Info Review Tracking Log:

Item: 1

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 10/30/2001

Date Completed: 11/6/2001

Requestor: OPA (Elizabeth Hayden)

Review:

Interest in obtaining a copy of the Licensee Event Report for the southwest Missouri State University incident to use in lessons learned training for staff.

Response: Item transferred to NMSS (Paul Goldberg) on November 6, 2001.

Status: Closed

Item: 2

POC: Reckley

Date Received: 10/30/2001

Date Completed: 11/1/2001

Requestor: OPA (Sue Gagner)

Review:

I'm looking for information on and/or a copy of the current Federal Radiological Emergency Response Plan. The one I have is dated 1 May 96. I was sent to your web site from the FEMA site.

Response:

The version of the FRERP that the requester states that he has (May 1, 1996) is the same version that had been on the NRC web site and is the latest version issued.

Status: Closed

Item: 3

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/01/2001

1-82

Date Completed: 11/2/2001

Requestor: OPA (Elizabeth Hayden)

Review:

Is the Millstone Unit 1 Fuel Rod Accountability Project final report a public document now or can it be released if it is not now public?

Response:

The FRAP report is already generally publically available since it has gone to the states of CT, SC, WA, and CA; the local newspaper; interveners on a Millstone Unit 3 SFP rerack contention; and others. In addition since it documents a loss of control of a very small amount of special nuclear material which occurred 20 years ago I did not identify any information which posed a security concern.

Status: Closed

Item: 4

POC: Reckley

Date Received: 11/02/2001

Date Completed: 11/7/2001

Requestor: OPA (Elizabeth Hayden)

Review:

I have received a request (actually from a licensee through the resident inspector) for the performance indicators for a plant. Since the performance indicators have been removed from the web site, can we provide them, if asked? The specific request comes from a licensee, but I foresee that a similar question could come from the media or public.

Response:

Feel free to provide the performance indicators (their own) to licensees since they should have the info anyway (although perhaps in a different format).

We are working to have the ROP material be one of the first we will restore to the external web within the next couple of weeks. If we have a request from the public or media that can not wait until we reconstruct the web site, we will likely provide the information provided there is no "sensitive" information in the safeguards cornerstone. However, please send another note to NRR_INFOREVIEW if we get a request for the data for all plants or if we get a request from the public or media.

Status: Closed

Item: 5

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/8/2001

Requestor: NRR (Sarah Colpo)

Review:

Are we going to have event reports available at least internally?

Response:

The events are still available on the S:\ drive in the "events" folder. Try looking for them there.

Status: Closed

Item: 5

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/8/2001

Requestor: NRR (Jake Zimmerman)

Review:

Any time frame for reposting the Bulletin 2001 web site which is on the Info. Review awaiting list.

Response:

Reposting Bulletin 2001 is our top priority, but...it may not be available until January. We are awaiting Commission approval of criteria for determining sensitivity of publically available information. Once we get the criteria, we can begin to review and repost information to the web.

Before we took down our public web, OCIO was in the process of redesigning it. So, when we repost Bulletin 2001, it will be in the new format for the redesigned web.

Status: Closed

Item: 6

POC: Reckley

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed:

Requestor: NRR (Tim Colburn)

Review:

Has anyone contacted the Government Printing Office to

1. Make sure they stop releasing NUREGs deemed to contain sensitive information.

2. Keep track of persons ordering sensitive information NUREGs and forward that information to FBI and other agencies for followup.

Response: Pending

Status:

•

Item: 7

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/8/2001

Requestor: NRR (Joseph Petrosino)

Review:

Is this link something that I can provide to a member of the public that has been asking me for information about our web site??

Response:

The email address, NRR_Inforeview@nrc.gov, is only for NRC employees to use for asking questions or requesting information. Members of the public should go through Office of Public Affairs or the Public Document Room to request information.

Status: Closed

Item: 8

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/8/2001

Requestor: NRR (Clare Goodman)

Review:

I could not read the attachments so I have the following question. What is the status of the site containing the Human Factors Information System (HFIS)? For reasons not related to security (data needing to be inputed) could we hold off putting that site back on the NRC web page?

Response:

The HFIS database is one of the sites which still needs to be reviewed for sensitive information. The criteria for determining sensitive information is with the Commission. Once the Commission approves the criteria, we can begin reviewing our sites. So, there is no problem with waiting to put the site back up. Most likely, the earliest the site could return to the web would be in January.

Status: Closed

Item: 9

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/8/2001

Requestor: NRR (Hans Ashar)

Review:

I can understand the Commission's decision regarding the NRC's external web site. However, I do not know why some of the internal web site pages have been withdrawn. I have a number of internal web pages as 'Bookmarks.' When I tried to open some of them, the message appeared, "not found." For example, "NRC Reference Library," which gives access to the NRC' regulatory documents, Regulations, Reg guides, Bulletins, etc.

Response:

Hans.....this is Margie.....I think you can still get to those web sites. Maybe your bookmarks got messed up when the old external web got moved to the internal web. Try refreshing your bookmarks.

This is how I got to the reference library. I went to the internal web, then I clicked on the link saying "previous public web site," then I clicked on reference library. See if that works for you.

Status: Closed

Item: 10

POC: Konzman

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/13/2001

Requestor: NRR (George Dick)

Review:

I was looking for the license transfer web page and did not see it in either one of the two lists. It was up and we were just getting ready to add documents to it when all of the web pages were taken off for review. Is that something that is going to be reviewed? The last that I heard is that OGC is going to make a determination about whether or not we should put the license transfer documents on the public web page.

