

# CONVERSATION RECORD

TIME

9:00

DATE

March 14, 1986

TYPE

 VISIT CONFERENCE TELEPHONE INCOMING OUTGOING

ROUTING

NAME/SYMBOL	INT
WM s/f (D1018)	
WMGT r/f NMSS	
Browning Bell	
Justus Trapp	
Lee r/f	
PDR	
LPDR (B,N,S,)	
EDavis, PEAS	

Location of Visit/Conference:

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU

ORGANIZATION (Office, dept., bureau, etc.)

TELEPHONE NO:

SUBJECT

Monthly management phone call: Interagency Agreement NRC-02-85-004,

FIN D1018.

SUMMARY

See Attached.

cc: John Ruess (NOTE: Should I fail to hear from you within 10 business days of receipt of this conversation summary, I shall consider you agree this to be an accurate record of our conversation).

8605080461 860327  
PDR WMRES EUSDDIMI  
D-1018 PDR

WM-RES  
WM Record File  
D1018  
PDR

WM Project 10, 11, 16

Docket No.

PDR ✓  
LPDR ✓ (B,N,S)

ACTION REQUIRED

NONE

Distribution:

(Return to WM, 623-SS)

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION

SIGNATURE

DATE

Richard Lee

Richard Lee

3/27/86

ACTION TAKEN

SIGNATURE

TITLE

DATE

MARCH MM PHONE CALL

- 1 -

The principle effort for this reporting period has been on the task order 003 methodology report draft outline. The first two weeks of the reporting period were devoted to making preliminary revisions to the outline resulting from discussions during the February 12, 1986 meeting. The second two weeks were devoted to developing a report glossary. No effort was expended on Task Order 002.

Personnel time expenditures for the reporting period include:

- 1) Western Field Operations Center - 97 hours.
- 2) Minerals Availability Field Office - 65 hours.
- 3) Division of Minerals Availability - 24 hours.

Costs for the month - \$3,808.52.

Estimated costs for travel to attend February meeting - \$1,454.37.

Cumulative costs to date - \$43,934.55.

Projected costs for next reporting period - \$2,000.

Contractural problems have developed regarding the ability of the BOM to meet milestone 2 of Task Order 003 in the allotted time. The problem has developed because NRC has not provided specific comments and recommendations on the draft outline in sufficient time for BOM to make the necessary revisions and still meet milestone 2. BOM suggested two possible solutions: 1) If NRC comments are received by 3/12/86, milestone 2 could be extended from 5/30/86 to 6/30/86, 2) Allow the existing 4-month period to meet the milestone to begin from the date NRC comments are received and understood by BOM. I responded that I was not in a position to approve either suggestion at this time. I suggested, instead, that since NRC's comments have recently been sent, I could come to DMA in Washington and participate in a conference call with MAFO and WFOC to determine that they understand NRC's comments. At that time we could discuss the need for, and the appropriate amount of, additional time to consider allocating to meet milestone 2. If we determine that additional time should be allocated, that will be accomplished only by the appropriate written revision to the Task Order 003 Statement of Work.

The conversation concluded with NRC explaining that the action taken by this conversation is considered to be within the scope of the current contract (NRC-02-85-004). No change to costs or delivery of contracted products are authorized. Please notify me immediately if you believe this conversation would result in changes to costs or delivery of contract products.

---

DFC : *PL* : : : : : : : :  
: *WMSI* : : : : : : : :  
----- : : : : : : : :  
NAME : : : : : : : :  
: *RLee* : : : : : : : :  
----- : : : : : : : :  
DATE : 86/03/27 : : : : : : : :  
----- : : : : : : : :  
-----