### **Administrative Documents**

## OCONEE JUNE 2003 EXAM 50-269/2003-301

### **JUNE 16 - 27, 2003**

1.	Exam Preparation Checklist ES-201-1
12.	Exam Outline Quality Checklist ES-201-2
<b>3</b> .	Exam Security Agreement ES-201-3
A.	Administrative Topics Outline (Final) ES-301-1
ν5.	Control Room Systems and Facility Walk-through Test Outline (Final) ES-301-2
∕6.	Operating Test Quality Check Sheet ES-301-3
Л.	Simulator Scenario Quality Check Sheet ES-301-4
8.	Transient and Event Checklist ES-301-5
<b>⁄</b> 9.	Competencies Checklist ES-301-6
<b>∕10</b> .	Written Exam Quality Check Sheet ES-401-7 ≠ -
11@	Written Exam Review Worksheet ES-401-9
122	Written Exam Grading Quality Checklist ES-403-1
13.	Post-Exam Check Sheet ES-501-1

•	Oconee Nuclear Station Date of Examination: June 16-26, ions Developed by: Facility: Operating Exam / NRC : Written	2000
Target Date*	Task Description / Reference	Chief Examine Initials
-180	Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	rfa
-120	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	rfa
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security & other requirements (C.2.c)	rfa
-120	Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	rfa
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c)]	rfa
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	rfa
-70	Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	rfa
-45	Proposed examinations, supporting documentation, and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g & h; C.3.d)	rfa
-30	9. Preliminary license applications due (C.1.l; C.2.g; ES-202)	rfa
-14 _	10. Final license applications due and assignment sheet prepared (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	rfa
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	rfa
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	rfa
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	rfa
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; assignment sheet updated; waiver letters sent (C.2.g, ES-204)	rfa
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee and authorization granted to give written exams (if applicable) (C.3.k)	RA
<del>-</del> 7	Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	RA
Th <b>wi</b> t	rget dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notificely are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in the facility licensee.  The polies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.	

Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.

#### **Examination Outline Quality Checklist**

Form ES-201-2

1. W b R I C			Initials	
1. W b		а	b*	C#
R	. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	por.	/	1
	Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	S	N	1
11 ' 1	Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	,	\ \^\	
E d	. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.			
2. a	normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	for	1150	Ŵ
S D D	Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Her	nsc	d,
C.	<ul> <li>To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.</li> </ul>	Show	TIEC	1
1 (3 1 (3	. Verify that:  1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks,  2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination,  3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and  4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	Str.	712C	YA.
(2 (3 (4 (5		gw	NEC.	8
C.	Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance- based activities.	gu	2150	ħ
d.	applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Su	NSC	V
4. a.	appropriate exam section.	Scut	nzc+	Ø
G b.	The state of the s	skut	1/x+	V
N C.	Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Sout	rx+	V
R O.				
A L e.	Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.			
f.	Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Scut	2/4	

+ For operating exam

+ Audit exam not get described

+ Audit exam not get described

+ WILL EVALUATE AFTER EXAM IS WRITTEN

\*\*NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9

\*\*ET 3/14/03 516 15 for WRITTEN ONLY OPERATING TEST OUTLING WILL BE SIGNED LET

WHEN PRODUCT IS COMPLETE. N AND APPLICABLE PARTS of ADMARTING EVAM

	Oconee Date of Examination	on: <b>Jun</b>	e 16, 20	J03 
Item	Task Description	<del> </del>	Initials	
	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	gw gw	nsc.	C#
1. W R	<ul> <li>Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.</li> </ul>	Sur	a2C	B
Î T	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	fer	nze	0
T E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	gu	NEC	0
2.	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	Sal	nx	1
S I M	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	800	nx	e
	<ul> <li>To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.</li> </ul>	800	NIC	8
3. W / T	<ul> <li>a. Verify that;</li> <li>(1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks,</li> <li>(2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination,</li> <li>(3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and</li> <li>(4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.</li> </ul>	ker	NE.	0
	<ul> <li>b. Verify that:</li> <li>(1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301,</li> <li>(2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition,</li> <li>(3) 4 - 6 (2-3 for SRO-U) of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure,</li> <li>(4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and</li> <li>(5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.</li> </ul>	XXW.	饭	8
	Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	an	NEC	1
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	few	nec	1
4. GENERAL	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	da	MEC	0
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Su	<i>K</i> C	e
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Ser	NEC	6
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	son	NEC	0
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	800	126	0
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	gan	1190	a
c. NRC	Printed Name / Signature  GAbriel WASHRUM / Kalin Wall  ty Reviewer (*)  Chief Examiner (#)  Supervisor  Printed Name / Signature  Cash Wash Wall  Constance / Cul Constance  Row Aveil 0  Supervisor  Agran   Agran   Agran		Date 6-5- 6-5-6	100

## Pre-Examination

aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief l acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/16, 6/23-2003 as of the date of examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

# Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and week(s) of 6/16, 6/23-2003. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct authorized by the NRC.

6/27/63	6/21/03 6/21/03 6-30-03 6-30-03	6/27/03 6-27-03 06/30/03 0/30/03
SIGNATURE (2)	The World of The State of The S	Come Suns
Hillos Alabas	3/95/63 5/5/03 3/13/63 3/20/03	4/17/03 4/17/03 4/17/03 5/5/03
SIGNATURE (1) Sold Olike, R. Sally With Samueller	Localor Selles	C. Michael Buil. C. Michael Buil. Colone Shurell
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY  Exten Devs lopen  Adm on Specialist  Admin Specialist  Numan Openbor Training	Sim Suzi. Essa Signilator Support (85 1/05/10/10 Initia) Training Superising	CRO SENIOR LENCIOR OPERATOR Simulation Support Simulation Support Odminin Sport
1 3/4 1	6. TAM V. VS 7. Rick Robust 8. John R Steely	10. Parid Gibbon (RO 11.C. MICHAEL BAILES CRO 12. TRACY LEMONS 13. JEST Cottonya Single 14. Allen G. Ollins 15. Diane Ti Surrell Odd

## Pre-Examination

aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/16, 6/23-2003 as of the date of examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

# Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and week(s) of 6/16, 6/23-2003. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct,

authorized by the NRC.				
PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
The Miller	Muchan Stift Sugaries	Jan Dy, 15-10er	Be 524 15 Call Call	7-8.03
2 Sans 4 Sloskoski	Rentol Company	Sampa Heard	5-3005 Inta Malast	W 2003
3. MARK CARROLL	560	Man of aust	5-70-07 Mgd (curs)	2-2-2
4. PP AVERSIT	NUCLEAR INSTRUCTOR	P SAMIST	6-1603 / HUNGETT	6-27-03
5. Regis T. REPKO	Superintendent of Openations	Ritall	6-17-03 Ry T Refe	6-30-03
6. T. PRESTON SILLESDIE	SULT DEBATIONS MANAGER	TPLILLESPIE	16-17.03 TASILLESPIE	6.30-43
7.				
8.				
9.	1			
10,				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

Facility: Oconee	Date of Examination: June 16, 2003
Examination Level (circl	e one): RO / SRO Operating Test Number:
Administrative Topic	Describe activity to be performed
Conduct of Operations GEN 2.1.23 (3.9/4.0)	CRO-203, Calculate Final SFP Boron Concentration OP/1&2/A/1104/006 C (SFP Makeup), Enclosure 4.9 (SFP Makeup With DW) (group activity) (new) (10 min)
Conduct of Operations GEN 2.1.7 (3.7/4.4)	CRO-043, Perform Manual RCS Leakage Calculation; PT/0600/010 (RO Only) (group activity) (18 min)
Equipment Control GEN 2.2.12 (3.0/3.4)	CRO-204, Perform weekly surveillance test to determine RIA-40 setpoint PT/230/001 Encl. 13.10 (Operation of RIA-40) (new) (20 min)
Radiation Control GEN 2.3.4 (2.5/3.1)	CRO – 205, Calculate the Maximum Permissible Stay Time Within Emergency Dose Limits (group activity) (new) (20 min)
	pro required for SPOs. PO applicants require only 4 items

Note: All items (5 total) are required for SROs. RO applicants require only 4 items unless they are retaking only the administrative topics, when 5 are required.

