

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### REGION IV 611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-4005

September 16, 2003

Joseph E. Venable Vice President Operations Waterford 3 Entergy Operations, Inc. 17265 River Road Killona, Louisiana 70066-0751

SUBJECT: WATERFORD 3 STEAM ELECTRIC STATION, NRC EXAMINATION

REPORT 50-382/2003-301

Dear Mr. Venable:

On August 28, 2003, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) completed an examination at Waterford 3. The enclosed report documents the examination findings, which were discussed on August 28, 2003, with Messrs. Tom Tankersley, Kevin Walsh, and other members of your staff.

The examination included the evaluation of seven applicants for senior operator licenses. We determined that all applicants satisfied the requirements of 10 CFR Part 55, and the appropriate licenses have been issued.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Anthony T. Gody, Chief Operations Branch Division of Reactor Safety

Docket: 50-382 License: NPF-38

### Entergy Operations, Inc.

cc w/enclosure:
Senior Vice President and
Chief Operating Officer
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P.O. Box 31995
Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

Vice President, Operations Support Entergy Operations, Inc. P.O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway P.O. Box 651 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

General Manager, Plant Operations Waterford 3 SES Entergy Operations, Inc. 17265 River Road Killona, Louisiana 70066-0751

Manager - Licensing Manager Waterford 3 SES Entergy Operations, Inc. 17265 River Road Killona, Louisiana 70066-0751

Chairman Louisiana Public Service Commission P.O. Box 91154 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-9154

Director, Nuclear Safety & Regulatory Affairs Waterford 3 SES Entergy Operations, Inc. 17265 River Road Killona, Louisiana 70066-0751

Michael E. Henry, State Liaison Officer Department of Environmental Quality Permits Division P.O. Box 4313 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

# Entergy Operations, Inc.

Parish President St. Charles Parish P.O. Box 302 Hahnville, Louisiana 70057

Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3502 -3-

Entergy Operations, Inc.

-4-

Electronic distribution by RIV:
Acting Regional Administrator (TPG)
DRP Director (ATH)
Acting DRS Director (GMG)
Senior Resident Inspector (TRF)
Branch Chief, DRP/E (WBJ)
Senior Project Engineer, DRP/E (VGG)
Staff Chief, DRP/TSS (PHH)
RITS Coordinator (NBH)
J. Clark (JAC), OEDO RIV Coordinator WAT Site Secretary (AHY)
DAPowers (DAP)

ADAMS: ☐ Yes ☐ No Initials: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Publicly Available ☐ Non-Publicly Available ☐ Sensitive ☐ Non-Sensitive

SOE:OB	SOE:OB	SOE:OB	C:OB	C:PBE	C:OB
TOMcKernon/Imb	MEMurphy	TFStetka	ATGody	WBJones	ATGody
/R <b>A</b> /	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/	/RA/

# U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION IV

Dockets: 50-382

Licenses: NPF-38

Report: 50-382/2003-301

Licensee: Entergy Nuclear South

Facility: Waterford 3 SES

Location: 17265 River Road

Kilona, LA 70066

Dates: August 22-28, 2003

Examiners: T. O. McKernon, Chief Examiner, Operations Branch

T. F. Stetka, Senior Operations Engineer, Operations Branch M. E. Murphy, Senior Operations Engineer, Operations Branch

Approved By: Anthony T. Gody, Chief

**Operations Branch** 

Division of Reactor Safety

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

ER 05000382/2003-301, 8/22-28/2003; Waterford 3 Nuclear Generating Station, Initial Operator Licensing Examinations.

NRC examiners evaluated the competency of seven applicants for senior operator licenses at Waterford 3. The facility licensee developed the examinations using NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors," Draft Revision 9, as part of a pilot program. Licensee proctors administered the written examination to all applicants on August 22, 2003, in accordance with the instructions provided by the chief examiner. The NRC administered the operating tests on August 25-28, 2003.

# Report Details

## 4. OTHER ACTIVITIES (OA)

#### 4OA4 Initial Operator License Examination

.1 Operator Knowledge and Performance

#### a. Examination Scope

On August 22, 2003, the licensee proctored the administration of the written examinations to all seven applicants. The licensee staff graded the written examinations, analyzed the results, and presented their analysis to the NRC on September 5, 2003.

The NRC examination team administered the various portions of the operating examination to all seven applicants on August 25-28, 2003. The seven applicants for senior operator licenses participated in four dynamic simulator scenarios. The three applicants for instant senior operators participated in a control room and facilities walkthrough test consisting of 10 system tasks, and an administrative test consisting of 4 administrative tasks. The four applicants for upgrade to senior operator participated in a control room and facilities walkthrough test consisting of 5 system tasks, and an administrative test consisting of 5 administrative tasks.

