

Mr. Todd Fish
USNRC
475 Allendale Rd.
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. Fish,

Please find the enclosed corrections and enhancements to the Hope Creek ILOT Written Examination and Operating Test submitted on May 12, 2003.

Changes to the Written Examination:

Questions included for your review are designated Record Numbers 7, 15, 16, 20, 23, 27, 29, 31, 44, 46, 51, 63, 67, 82, 115, 119. Also included are updated Exam Outline Form 401-3 and List of Changed KA's.

- 7. Revised choices to make 2 faster and 2 slower.
- 15. Added EOP Flowchart to materials required.
- 16. Revised Choice C "due to coolant temperature". Also added "and why" to question stem.
- 20. Added IAW HC.OP-SO.CG-0001 Condenser Air Removal System.
- 23. Revised to make MSIV inadvertent closure.
- 27. Added Subsequently to 4th bullet in stem.
- 29. Revised answer choices for balance.
- 31. Replaced question and changed KA to G 2.4.18.
- 44. Add "A" to choices C and D.
- 46. Fixed Typo "following" in stem.
- 51. Revised to include rod blocks (RMCS).
- 63. Added "within one minute" to stem.
- 67. Added "correct" to stem.
- 82. Replaced question and changed KA to G 2.2.22.
- 115. Added "IAW HC.OP-IO.ZZ-0005 Cold Shutdown to Refueling".
- 119. Added "IAW Technical Specifications".

Changes to the Operating Test:


Revised Outline and Checklists to reflect 9 candidates (3 RO and 6 SRO).

Revised Operating Test materials as follows:

1. SRO A.1-1 Question 1 – Capitalize “HIGHEST RECOMMENDED”.
2. SRO A.1-1 Question 2 – Replace “would you expect” with “does”.
3. SRO A.3 Question 1 – Add “Administrative” to define types of limitations.
4. RO and SRO B.1.d – Added Cue to state that tank levels were equalized, and steps necessary for restoration of the system.
5. RO and SRO B.1.g – Added alarms that would be received and their setpoints to a Critical Step.
6. Scenario 1 - Added and corrected Instructor Overrides necessary for Tagout. Added steps to event #1 to correct omission. Added Reactor Engineer guidance. Corrected typographical errors. Added procedure reference to actions taken in event #6. Rearranged expected responses for clarification.
7. Scenario 2 – Corrected typographical errors in Event Trigger, event #2 (correct Control Rod), and event #6 (expected operator to perform actions). Added expected actions in event #5 to procedure referenced in the guide. Added actions at the discretion of the Lead Examiner to increase the leak rate in event #7.
8. Scenario 3 – Placed events on Remote Triggers to allow for better control of the scenario and revised scenario accordingly. Revised scenario to ensure level control with high-pressure systems is not adequate to maintain core cooling. This will require an Emergency Depressurization and level restoration with low-pressure systems. Corrected Technical Specifications referenced. Added expected actions to contact Maintenance Department. Added references to some expected responses. Revised Critical Task based on changes to scenario.
9. Scenario 4 – Added additional expected responses to procedures referenced in the scenario. Moved Event #4 to group expected responses with the procedures referenced. This becomes the SPARE Scenario.

Please contact me at 856-339-3966 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Archie Faulkner