Facility: Oconee	Date of Examination: June 16, 2003
Examination Level (circ	le one): RO / SRO Operating Test Number:
Administrative Topic	Describe activity to be performed
Conduct of Operations GEN 2.1.23 (3.9/4.0)	CRO-203, Calculate Final SFP Boron Concentration OP/1&2/A/1104/006 C (SFP Makeup), Enclosure 4.9 (SFP Makeup With DW) (group activity) (new) (10 min)
Conduct of Operations GEN 2.1.3 (3.0/3.4)	JPM-003, Evaluate Overtime Eligibility OMP 2-01 Attachment "C", NSD 200 (SRO only) (25 min)
Equipment Control GEN 2.2.12 (3.0/3.4)	CRO-204, Perform weekly surveillance test to determine RIA-40 setpoint PT/230/001 Encl. 13.10 (Operation of RIA-40) (new) (20 min)
Radiation Control GEN 2.3.4 (2.5/3.1)	CRO – 205, Calculate the Maximum Permissible Stay Time Within Emergency Dose Limits (new) (20 min)
Emergency Plan GEN 2.4.38 (2.2/4.0)	SR0-206, Determine Emergençy Classification and Protective Action Recommendations (SRO only) (group activity) (new) (20 min)
Conduct of Operations GEN 2.1.3 (3.0/3.4)  Equipment Control GEN 2.2.12 (3.0/3.4)  Radiation Control GEN 2.3.4 (2.5/3.1)  Emergency Plan GEN 2.4.38 (2.2/4.0)	Makeup With DW) (group activity) (new) (10 min)  JPM-003, Evaluate Overtime Eligibility OMP 2-01 Attachment "C", NSD 200 (SRO only) (25 min)  CRO-204, Perform weekly surveillance test to determine RIA-40 setpoint PT/230/001 Encl. 13.10 (Operation of RIA-40) (new) (20 min)  CRO – 205, Calculate the Maximum Permissible Stay Time Within Emergency Dose Limits (new) (20 min)  SR0-206, Determine Emergency Classification and Protective Action Recommendations (SRO only) (group activity) (new)

Note: All items (5 total) are required for SROs. RO applicants require only 4 items unless they are retaking only the administrative topics, when 5 are required.

Facility: Oconee Date of Exam Level (circle one): RO / SRO(I) / SRO(U) Operation	Examination: _ ing Test No.:	
Control Room Systems (8 for RO; 7 for SRO-I; 2 or 3 for SRO-U)		
System / JPM Title	Type Code*	Safety Function
(a) CRO-200, Makeup to the LDST OP/1103/004 (Soluble Poison Control) [KA: 004 A4.13 (3.3/2.9)] (new) (15 min)	N, S	1
b. CRO- 083, Re-establish RCS letdown flow AP/32 (Loss of Letdown) [KA: 004 A2.07 (3.4/3.7)] (10 min)	M, A, S	2
C. CRO- 066, Perform Required Actions for RCS Pressure ≤ 550 psig EOP Encl. 5.1 (ES Actuation) [KA: EPE011 EA1.13 (4.1/4.2)] (15 min)	D, A, S	3
CRO-013, Align MDEFDWP Suction to the Hotwell and Feed the SGs EOP Encl. 5.9 [KA: APE054 AA1.01 (4.5/4.4)] (10 min)	D, L, \$ 6 4	48
e. CRO-201, Restart RCP EOP, Encl. 5.6 [KA: 003 A4.06 (2.9*/2.9)] (new) (20 min)	N, S	4P
© CRO-009, Following a Keowee Emergency Start Transfer from CT-4 to CT-5 OP/0/A/1106/019 Encl. 4.12 [KA: 062 A4.01 (3.3/3.1)] (10 min)	D, L, S	6
g. CRO-202, Reset RIA-40 setpoints and enter the OAC Pri to Sec Admin Limit PT/230/001 Encl. 13.10 (Operation of RIA-40) [KA: 073 A4.02 (3.7/3.7)] (new) (10 min)	N, S	7
(h) CRO-11A, Align Intake Canal For Recirc On Dam Failure AP/13 (Dam Failure), [KA: 075 A2.01 (3.0/3.2)] (15 min)	D, L, A, S	8
In-Plant Systems (3 for RO; 3 for SRO-I; 3 or 2 for SRO-U)		
(i) NLO-022, Station ASW Pump Alignment EOP Encl. 5.10 [KA: APE054 AA1.01 (4.5/4.4)] (last exam) (12 min)	D, R, L	48
j. CRO-47, Emergency start SSF Diesel Generator and supply power to the SSF ASW and SSF RCMU pumps AP/25, [KA: 062 A2.11 (3.7/4.1)] (10 min)	M, A, L	6
(k) NLO-007, Start Diesel Air Compressor And Align To Service Air Header AP/32, Encl. "Emergency Start of the Diesel Air Compressor", [KA: APE-065 AA1.04 (3.5*/3.4*)] (10 min)	D	8
* Type Codes: (D)irect from bank, (M)odified from bank, (N)ew, (A)lter (S)imulator, (L)ow-Power, (R)CA	rnate path, (C)ontr	ol room,

Facility: Oconee Date of Exam Level (circle one): RO / SRO(I) / SRO(U) Opera	of Examination: iting Test No.:	
Control Room Systems (8 for RO; 7 for SRO-I; 2 or 3 for		
System / JPM Title	Type Code*	Safety Function
(a.) CRO-200, Makeup to the LDST OP/1103/004 (Soluble Poison Control) [KA: 004 A4.13 (3.3/2.9)] (new)	N, S	1
b. CRO- 083, Re-establish RCS letdown flow AP/32 (Loss of Letdown) [KA: 004 A2.07 (3.4/3.7)]	M, A, S	2
C. CRO- 066, Perform Required Actions for RCS Pressure ≤ 550 psig EOP Encl. 5.1 (ES Actuation) [KA: EPE011 EA1.13 (4.1/4.2)]	D, A, S	3
d. CRO-013, Align MDEFDWP Suction to the Hotwell and Feed the SGs EOP Encl. 5.9 [KA: APE054 AA1.01 (4.5/4.4)]	D, L, &	48
e. <b>CRO-201, Restart RCP</b> EOP, Encl. 5.6 [KA: 003 A4.06 (2.9*/2.9)] (new)	N, S	4P
f. CRO-202, Reset RIA-40 setpoints and enter the OAC Pri to Sec Admin Limit PT/230/001 Encl. 13.10 (Operation of RIA-40) [KA: 073 A4.02 (3.7/3.7)] (new)	N, S	7
g. CRO-11A, Align Intake Canal For Recirc On Dam Failure AP/13 (Dam Failure), [KA: 075 A2.01 (3.0/3.2)]	D, L, A, S	8
In-Plant Systems (3 for RO; 3 for SRO-I; 3 or 2 for SRO-U)		
h. NLO-022, Station ASW Pump Alignment EOP Encl. 5.10 [KA: APE054 AA1.01 (4.5/4.4)] (last exam)	D, R, L	48
CRO-47, Emergency start SSF Diesel Generator and supply power to the SSF ASW and SSF RCMU pumps AP/25, [KA: 062 A2.11 (3.7/4.1)]	M, A, L	6
NLO-007, Start Diesel Air Compressor And Align To Service Air Header AP/32, Encl. "Emergency Start of the Diesel Air Compressor", [KA: APE-065 AA1.04 (3.5*/3.4*)]	D	8
* Type Codes: (D)irect from bank, (M)odified from bank, (C)ontrol room, (S)imulator, (L)ow-Power, (R)CA	(N)ew, (A)lterna	te path,