#### b. Findings

All seven of the applicants passed all parts of the examinations. The applicants demonstrated good 3-way communications, diagnostics, and peer checking. For the written examinations, the senior operator applicant's average score was 93.7 percent and ranged from 91 to 96 percent. Two of the candidates applying for upgrades to senior operators requested and were approved waivers from taking the reactor operator portion of the written examination as provided for in Draft Revision 9 of NUREG-1021. The text of the examination questions may be accessed in the ADAMS system under the accession numbers noted in the attachment.

The licensee's staff conducted a performance analysis for the written examinations, submitting them to the chief examiner on September 5, 2003. The analysis identified no common knowledge deficiency. The licensee submitted three questions (58, 81, 92) for the reactor operator portion of the written examination and common questions (6 and 17) from the senior operator only portion for review by the chief examiner.

The licensee's staff recommended that all three questions were valid and should "stand as is." Question 58 involved technical specification-required operator immediate actions related to adverse conditions affecting the control rod control system. The chief examiner verified that only one correct answer, "b", existed for the given conditions in the stem of the question (i.e., immediate operator actions to open the reactor trip breakers). The candidates erroneously chose answer choices inconsistent with stem conditions. The chief examiner agreed with the licensee's staff recommendation.

Question (Reactor Operator 81/Senior Operator 6) involved the use of a nomograph to determine dose received at the exclusion area boundary over a 2-hour release duration. The chief examiner verified that answer "b" (100 mrem) was the only correct answer. Multiple types of errors were made by the candidates. The chief examiner agreed with the licensee's staff recommendation.

Question (Reactor Operator 92/Senior Operator 17) was missed by greater than 50 percent of the candidates. The question involved required actions in the operatonal transition from Mode 5 to Mode 6 for conditions provided in the stem of the question. The chief examiner verified that only one correct answer choice existed. The licensee's staff discussed the subject matter during training, and regards the area of reduced inventory evolutions as a high importance subject matter. The licensee staff expects the candidates to know the required actions from memory. The chief examiner agreed with the licensee's staff recommendation.

The text of the examination analysis may be accessed in the ADAMS system under the accession number noted in the attachment. No remediation training was determined to be necessary following the examinations.

No findings of significance were identified.

#### .2 <u>Initial Licensing Examination Development</u>

The licensee developed the examinations in accordance with NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9, as part of the pilot program. All licensee facility training and operations staff involved in examination preparation and validation were on a security agreement.

#### .2.1 Examination Outline and Examination Package

#### a. Examination Scope

The facility licensee submitted the integrated examination outlines on May 5, 2003. The chief examiner reviewed the submittal against the requirements of NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9, and provided comments to the licensee. The facility licensee submitted the final draft examination package on June 30, 2003. The chief examiner reviewed the draft submittal against the requirements of NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9, and provided comments to the licensee on the examination on July 10, 2003. The NRC conducted an onsite validation of the operating examinations and provided further comments during the week of August 4, 2003. The licensee satisfactorily completed comment resolution on August 18, 2003.

#### b. Findings

The NRC approved the initial examination outline and advised the licensee to proceed with the operating examination development.

The examiners determined that the written and operating examinations initially submitted by the licensee were within the range of acceptability expected for a proposed examination.

No findings of significance were identified.

#### .3 Simulation Facility Performance

#### a. Examination Scope

The examiners observed simulator performance with regard to plant fidelity during the examination validation and administration.

#### b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

#### .4 Examination Security

#### a. Examination Scope

The examiners reviewed examination security both during the onsite preparation week and examination administration week for compliance with NUREG-1021 requirements. Plans for simulator security and applicant control were reviewed and discussed with licensee personnel.

#### b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

#### 4OA5 Management Meeting

#### .1 Exit Meetings

The chief examiner presented the examination results to Messrs. Tom Tankersley, Training Manager, Kevin Walsh, Operations Manager, and other members of the licensee's management staff on August 28, 2003. The licensee acknowledged the findings presented.

The licensee did not identify as proprietary any information or materials examined during the examination.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

#### **KEY POINTS OF CONTACT**

#### <u>Licensee</u>

- K. Walsh, Operations Manager
- T. Tankensley, Training Manager
- B. Fletcher, Operations Training Supervisor
- K. Levines, Operations Trainer

#### **NRC**

Michael Hay, Senior Resident Inspector

## ADAMS DOCUMENTS REFERENCED

Accession No. ML032510907 - Written examination for instant senior operators Accession No. ML032510918 - Written examination for upgrade senior operators

Accession No. ML032510896 - Written examination performance analysis