromberg
Washington DC 20555

Gentlemen:

In accordance with your request, we submit our proposal for Task Order No. 0012 of Contract No. NRC-02-84-001 consisting of two parts. Part 1 is our Technical Proposal and Part 2 is our Cost Proposal.

This proposal is firm for ninety days.

Sincerely,

WESTON GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION

Mary M. Fannon

MMF/rf-0033J

'85 AUG -7 AMO:41

WM DOCKET CONTROL CENTER

8508150321 850726
PDR WMRES EECWGC
D-1003 PDR

2226

PART I

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL
CONTRACT NO. NRC 02-84-001

TASK ORDER 0012

Under this task order, Weston shall review geologic data available for Palo Duro Basin, attend the meeting to be held on August 5-8, 1985 in Austin, Texas. Weston shall attend the meeting on July 26, 1985, to be held in Austin or Houston, Texas.

SCOPE OF WORK

SPR Palo Duro Meeting

Weston will review each of the Bureau of Mines Palo Duro geology specific documents issued prior to July 31, 1985, and provide comments on them. [NRC shall continue to supply these documents to the contractor.] Weston will concern itself with data quality, acquisition techniques, processing and interpretations, and major conclusions stated within the documents. Comments will be directed to the geological and geophysical aspects related to each document. Weston will also attend the Palo Duro meeting in Austin, Texas, from August 5-8, 1985.

BWIP Austin Meeting

Weston will provide two representatives to attend a meeting in Austin, Texas, on July 26, 1985. The purpose of the meeting is an update on the DOE/Rockwell seismic reflection exploration program which was the subject of a May 23, 1985, meeting in Silver Spring, Maryland [Task Order 10].

DELIVERABLES

The compilation of written comments on the review of each of the Bureau of Mines Palo Duro geology will be submitted by July 31, 1985. The meeting and trip reports for the Palo Duro Basin Meeting will be submitted within ten working days following the completion of the trip. The meeting and trip reports for the July 26, 1985, meeting will be submitted within ten working days following the completion of the meeting.

PERSONNEL AND SCHEDULE

Technical personnel assigned to the data review of the Palo Duro Basin geology are John P. Imse and Vincent J. Murphy. Mr. John P. Imse will attend the meeting in Austin, Texas on August 5-8, 1985. Mr. Edward N. Levine and Dr. Glyn M. Jones will attend the meeting on July 26, 1985, in Austin, Texas. The meeting and trip reports will be submitted within ten working days of the completion of each meeting.

PART 2

CONTRACT PRICING PROPOSAL COVER SHEET

1. SOLICITATION/CONTRACT/MODIFICATION NO. NRC02-84-001, Task Order 0012
 FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 3090-0116

NOTE: This form is used in contract actions if submission of cost or pricing data is required. (See FAR 15.804-6(b))

2. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR (Include ZIP Code)

Weston Geophysical Corporation
 Post Office Box 550
 Westboro, Massachusetts 01581

3A. NAME AND TITLE OF OFFEROR'S POINT OF CONTACT

3B. TELEPHONE NO.

4. TYPE OF CONTRACT ACTION (Check)

<input type="checkbox"/> A. NEW CONTRACT	<input type="checkbox"/> D. LETTER CONTRACT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B. CHANGE ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> E. UNPRICED ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> C. PRICE REVISION/REDETERMINATION	<input type="checkbox"/> F. OTHER (Specify)

5. TYPE OF CONTRACT (Check)

FFP CPFF CPIX CPAF
 FPI OTHER (Specify)

6. PROPOSED COST (A+B=C)

A. COST	B. PROFIT/FEE	C. TOTAL
\$15,718	\$1,509	\$17,227

7. PLACE(S) AND PERIOD(S) OF PERFORMANCE

Westboro, Massachusetts

List and reference the identification, quantity and total price proposed for each contract line item. A line item cost breakdown supporting this recap is required unless otherwise specified by the Contracting Officer. (Continue on reverse, and then on plain paper, if necessary. Use same headings.)