ES-301 Finial Submittal

Facility: Oconee	Date of Examination:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Exam Level (circle one): RO / SRO(I) / SRO(U)	Operating Test No.:	·
Control Room Systems (8 for RO; 7 for SRO-l; 2 c	or 3 for SRO-U)	
System / JPM Title	Type Code*	Safety Function
(a.) CRO- 083, Re-establish RCS letdown flow AP/32 (Loss of Letdown) [KA: 004 A2.07 (3.4/3.7)]	M, A, S	2
b. CRO- 066, Perform Required Actions for R Pressure ≤ 550 psig EOP Encl. 5.1 (ES Action [KA: EPE011 EA1.13 (4.1/4.2)]	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3
© CRO-202, Reset RIA-40 setpoints and ente OAC Pri to Sec Admin Limit PT/230/001 Encl. 13.10 (Operation of RIA-40) [KA: 073 A4.02 (3.7/3.7)] (new)	N, S	7
In-Plant Systems (3 for RO; 3 for SRO-I; 3 or 2 for	SRO-U)	
d. NLO-022, Station ASW Pump Alignment EOP Encl. 5.10 [KA: APE054 AA1.01 (4.5/4.4 (last exam)	D, R, L	48
© NLO-007, Start Diesel Air Compressor And To Service Air Header  AP/32, Encl. "Emergency Start of the Diesel A Compressor", [KA: APE-065 AA1.04 (3.5*/3.4)	sir	8
* Type Codes: (D)irect from bank, (M)odified from	bank, (N)ew, (A)lterna	te path,

				: 1
	1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initia	ls
	I. OLIVLINA	a	b*	င
a. 	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution)	. Sw	HEL	E
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	300	ne	0
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	How	NC	1
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.		,	4
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	Mr.	156	1
	2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA			<u> </u>
∄.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:	gen	XX	Jy l
	<ul> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>specific performance criteria that include:         <ul> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
), 	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	Bu	756	B
). =	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	Sur	195	1
	3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA		1	
ł.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	, Sen	164	B
	Printed Name / Signature		Date	e
a. Auth		<u>s</u>	`-5- <del>`</del>	)00
. Facil	ty Reviewer(*) Neil Constance / Will Constance	_ <del>_</del> .	5-6- -, אים	20x
. NRC	Chief Examiner (#)  Row Acollo	_	1/7	10

7: Oconee Date of Examination: June 16, 2003 Operat	ing Test N	umber:	: 1
1. GENERAL CRITERIA			s
The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution		18K	C#
There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	- Ser	N/C	1
The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a	). Secr	ASC	D
Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	San	1120	•
It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	t fice	nec	B
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA			
Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:	fere	Initial  bin AK	
<ul> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>specific performance criteria that include: <ul> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> <li>Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within</li> </ul>	ba	NEC	0
	Sch	7150-	
The least 20 percent of the of this circust floor and the work of dignillating friedman.	<u> </u>		
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA  The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance wi Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	th Her	75	e
Printed Name / Signature		Date	)
C Supervisor  Gabriol WASHRUPU / Achi D Worth  Neil Constance / Wall Constance  Row Are 11 0  C Supervisor  Garge T. Hopper   Llean J. Pagner	<u>u</u> <u>a</u> a,	(3° 5° 19 19/0	2003 2003 63
	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution.  There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.  The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.  It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.  2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA  Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:  initial conditions initialing cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable  Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.  At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.  Printed Name / Signature  Caborical WASHBURA Accumulation  Printed Name / Signature	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).  There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.  The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).  Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.  It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.  2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA  Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:  initial conditions  initiating cues  references and tools, including associated procedures  reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee  specific performance criteria that include:  detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature  system response and other examiner cues  statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant  criteria for successful completion of the task  identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards  restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable  Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.  At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.  3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA  The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.  Printed Name / Signature  Cabricol WASHBURD Acad Acad Constitution of the sequence of sets are new or significantly modified.  Ale Constance / Wall Consta	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).  There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.  The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).  Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.  It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.  2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA  Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:  initial conditions  initiating oues  references and tools, including associated procedures  reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee  specific performance criteria that include:  - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature  - system response and other examiner cues  - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant  - criteria for successful completion of the task  - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards  - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable  Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.  At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.  3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA  The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.  Printed Name / Signature  Date  ALL Constance / Mall Cons

racility	: Oconee Date of Exam: June 16, 2003 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 /	Орога	ing Test	NO 1	
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			nitials b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation maservice, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ay be out of	gar	nsc	7
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		<del>  • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</del>	NK	B
3.	Each event description consists of:  the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated  the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event  the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew  the expected operator actions (by shift position)  the event termination point (if applicable)		Stron	noc	9
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	scenario	Hen	166	B
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		gw	NX	1
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	obtain	Sur	Nec	N
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints.		gen	M	4
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		Ju	M	1
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simula performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is may while running the planned scenarios.		Jan	190	1
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified sce other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	nario. All	fru	1186	1
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-30 the form along with the simulator scenarios).	01-6 (submit	gu .	MyL	N
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	d events	Bow	Mrc	1
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew pos	ition.	fau	1146	1
TARG	ET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.d) Actua	al Attributes			-
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8) 7 /	7 / 7	Stu	NEC	1
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 2	kin	142	*
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 2	ku	M	1
4.	Major transients (1-2) 2 /	1 / 2	Hui	M	1
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2	Kow	MC	1
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2 / 2	Sin	NSC	1
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	4 / 3	gan	MC	1

Facility:	Oconee Date of Exam: June 16, 2003 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 Ope	erating Test No.: 1							
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES	Initials							
		a b* c#							
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	you the							
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	gar nex 0							
3.	Each event description consists of:  the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated  the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event  the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew  the expected operator actions (by shift position)  the event termination point (if applicable)								
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	San NEC 8							
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	Sew MX							
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	for the							
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.								
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	Sim 185							
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	gun se							
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	Her nec s							
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (subm the form along with the simulator scenarios).	it then now							
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	De Mer o							
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	Sew 120							
TARG	ET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.d) Actual Attributes								
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8) 7 / 7 / 7	Day 16c 0							
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)  2 / 2 / 2	In rec )							
3.	Abnormal events (2-4) 2 / 2 / 2	Sur 1130 8							
4.	Major transients (1-2) 2 / 1 / 2	Dew 196							
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)  2 / 2 / 2	Box 120 0							
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)  2 / 2 / 2	Den 1196							
7.	Critical tasks (2-3) 2 / 4 / 3	113C							

#### **OPERATING TEST NO.: 1**

dus saitini

[	Applicant	Evolution	Minimum				Scena	ario Ni	umber			
/	Type	Type	Number		1			2			3	
				SRO	RO	ВОР	SRO	RO	ВОР	SRO	RO	вор
		Reactivity	1*		5			6				
		Normal	1*			1			1 <sub>a</sub>			1
	RO	Instrument/	4*		3, 6	2, 4		2, 3	1, 4		2, 3	1,4
		Component									5	
		Major	1		7, 8	7, 8		7, 8	7, 8		6, 7	6, 7
[		Reactivity	1*		5			6	<u> </u>			
		Normal	0			1			1 <sub>a</sub>			1
	As RO	Instrument/	2*		3, 6	2, 4		2, 3	1, 4		2, 3	1, 4
		Component									5	
		Major	1		7, 8	7, 8		7, 8	7, 8		6, 7	6, 7
	SRO-I	,							1		I	
	OIXO I	Reactivity	0							<u></u>		
/		Normal	1*	1			1			1		
	As SRO	Instrument/	2*	3, 6			2, 3			1, 2		
		Component		2, 5			1, 4			3, 4 5		
		Major	1	7, 8			7, 8			6, 7		
,				,								71
		Reactivity	0									
		Normal	1*	1		1	1		1	1		1
	SRO-U	Instrument/	2*	3, 6		2, 4	2, 3		1, 4	1, 2		1, 4
		Component		2, 4			1, 4			3, 4 5		
		Major	1	7, 8		7, 8	7, 8		7, 8	6, 7		6, 7

Instructions:

(1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution

type.
Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. \*Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.

Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (2)

(3)

Αu	ŧ	h	$\sim$	r	٠
Λu	L	11	v	ı	٠

NRC Reviewer:

6, 7

Final Submittal

#### **OPERATING TEST NO.: 1**

		OPERA	IING	1691	INQ.: 1	I			_		
Applicant	Evolution	Minimum				Scena	ario Ni	umber			
Туре	Туре	Number		1			2			3	
			SRO	SRO RO I	ВОР	SRO	RO	ВОР	SRO	3 RO 2, 3 5 6, 7	BOF
	Reactivity	1*					7				
	Normal	1*			1			1a			1
RO	Instrument/	4*		3, 5	2, 4		2, 3	1b		2, 3	1, 4
	Component			6				4		5	
	Major	1		7, 8	7, 8		8	8		6, 7	6, 7
			1		1	1					<u> </u>
	Reactivity	1*					7				
Λο <b>P</b> Ω	Normal	0			1			1a			1
As RO	Instrument/	2*		3, 5	2, 4		2, 3	1b		2, 3	1, 4
	Component			6				4		5	
	Major	1		7, 8	7, 8	<u> </u>	8	8		6, 7	6, 7
SRO-I			T	1	T	ı	T	T	···	<del></del>	1
	Reactivity	0									
	Normal	1*	1		:	1a			1		
As SRO	Instrument/	2*	2, 3			1b			1, 2		
· · · •	Component		4, 5			2, 3 4			3, 4		
			<u> </u>						5		 
	Major	1	7, 8			8			6, 7		
	Reactivity	0				<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Normal	1*	1			1			1		
SRO-U	Instrument/	2*	2, 3			1b			1, 2		
	Component		4, 5			2, 3			3, 4		
			6			4			5		
	I		I								_

Instructions:

Major

(1)Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution

8

Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolut type.

Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. \*Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.

Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement. (2)

7, 8

(3)

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9

Form ES-301-6

		SRO			RO		ВОР				
Competencies	s	CENARI	0	S	CENARI	0	S	CENARI	0		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3		
Interpret / Diagnose Events and Conditions	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8	1b, 2 3, 4, 5 6, 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7	3, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 5, 6 8	2, 3 5, 6 7	2, 4 7, 8	1b, 4 5, 6, 8	1, 4 6, 7		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 8	2, 4 5, 6 7	3, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 5, 6 7	1, 2 4, 7 8	1a, 1b 4, 5 6, 8	1, 4 5, 6 7		
Operate Control Boards (2)				3, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 5, 6 7	1, 2 4, 7 8	1a, 1b 4, 5 6, 8	1, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8		
Communicate and Interact	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1a, 1b 2, 3 4, 5 6, 7, 8	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7	3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1a, 1b 2, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8	1, 3 4, 5 6, 7		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1b, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7								
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	3	5	2								

#### Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

#### Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:	Habig Woslbn	
NRC Reviewer:		

NUREG-1021, Draft Revision 9

		SRO			RO		ВОР				
Competencies	S	CENARI	0	s	CENARI	0	S	CENARI	0		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3		
Interpret / Diagnose Events and Conditions	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8	1b, 2 3, 4, 5 6, 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7	3, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 5, 6 8	2, 3 5, 6 7	2, 4 7, 8	1b, 4 5, 6, 8	1, 4 6, 7		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 8	2, 4 5, 6 7	3, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 5, 6 7	1, 2 4, 7 8	1a, 1b 4, 5 6, 8	1, 4 5, 6 7		
Operate Control Boards (2)				3, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 5, 6 7	1, 2 4, 7 8	1a, 1b 4, 5 6, 8	1, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8		
Communicate and Interact	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1a, 1b 2, 3 4, 5 6, 7, 8	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7	3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8	2, 3 4, 5 6, 7	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1a, 1b 2, 3 4, 5 6, 7 8	1, 3 4, 5 6, 7		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1b, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7, 8	1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 7								
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	3	5	2								

#### Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

#### Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:	Salin 4 Josh	
NRC Reviewer:		

Form ES-401-6

Facility:	Oconee Nuclear Station	Date of Exam:	06/2	7/03		Exar	n Level:	SR	<u>:O</u>
							Initial		
 	Item Description					а	υÌg	دا الحر	
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate a	ınd applicable t	o facil	ity		15-	1	Ц	
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions     b. Facility learning objectives referenced as a	ıvailable				for	9		
3.	SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.	.2.d of ES-401				far	D	Ц	igsqcup
4.	Question selection and duplication from the laappears consistent with a systematic sampling	st two NRC lice g process	nsing	exams	· .				
5.	Question duplication from the license screenin indicated below (check the item that applies) a the audit exam was systematically and range the audit exam was completed before the lix_ the examinations were developed independent the licensee certifies that there is no duplic other (explain)	for	e	2	4				
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 perce	ent Bank	Mod	dified	New	,			
;	from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right				7/25 (28%)	Bm	V		<u> </u>
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions of the RO exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly select K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) a right	r 8/25	Memory C/A  8/25 17/25 (32%) (68%)		km	0			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give aw	vay answers				fan	1		
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A s approved examination outline and is appropria assigned; deviations are justified	statements in the ate for the Tier	ie prev to whi	viously ich they	/ are	fsm	1		
10.	Question psychometric quality and format med	et ES, Appendi	х В, <u>д</u>	uidelin	es	Bon	$\mathcal{Z}$	$\bigsqcup$	L
11.	The exam contains, the required number of or total is correct and agrees with value on cover	ne-point, multip r sheet	le cho	ice iter	ns; the	fon	B		<u> </u>
c. NRC	/		ignatu Vell	re	, <del>/</del> 5			ate 28/0 28/0	!3  タ  -

Form ES-401-6

Facility:	Oconee Nuclear Station Dat	e of Exam:	06/27	7/03		Ex	am Leve	l: RO
							Initial	·
	Item Description					а	مكل	Day.
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and	applicable t	o facilit	ty		Bm		
2.	<ul><li>a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions</li><li>b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.</li></ul>	able				fsm	•	
3.	SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d	of ES-401				NIA	N/A	
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last to appears consistent with a systematic sampling pro	vo NRC lice ocess	ensing	exams	S			
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/a indicated below (check the item that applies) and the audit exam was systematically and random _ the audit exam was completed before the licen X_ the examinations were developed independen _ the licensee certifies that there is no duplicatio other (explain)	Isn	B	20				
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent	Bank	Mod	ified	New			
	from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right	24/75 (32%)	14 <i>i</i> (19	/75 )%)	37/75 (49%)	fish	B	
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right	33/7	Memory C/A  33/75 42/75 (44%) (56%)				p	
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away	answers	······································			\$~~	B	
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A state approved examination outline and is appropriate assigned; deviations are justified	ements in th for the Tier	ne prev to whic	iously ch the	y are	fim	P	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet E	S, Appendi	x B, gı	idelin	es	for	2	
11.	The exam contains, the required number of one-p total is correct and agrees with value on cover sh	oint, multip eet	le choi	ice ite	ms; the	for	8	
c. NRC	Larry S. Mellen / harry S. Mel	mil	mu)	1	*		5/2 5/2 6/3	ate 803 8/3 (2/03
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Colu	applicable t	for NR( ef exan	C-deve	eloped ex	aminati nce requ	ons. uired.	