A. LINE ITEM NO.	B. IDENTIFICATION	C. QUANTITY	D. TOTAL PRICE	E. REF.
1	Direct Labor	226 hrs	\$4,633	Sch. A
2	Indirect Costs		7,598	Sch. A
3	Other Direct Costs		3,487	Sch. A
4	Fee		1,509	Sch. A

9. PROVIDE NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER FOR THE FOLLOWING (If available)

A. CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OFFICE	B. AUDIT OFFICE

10. WILL YOU REQUIRE THE USE OF ANY GOVERNMENT PROPERTY IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS WORK? (If "Yes," identify) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	11A. DO YOU REQUIRE GOVERNMENT CONTRACT FINANCING TO PERFORM THIS PROPOSED CONTRACT? (If "Yes," complete Item 11B) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	11B. TYPE OF FINANCING (If one) <input type="checkbox"/> ADVANCE PAYMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> PROGRESS PAYMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> GUARANTEED LOANS
12. HAVE YOU BEEN AWARDED ANY CONTRACTS OR SUBCONTRACTS FOR THE SAME OR SIMILAR ITEMS WITHIN THE PAST 3 YEARS? (If "Yes," identify item(s), customer(s) and contract number(s)) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	13. IS THIS PROPOSAL CONSISTENT WITH YOUR ESTABLISHED ESTIMATING AND ACCOUNTING PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES AND FAR PART 31 COST PRINCIPLES? (If "No," explain) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	

14. COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (CASB) DATA (Public Law 91-378 as amended and FAR PART 30)

A. WILL THIS CONTRACT ACTION BE SUBJECT TO CASB REGULATIONS? (If "No," explain in proposal) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	B. HAVE YOU SUBMITTED A CASB DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (CASB DS-1 or 2)? (If "Yes," specify in proposal the office to which submitted and if determined to be adequate) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
C. HAVE YOU BEEN NOTIFIED THAT YOU ARE OR MAY BE IN NON-COMPLIANCE WITH YOUR DISCLOSURE STATEMENT OR COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS? (If "Yes," explain in proposal) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	D. IS ANY ASPECT OF THIS PROPOSAL INCONSISTENT WITH YOUR DISCLOSED PRACTICES OR APPLICABLE COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS? (If "Yes," explain in proposal) <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

This proposal is submitted in response to the RFP contract, modification, etc. in Item 1 and reflects our best estimates and/or actual costs as of this date.

15. NAME AND TITLE (Type) Mary M. Fannon	16. NAME OF FIRM Weston Geophysical Corporation	18. DATE OF SUBMISSION 7-26-85
17. SIGNATURE <i>Mary M. Fannon</i>		18. DATE OF SUBMISSION

CONTRACT NO. NRC-02-84-001
 TASK ORDER 012
 SCHEDULE A
 COST ESTIMATE

ITEM 1	<u>DIRECT LABOR</u> [by labor categories]	<u>Esti- mated Hours</u>	<u>Hourly Rate</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	<u>Totals</u>
	Principal/Manager	44	\$ 36.06	\$ 1,587	
	Geophysicist [Sr. Staff]	10	\$ 28.13	\$ 281	
	Staff Consultant	40	\$ 24.04	\$ 962	
	Geophysicist	120	\$ 14.18	\$ 1,701	
	Asst. Geophysicist		\$ 9.38	\$	
	Drafting Technician		\$ 12.50	\$	
	Secretary	12	\$ 8.50	\$ 102	
	DIRECT LABOR TOTAL	226		<u> </u>	\$ 4,633
ITEM 2	<u>INDIRECT COSTS</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Base</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	
	Salary Burden and General & Administrative	164%	\$ 4,633	\$ 7,598	
	INDIRECT COSTS TOTAL			<u> </u>	\$ 7,598
ITEM 3	<u>OTHER DIRECT COSTS</u>		<u>Item</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	
	Air Fares	\$ 413	2	\$ 826	
	Air Fares	\$ 750	2	\$ 1,500	
	Airport Transportation	\$ 33	1	\$ 33	
	Airport Transportation	\$ 64	2	\$ 128	
	Rental Vehicle	\$ 50	5	\$ 250	
	Per Diem	\$ 75	10	\$ 750	
	DIRECT COSTS TOTAL			<u> </u>	\$ 3,487
	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST				\$ 15,718
ITEM 4	FEE		[9.6%]		<u> </u>
	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST & FEE				\$ 17,227