Form ES-401-7

Facility:	Oconee Nuclear Station Date of Ex	(am: 06/	03			Exar	n Level:	SRO
							Initial	
	Item Description					а	b*	c#
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and	applicable to	facili	ty		from	·	A
2.	<ul><li>a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions</li><li>b. Facility learning objectives referenced as ava</li></ul>	ilable				dis-		a
3.	SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.	d of ES-401				\$~	<u>-                                     </u>	A
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last appears consistent with a systematic sampling p		nsing	exam	\$			N
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/indicated below (check the item that applies) and the audit exam was systematically and rando the audit exam was completed before the lice the examinations were developed independent the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)	i appears app mly developed nse exam wa ntly; or	oropria d; or	ate:		DE S	~/A	a
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank 8/26=334, 9	Modif	:36%	New 8/2.5=32.8 5/100=519	Bn		1
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Memory 10/25 = 40 47/100 = 47	/		C/A			A
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away					15m		4
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A state approved examination outline and is appropriate assigned; deviations are justified				/ are	for		ø
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet	ES, Appendix	B, gu	idelin	es	km	-	1
11.	zThe exam contains 25, one-point, multiple choice agrees with value on cover sheet ,	e items; the to	otal is	correc	ct and	for		B
c. NRC	$\rho$	ed Name / Sig	natur Nel	11				7/3 7/3 7/3
Note:	<ul> <li>The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not</li> <li># Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Col</li> </ul>							

K. THE 100 POINT EXAM CONTRINS 100 OR POINT MULTIPLE CHOICE ITEMS

Form ES-401-7

Facility:	Oconee Nuclear Station Date of Ex	am: 06/	03			Ex	am Le	vel: RO
							Initia	al
	Item Description					а	b*	c#
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and	applicable	to faci	ity		for		A
2.	<ul><li>a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions</li><li>b. Facility learning objectives referenced as avail</li></ul>	able				Jan-		*
3.	SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d	of ES-401	•			for		8
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last to appears consistent with a systematic sampling pr		ensing	exam	s	18 S.		N
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/a indicated below (check the item that applies) and the audit exam was systematically and randon the audit exam was completed before the licer the examinations were developed independenthe licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)	appears a nly develop nse exam v tly; or	ppropr bed; or	iate:		Ron	N/	P
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	Bank 19	Mod /3		New 43	Bin		o
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	Mem.	-	38/7	C/A	2 /3 m		8
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away	answers		,		Em		Ø
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A state approved examination outline and is appropriate assigned; deviations are justified					Sm		0
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet E	S, Append	lix B, g	uidelin	es	Em		1
11.	The exam contains 75, one-point, multiple choice agrees with value on cover sheet	items; the	total is	corre	ct and	Bm		0
c. NRC	$\mathcal{A}$		Signatu Moll C. G	re				Date 12/03 12/03 12/03
Note:	The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not a     Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Colu							

ES-401

#### Written Examination

#### Review Worksheet for Oconee Nuclear Station (Initial)

Q#	1. LOK	2. LOD	3	B. Psyc	hometr	ic Flaws	3	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
	(C/M)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia				SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation

#### Instructions

[Refer to Section D of ES-401 and Appendix B for additional information regarding each of the following concepts.]

- Enter the level of knowledge (LOK) of each question as either (F)undamental or (H)igher cognitive level.
- 2. Enter the level of difficulty (LOD) of each question using a 1 - 5 (easy - difficult) rating scale (questions in the 2 - 4 range are acceptable).
- Check the appropriate box if a psychometric flaw is identified:
  - The stem lacks sufficient focus to elicit the correct answer (e.g., unclear intent, more information is needed, or too much needless information). The stem or distractors contain cues (i.e., clues, specific determiners, phrasing, length, etc).

  - The answer choices are a collection of unrelated true/false statements.
    - More than one distractor is not credible.
  - One or more distractors is (are) partially correct (e.g., if the applicant can make unstated assumptions that are not contradicted by stem).
- Check the appropriate box if a job content error is identified:
  - The question is not linked to the job requirements (i.e., the question has a valid K/A but, as written, is not operational in content).
  - The question requires the recall of knowledge that is too specific for the closed reference test mode (i.e., it is not required to be known from
  - The question contains data with an unrealistic level of accuracy or inconsistent units (e.g., panel meter in percent with question in gallons).
  - The question requires reverse logic or application compared to the job requirements.
- Check questions that are sampled for conformance with the approved K/A and those that are designated SRO-only (K/A and license level mismatches are unacceptable).
- 6. Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the question as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
- At a minimum, explain any "U" ratings (e.g., how the Appendix B psychometric attributes are not being met).

0,11	1.	2.	3.	Psycho	ometr	ic Flav	vs	4.	Job Conto	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
Q#	(C/M)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus			Cred. Dist.		Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward				Explanation
									RO	SRO	COMBIN	NED Q	UESTI	ONS	
1	М	3										Y	Υ	E	
2	С	4					<u>.                                      </u>					Υ	Υ	E	

	1.	2.	3.	Psycho	omet	ric Flav	ws	4.	Job Conte	ent Fla	aws \	= ح. 0	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (C/M)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
3	С	3										Y	N	E	
4	С	4										Y	Υ	E	
5	С	3										Y	N	E	
6	С	3										N	N	U	
7	С	3										N	N	U	
8	C	3										Υ	N	s	000022AK1.03
9	O	3										N	N	U	
10	С	3				Х						Y	N	E	
11	С	3										Y	Y	E	
12	M	3										Y	N	E	
13	М	3			i							Y	Y	E	
14	С	3										Υ	N	s	000028AK1.01

•

\	1.	2.	3.	Psych	omet	tric Fla	ws	4.	Job Conte	ent Fla	aws	/c	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (C/M)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
15	С	3										N	Υ	U	
	:			i					E.						
16	М	3				х						Υ*	N	U	Construction  Note: The second of the second
17	М	3				х						Y*	N	U	Land Control of the C
18	C	3										N	N	U	
19	С	3	х									Y*	N	U	
20	C	3				х						Y	Υ	U	
21	М	2										Υ	N	E	
22	С	3					į					N	Y	U	

	1.	2.	3.	Psycho	met	ric Flav	vs	4.	Job Conte	ent Fla	ws	== 0 . <i>ن</i> ہ	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (C/M)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
23	M	2										Y	Z	E	
24	С	3										N	Υ	U	
25	M	2										Y	N	s	
26	С	3		 								N	Υ	υ	
						 								,	
27	М	2										N	N	U	
		<u> </u>										Y	N	U	
28	M	1													
29	С	3	×			X						Y	N	U	
30	M	2										Y	Y	E	
31	М	2				×						Y	N	E	

•

							<del></del>		I-b Conta	unt Ele		/=	ther	6.	7.
Q#	1. LOK	2. LOD		Psycho				-	Job Conte	T					Explanation
Q,ii	(C/M)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Ехріанацон
32	С	4	1 0000			Х						N	Υ	U	
52		,													
33	М	3										N	N	U	
l i															
							ļ								
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	ļ.—		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			-	<del>  -</del>	N	N	U	
34	М	2	İ									l N	"		
												1			
35	С	3	1									N	у*	U	004G2.4.11 This question has nothing to do with knowledge of abnormal
									ļ						condition procedures.
1									<u> </u>			$oldsymbol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	_		CE: Question fixed but need procedure number from facility
36	М	2										Y	N	U	
ļ .	1														
	1									_	_	↓_	<u> </u>		
37	С	3										Y	N	E	
									ļ	_	<u> </u>	_	-	ļ	
38	С	3										N	Y	U	
			1												
39	C	3	+	+	+	×	+		1	+	<del> </del>	N	N	U	
39		"									,				
							1								
40	М	2			+	-	_	1		$\top$		Y	N	S	007K1.03

	1.	2.	3.	Psycho	omet	ric Flav	vs	4.	Job Conte	ent Fla	ws	-d. 0	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (C/M)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
41						С					1	N	N	U	
						ı			 						
	İ						: 								
42	С	3										Y	N	E	
43	М	2										Y	N	S*	010K2.01 Facility check to see if the backup string is off of the vita 4160*
44	С	3				Х						Υ	N	U	
45	М	2				х			i			Y	N	E	
46	С	3				X						Y	N	U	
				<u> </u>							<u> </u>	ļ.,		E	
47	С	3										Y	N		
48	С	3										. Y	N	U	
									ļ						
								1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

<u> </u>	1.	2.	3.	Psycho	omet	tric Flav	vs	4.	Job Conte	ent Fla	aws		ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (C/M)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
79	С	3										N	N	U	
															· -
														•	
80	С	3										Υ	N	E	
									,						
81	С	2					-					Υ	N	U?	
82	С	3										Y	N	E	
													-		
83	М	2										Υ	Y	8	BW/E13EA2.1
84	M	3										Y*	Υ	S*	G2.1.11 Is this Q a < 1 hr TS?
									<del></del>					·	CE: Facility verify this Q is < 1 hr TS, otherwise G2.1.12.
85	М	2				×						Y	Y	U	
		2										Y	Υ	U	
86	М	2				×						'		U	
					_					_					
87	С	3				<u> </u>						Y	Y	<u> </u>	G2.1.32
88	М	2				<u> </u>				<u> </u>		Y	Υ	S	G2.1.6
89	С	3										Y		E	
			l				<u> </u>				<u> </u>	l			

~

.

	1.	2.	3.	Psycho	ome	tric Flav	ws	4.	Job Conte	ent Fla	aws	-s. 0	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (C/M)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
90	М	2										N	Υ	U	
						:									
91	М	2										Y*	N	E	
92	С	3										Υ	N	S	G2.3.2 G2.3.4 is also an acceptable K/A
93	С	3										Y	Y	Е	
94	М	3										Υ	N	S	G2.4.12
95	М	2				<u> </u>						Υ	N	S	G2.4.23
96	C	2				×						Y	Υ	υ	
97	М	2										Y	N	S*	
98	С	3	1									Υ	Υ	S*	
99	М	2										Υ	Υ	S*	
						1							:		
100	М	2										Y	N	S*	

### **Footnotes**

- 37 Yellow: An enhancement is required or question needs dispositioned before ONS receives.
- 47 Red: Implausible question/distractors, K/A is wrong, Question has a technical issue.
- 16 Green: None to very minor enhancements.

Question requires Utility input



## Written ination Final Review Worksheet for Oconee Nuclear Station With Validation Comments in *Italics*

Form ES-\_\_\_9
Draft Revision 9

	1.	2.	3. Psychomet	ric Flaws	4. Job Con	tent Flaws	5. Othe	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (C/M)	LOD (1-5)			]			U/E/S	Explanation
	,	(, ,	Stem Cues T/F Focus	Cred. Partial Dist.	Job- Minutia Link		Q= SR K/A On	- 1	·

Instructions

∥Refer to Section D of ES-401 and Appendix B for additional information regarding each of the following concepts.]

- 1. Enter the level of knowledge (LOK) of each question as either (F)undamental or (H)igher cognitive level.
- 2. Enter the level of difficulty (LOD) of each question using a 1 5 (easy difficult) rating scale (questions in the 2 4 range are acceptable).
- 3. Check the appropriate box if a psychometric flaw is identified:
  - The stem lacks sufficient focus to elicit the correct answer (e.g., unclear intent, more information is needed, or too much needless information).
  - The stem or distractors contain cues (i.e., clues, specific determiners, phrasing, length, etc).
  - The answer choices are a collection of unrelated true/false statements.
  - One or more distractors is not credible.
  - One or more distractors is (are) partially correct (e.g., if the applicant can make unstated assumptions that are not contradicted by stem).
- 4. Check the appropriate box if a job content error is identified:
  - The question is not linked to the job requirements (i.e., the question has a valid K/A but, as written, is not operational in content).
  - The question requires the recall of knowledge that is too specific for the closed reference test mode (i.e., it is not required to be known from memory).
  - The question contains data with an unrealistic level of accuracy or inconsistent units (e.g., panel meter in percent with question in gallons).
  - The question requires reverse logic or application compared to the job requirements.
- 5. Check questions that are sampled for conformance with the approved K/A and those that are designated SRO-only (K/A and license level mismatches are unacceptable).
- 6. Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the question as written (U)nacceptable (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
- 7. At a minimum, explain any "U" ratings (e.g., how the Appendix B psychometric attributes are not being met).

	ノ														
Q#	1. LOK	2. LOD	3.	Psycho	omet	ric Flav	ws	4.	Job Conte	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
W#	(C/M)													U/E/S	Explanation
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward		SRO		
			rocus_			Dist.		L.IIIK J	RO/SF					STIONS	
						SE E									
1	F	2										1	Х	S	000003G2.4.1
														,	No utility comments.
2	F	3										√		_	000008AA2.22
															Changed correct answer to "C". Modified "C" and "B" to ensure only one correct answer. Verified response on simulator. Changed to RO question. CE concurred.
3	Н	2										1		S	000009G2.1.31
															No utility comments.
4	Н	4									÷	1	Х		000009EA2.15 Attachment required: EOP Encl. 5.1 Page 5, Figure 1 (Required HPI Flow Per Header) CE concurred.
5	Н	3										1			000009EK1.01 EOP has changed and ONS no longer bumps RCPs. Replaced with Bank question 164. CE concurred.
6	Н	3		Х								?	X		000011EK1.01 KA does not match. KA is for a LBLOCA. At ONS we have Boiler Condenser cooling instead of Reflux Boiling. 200 gpm leak will not saturate the plant. Added SBLOCA to stem. TA and TB Switchgear locked out added to stem to eliminate cue in stem that made "C" and "D" not plausible. Changed to SRO only. Changed to KA 00009EA2.39. CE concurred.
7	Н	3				х						1		E	000015/017AA2.10 Changed CCW to CC to match ONS system designation. Changed "B" distractor to read "Loss of Seal Return and trip the reactor." to improve plausibility. CE concurred.
8	Н	3										٧		S	000022AK1.03 No utility comments.

9	H	3							Х	E	000025AK3.01 Added SEE ATTACHMENT Attachment: Page 27 of 29 of EOP tab TBF. SRO only. CE concurred.
10	Н	3	х					1		Е	000026G2.4.24 Modified stem to remove reference to Immediate Manual Actions because entering an AP is not an Immediate Manual Action. Reworded distractors to improve readability. CE concurred. Added procedure titles to AP/20 and AP/25.
11	Н	4						<b>V</b>	Х	E	000027G2.1.12 Added "see attachment". Modified distractors and stem to improve plausibility. CE concurred.
12	· F	3						1		Е	000027AK1.01 Added "indication" to stem. Suggest cog level is C/A. CE concurred. Added "indication" to PZR Saturation Pressure.
13	Н	3			Х			1	Х	U	000028AA2.01 Added "with SASS in auto" and "due to an internal power failure" to stem to clarify question and to insure "D" is the only correct answer. CE concurred.
14	Н	3	Х					?		Е	000028AK1.01 Added "slowly increasing" to stem and "A" and "B" choices. Pressure would slowly increase if PZR level is increasing. Changed to KA 000028AK2.02 CE concurred.
15	H	3	Х					٧		U	000029EA2.09 EOP no longer has a limit on SG level during UNPP. Replaced modified bank question 199. CE concurred. Changed to RO.
16	F	2						٧		E	000029EK3.12 Editorial changes to match current EOP designations. CE concurred.
17	F	2						?		υ	000033AA2.11 ONS no longer has intermediate Range detectors. KA no longer applicable to ONS. Suggest new KA 000036AA2.02. Replaced with new question. CE concurred.

to	H	3								S	000038EA1.19  No utility comments.  Added "1A Main FDW pump operating" to stem to clarify plant status.
19	Н	4						٧		E	000040AA2.1 Removed refer to attachment. Attachment not required. Removed tab letter designations from distractors, not used at ONS. Changed "B" to "Loss of Subcooling Margin" to reflect ONS EOP tab. CE concurred.
20	Н	4						٧	Х	S	000051AA2.02 No utility comments.
21	F	3						1		U	000054AK3.03 Replaced question because EFDW valve controllers have been replaced and no longer operate as the question assumes. NO longer an AP/19, information moved to EOP. Bank CF025004 CE concurred. CE concurred.
22	Η	3	X					?	X	Ü	000055EA2.01 Question does not meet the KA. Question deals with cycling TBVs due to emergency CCW flow during a blackout. The KA specifies valve position during a loss of IA as a result of a blackout. Conditions stated in question are no longer addressed in current procedure. Replaced with a new question that matches KA. CE concurred.
23	F	3						?		S	000056AA2.47 ONS has hydro electric versus EDGs. Therefore, CE determined this KA acceptable because ONS load sheds up front vs sequencing loads. Intent of KA met.
24	Н	3	х					1	Х	ш	000057G.2.1.8  Remove "RPS Channel testing is also due during your shift" from stem. Has no bearing on question.  Attach reference OP/3/A/1107/004, Encl. 4.15 (System Drawing) CE concurred.
25	F	2	Х					7		Ü	000057AA1.06 ICS has been upgraded and loss of KI no longer requires use of Aux Shutdown Panel. Replaced with bank question STG636. CE concurred.

20	H	4							х	S	000058AA2.03 Added ATTACHMENT SLC 16.8.5 (125v DC Vital I&C System Ground Locating Policy) CE determined KA acceptable because system is degraded due to ground.
27	F	2						٧		Е	00059AK3.01 Added (LPSW DISCH) to stem. CE concurred.
28	F	2						٧		\$	000061K1.01 No utility comments.
29	Н	3	-			Х		?		U	000062AA1.07 Operability determinations are performed by SROs. Replaced with modified bank question 605 that meets KA. CE concurred.
30	F	3						1	Х	E	000067G2.4.27 Added "requiring activation of the SSF" to stem to ensure proper path in the AP. CE concurred.
31	F	2						٧		S	000067AK3.04 No utility comments.
32	Н	3						1	Х	U	001A2.13 Two correct answers ("B" and "D"). Replaced with new question. CE concurred.
33	F	3						7		E	003K5.04 Added procedure title. Changed "returned to service" to "restarted" to clarify stem. Changed RHR to DHR. Made Units designations match in stem. CE concurred.
34	Н	4				Х		1		U	003A3.02 Instrumentation mentioned in question is not monitored by the operator. Replaced with bank 162 CE concurred.
35	Н	3							Х	E	004G2.4.11  Need procedure number from facility  Reworded stem to improve readability. Added

3	_/F	2	Х			·		$\overline{}$	_/	T	U	004K2.02
	•	<b>-</b>										Does each ACB have its own transfer switch? If so, should "switch" be "switches"? Should "is in AUTO" be "Are in AUTO" The question concerned how aux power was supplied to the Keowee units. The KA concerned the power supply to the RCS Makeup pumps. Wrote a new question that matched the KA. CE concurred.
37	Н	3							7		S	005K3.07  No utility comments. Removed the following bullets "LPI HDR 1A INJECTION FLOW" LO alarm (O1A1310) "LPI HDR 1B INJECTION FLOW" LO alarm (O1A1311) from stem. They will not alarm unless ES has actuated.
38	Н	3		:					1	Х	S	006G2.1.7 Reworded stem and answers to improve readability. CE concurred.
39	Н	2							1		S	006A4.05
		·										No utility comments.
40	F	2							1		s	007K1.03
												No utility comments.
41	Н	3	X						1		U	008A201 Corrected question by rewording stem, "C" and "D" choice. ONS does not have auto isolation of CC to individual RCPs. In "D" with CC-7/8 closed there would be no CC flow. CE concurred.
42	Н	2							1		S	008A4.01
									l			No utility comments.
43	F	3							٨		E	O10K2.01 Facility check to see if the backup string is off of the vital 4160 Added "will not result in a loss of function" to "B" and "D" to complete the sentence. CE concurred. Added "(excluding those powered from the SSF)" to stem because the SSF supplied heaters are powered from a safety related power source.

4-1	∕H ]	3						√√		E	011K3.02 The status of SASS is required to answer this question. Added "with SASS in manual" to stem. CE concurred.
45	F	3						1		S	012K5.01 No utility comments.
46	Н	3	х					1		U	012K6.04 Question assumes only one S/D Bypass Key Switch. Each RPS Channel (4) has a S/D Bypass Key Switch. Question reworded, modified stem and rewrote all distractors. CE concurred.
47	Н	4				_		1		S	013A1.06 No utility comments.
48	Н	3						 1		S	013K3.03 Reworded stem for clarification. CE concurred.
49	F	3				_			Х	S	015G2.1.32
											No utility comments.
50	Н	3	Х					√ :		Ü	016A2.01 Question concerned SASS operation with a failure of Thot. SASS no longer swaps Thot. Thot is now median selected. Wrote new question on failure of PZR temperature and SASS. CE concurred.
51	F	2				_		?		S	022A1.04 Original KA not applicable. Changed to KA 008K3.02. CE concurred.
52	F	3						1		S	026G2.1.27
											No utility comments.
53	I	3	:					1		S	026K3.01  No utility comments.
54	F	3						 ٧		S	029K1.04 No utility comments.
55	·F	3						1		E	034G2.1.27 RO duties during refueling no longer include activities in the RB or SFP. Therefore the KA was changed to 034GEN2.2.27. Replaced with modified bank question 359. CE concurred.

50	H	3								E	Caps and bolded KEY. Added "with a subcooled RCS" to stem to clarify plant conditions. CE concurred.  Added "to prevent overcooling" to stem to clarify question. This question is written based on an ONS plant event. This event concerned overcooling during a low decay heat natural circulation. During normal approach to natural circulation, "C" would be correct.
57	F	3		-				1		S	039K1.02  No utility comments.  Changed the word "inoperative" to "inoperable" in the stem to match ONS terminology.
58	F	3	Х					1		E	039K5.01 Modified stem to improve readability. CE concurred.
59	F	2			Х			1		E	055A3.03 Reworded "C" to improve plausibility. CE concurred.
60	Н	3						1	Х	Ø	056A2.04 No utility comments.
61	F	3						\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		S	059A1.03 No utility comments.
62	Н	3				Х		?		C	061A3.01 Question no longer correct due to plant mods. KA does not match. Replaced with bank question 43 to match KA. Requires memorization of procedure. CE concurred.
63	Н	4	Х					7		U	061K6.01 Original question had FDW-315/316 failing open. Question explanation discussed ARC valve failure. No correct answer. Wrote new question to address ARC valve failure with a correct answer. CE concurred.

64	H	4	Х	<u> </u>			 Τ	1		U	062A2.10
		ļ									Does the gauge have power? If so, could "B" be correct?
											CE: Facility check to make sure distractor "B" not correct also.
		1									Question setup not plausible. I&E working in an electrical cabinet would not affect the air supply to the MS gauge. Does not match KA. Replaced with bank question 735 that matches KA. CE concurred.
65	F	3	Х		×			٧		U	062K4.02 Incorrect logic for "C" LPI pump start. No auto start on "C" LPI pump. Rewrote stem and distractors to make correct. Changed cog level to C/A. CE concurred.
66	Н	3						1		S	063A4.01 No utility comments.
67	Н	3	х					1		U	063K2.01 In stem loss of power was to aux oil pump not the TDEFDW Pump. Tripping throttle valve would stop all steam flow to turbine. No correct answer. Rewrote answer choices to ensure a correct choice. Added Aux oil pump to stem to clarify what lost power. CE concurred.
68	Н	3						1		S	064K4.03
ļ							 ↓	· · · · · ·	<u> </u>		No utility comments.
69	F	2						1		Е	071K4.01 Capped and bolded NOT in the stem. Added "initially" to "D". CE concurred.
70	F	2						٧		S	075K1.01
]	<u> </u>	ļ <u>.</u>		ļ <u>.</u>		 	 ļ				No utility comments
71	F	3					0 0 0 0	1	X	U	Not required for the operator to have this information memorized. This question would require 4 attachments. This information is in the OP L&P because of complexity of operability determination. If the OP is given it would be a direct lookup. Replaced with new question.  AP/024 (Loss of LPSW) is the procedure used to
											mitigate the consequences of this malfunction. CE concurred.

72	F	3						$\neg$		078K1.04 Changed cog level to C/A. CE concurred.
73	F	2						٧		078K3.02 Added (IA and AIA) to stem to clarify question. CE concurred.
74	F	2	Х				:	?		079A2.01 At ONS IA does not backup SA. Original KA is not applicable. Changed KA to 014A1.02. Replaced with bank question 367. CE concurred.
75	F	2				Х		٧	U	086K4.03 This question requires the recall of knowledge that is too specific for the closed reference test mode. Wrote new question. CE concurred.
76	F	3						1	E	BW/A01AA1.2 Added delta to "A". Modified "C" to match "B". CE concurred.
77	Н	3						?	S	BW/A04AA2.2 No utility comments.
78	F	3						1	E	BW/A05AK2.1 SSF Diesel Generator will not tie to the grid but can tie to Unit 2's MFB #2. Added "Unit 2's MFB #2" to stem to match our nomenclature. CE concurred. Underlined "emergency" to emphasize start method.
79	Н	4						7	S	BW/E02EK2.2 No utility comments.
80	Н	3			Х			7	U	BW/E04EK2.2 Three correct answers. A, B, and C. Modified "A" and "B" to make incorrect. CE concurred.
81	Н	3						1	S	BW/E04EK3.3
82	H	3	х					1	U	No utility comments.  BW/E08G2.4.18  Answer incorrect. Modified stem and distractors to improve readability and make "A" correct. Added ONS specfic nomenclature. CE concurred.  Added "controller" to "D" to clarify location of manual control.

<del>, _</del> ,			,	 ,	 		,	<del></del> \	/-			<del></del>
83	F	3							<b>\</b>	X	S	BW/E13EA2.1  No utility comments.  Changed "C": to read "tab SA (Subsequent Actions)" to match current EOP nomenclature.  Added "(HPI)" to D. This is the Rule 6 title.
84	F	4							7	X	E	G2.1.11  Is this Q a < 1 hr TS?  CE: Facility verify this Q is < 1 hr TS, otherwise G2.1.12.  Original question did not meet KA GEN 2.1.11.  Selected bank question to meet KA GEN 2.1.11. CE concurred.
85	F	2							7	X	E	G2.1.14 Added (s) to notification to indicate one or more notifications. CE concurred. In the stem changed "RO supervising" to read "operator overseeing" because RO do not supervise.
86	F	2							٧		S	G2.1.27 No utility comments.
87	Н	3					-		V		s	G2.1.32
01	''	٦					]		'			No utility comments.
88	F	2							7	Х	S	G2.1.6 No utility comments.
89	Н	4							1		S	G2.2.12 No utility comments.
90	F	3							1	X	S	G2.2.17 Removed "You are the Plant Supervisor." from stem not required to answer the question. Reworded stem to improve readability. CE concurred.
91	F	4							٧		E	G2.2.2 Changed stem to "FDW Calibrating Integral" due to new ICS. Changed "Bailey" to "Master" in "D" to match current plant designations. CE concurred.
92	Н	5							7		E	G2.3.2 Added "SEE ATTACHMENT" Alara Manual Appendix "C" Section 4.4 (General Dose Reduction Methods) CE concurred.

					•									
 93⊤	/H	2									<b>√</b>	Х	S	G2.3.3
		!												No utility comments.
94	F	4									1		S	G2.4.12
-			Ì			i								No utility comments.
95	F	2									1		S	G2.4.23
	Ì	_												No utility comments.
96	Н	2									1		S	G2.4.25
ا ۳۰	''	-		-			1							Cog level change to memory. CE concurred.
97	F	3									_		E	G2.4.3
.										!				Redesigned the stem to improve readability.
							Ì							Added "ES" to the stem. Added "and 2 have
														actuated" to stem to make "C" correct and "D"
											 <del></del>			plausible. CE concurred.
98	- Н	4						.			√	Х	Е	G2.4.41 Added "SEE ATTACHMENT" to stem. CE
					1									concurred.
									X		 V		u	G2.4.6
99	F	3							Α		"		U	The question requires the recall of knowledge that
														is to specific for the closed reference test mode.
								ļ						Replaced with bank question 190. CE concurred.
100	F	3	, <u>.</u>								V	Х	E	G2.4.7
,,,,	·											}		Added "RCP" to stem. Should be SRO question
														because it is asking for actions of the procedure director who is an SRO. Cog level should be C/A.
													!	CE concurred.
l	1		I							<u> </u>	l	L		OL COMBUTTON.

Facility: Oconee Nuclear S	Station Date of Exam: 06/ 03	Fya	m Lev	el· RO
·	Station Square of Exam. 607		Initial	···
19	em Description		b	С
	s copied before grading	N/A	<b>A</b>	e
1	s and question deletions justified and anges or deletions were made)	N/A	A	a
''	necked for addition errors ck > 25% of examinations) graded)	N/A	AP.	0
4. Grading for all borde detail (No failures or borde	rline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in	N/A	#	0
5. All other failing exam are justified (No failures or bord	ninations checked to ensure that grades	N/A		0
deficiencies and wor	sed questions checked for training ding problems; evaluate validity of half or more of the applicants	rfa 🕽	殿	9
	Printed Name / Signature			Date
a. Grader	Ronald F. Aiello		_07/0	02/03
b. NRC Reviewer(*)	Gerard W. Laska	-	07/01	9/03
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	Ronald F. Aiello	-	<u>7/</u> §	7/03
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	mhinto		7//	4/Q <u>3</u>
· ·	s signature is not applicable for examination of the signature is not applicable for examination of the signature.	ons grade	ed by ti	he

Facility: Oconee Nuclear S	Station	Date of Exam: 06/	03	Exa	n Leve	l: SRO
			Initials			
It	em Description	on		а	b	С
Clean answer sheets copied before grading     (LXRTest machine graded)			N/S	A	0	
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented (No changes or deletions were made)			N/A	<b>₩</b>	0	
<ol> <li>Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check &gt; 25% of examinations) (LXRTest machine graded)</li> </ol>			N/A	AL .	0	
4. Grading for all borde detail (No failures or borde	•	30% +/- 2%) reviewed	in	N/A	#	B
<ol> <li>All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified (No failures or borderline cases)</li> </ol>			N/A	#	9	
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants			rfa	象	9	
	Print	ted Name / Signature			D	ate
a. Grader	Ronald F. Aiel	lo	4		_07/0	2/03
b. NRC Reviewer(*)	Gerard W. Las	ska Liene W. J.M	er_		07/08	<u>/03</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	Ronald F. Aiel	lo			7/8	<u>63</u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	Mi	he Ento		7/14/03		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.						

(Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station - Date of Exam: 06/16-24/03)

	Task Description	Date Complete
1.	Facility written exam comments or graded exams received and verified complete	07/01/03
2.	Facility written exam comments reviewed and incorporated and NRC grading completed, if necessary	N/A
3.	Operating tests graded by NRC examiners	07/09/03
4.	NRC Chief examiner review of written exam and operating test grading completed	07/09/03
5.	Responsible supervisor review completed	07/11/03
6.	Management (licensing official) review completed	N/A
7.	License and denial letters mailed	7/17/2003
8.	Facility notified of results	07/17/03
9.	Examination report issued (refer to NRC MC 0610)	8/11/2003
10.	Reference material returned after final resolution of any appeals	N/A (CD)