Response:

You can find Reactor Licensing Transfer and Merger as item five from the top on page two of the attached report. You should continue to update you web page and add documents as normal. NRR is awaiting formal guidance from the EDO prior to beginning a formal review of any materials. Once formal guidance is available Margie Kotzals or Bill Reckley will be in touch with you to schedule a review session of your web site.

Status: Closed

Item: 11

POC: Konzman

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/13/2001

Requestor: NRR (Elinor Adensam)

Review:

The list attached to Dennis' email did not address specific web sites like the IP2 web site or the SGAP website or the license transfer web site. Can anyone let us know the status of those websites? We do have occasion to refer to these in documents we issue and would like to know where these are in the queue.

Response:

Presently the Web sites such as IP2, SGAP, and License transfer listed in the attached document will not be available on the public web site till the some time in the new calendar year. Normal maintenance and updates to these Web sites should continue to occur on a regular basis. NRR is awaiting formal guidance from the EDO on the review criteria prior to entering into a large review effort of any materials. Once formal guidance is available Margie Kotzals or Bill Reckley will be in touch with the individual Web Site owners to schedule review session for their web sites.

Status: Closed

Item: 12

POC: Konzman

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/13/2001

Requestor: NRR (George Usova)

Review:

I am the Technical Monitor for the Generic Fundamentals Examination (GFE) and am the point of contact for the NRC Generic Fundamentals web site.

This web site, established in March 2001, is an examination test bank of validated test items that have previously appeared on NRC GFE examinations. Industry training programs rely upon this test bank for their training and preparation for operator licensing examinations. The test bank information, available on this web site, is and always has been public information. Moreover, this information is innocuous and would appear to present no security risk.

I request that you reinstall the GFE web site so that it may be available to the public. Thank you.

Response:

Presently the Web sites such as GFE will not be available on the public web site until some time in the new calendar year. Normal maintenance and updates on your Web site should continue to occur on a regular basis. NRR is awaiting formal guidance from the EDO on the review criteria prior to entering into a large review effort of any materials. Once formal guidance is available Margie Kotzals or Bill Reckley will be in touch with the individual Web Site owners to schedule review session for their web sites.

Status: Closed

Item: 13

POC: Reckley

Date Received: 11/8/2001

Date Completed: 11/09/2001

Requestor: NRR (Michael Johnson)

Review:

Who is the review group?

How have priorities been set for when pages will be reviewed?

I believe that the Reactor Oversight Process pages should receive priority attention. Not sure why the list of PMs is of more interest to the public than the latest update of performance indicators.

Response:

Mike...as of now, the review group is Bill Reckey, Sam Miranda (DLPM) and Margie Kotzalas (PMAS) and C. Konzman as NRR webmaster. The two lists only reflected a quick review and identified ones (like the list of PMs) that we know don't include sensitive info and those (like ROP) where a decision was not obvious and/or

some changes might be needed prior to reposting. As we spoke earlier in the week, I (Bill R.) agree that the ROP is among the first pages we should bring back. I spoke with Conchita yesterday and she and Ron F. have already talked about possible approaches. I'll try to talk to Ron next week and lay the ground work for restoring the ROP as one of the first NRR pages to come back.

Status: Closed

Item: 14

POC: Reckley

Date Received: 11/13/2001

Date Completed:

Requestor: OPA

Review:

Dear sir,

I am making my engineering degree about the International Benchmark "BWR

Turbine Trip" and for my report i need some general information.

I got some from the benchmark specifications about the plant, but that

information is more detailed for the specific model that i am working with

(RETRAN model). I need information like simplified diagrams of the core &

reactor vessel, steam separators, main steam systems, recirculation

systems, condensate-feedwater systems, cooling towers and some draft about

facilities situation.

I will really appreciate it if you send me it by email or you tell me an

Internet address where I would be able to get it from by myself.

Thank you very much for your help and time.

Best regards

Ma Belen Crespo

De: NRR_INFOREVIEW NRR_INFOREVIEW

Enviado el: martes 13 de noviembre de 2001 18:51

Para: bcsa@iberdrolaingenieria.es

Asunto: Re: Peach Bottom Nuclear Power Plant Can you please be a bit more specific about the information being requested. Please be as specific as you can about the subject of your paper or research. For example, are you primarily interested in the power generation systems or the back-up safety systems. >>> Belen Crespo Sanchez

<u>bcsa@iberdrolaingenieria.es></u> 11/12/01 11:47AM >>> If it?s possible i would like to get some information about Peach Bottom nuclear power plant systems or about the BWR/4 reference in the NRC?s pages, it?s for my engineering degree. If that information is already on the NRC website, I can?t find it, please help me in one way or the other. Thank you very much. Best Wishes, Ma Belen Crespo **Response: Pending**

Status:

Item: 15

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 10/26/2001

Date Completed: 11/15/2001

Requestor: PDR (Daya Bay Nuclear Power Plant, China)

Review:

Pressure Vessel Fluence Calculation Benchmark Problems and Solutions, NUREG/CR-6115

Pressure Vessel Fluence Benchmark Calculations, BNL/NUREG-34715

H.B. Robinson-2 Pressure Vessel Benchmark, NUREG/CR-6453

Pool Critical Assembly Pressure Vessel Facility Benchmark, NUREG/CR-6454

Analysis of the ORNL Pool Critical Assembly Pressure Vessel Dosimetry Benchmark Experiments, BNL/NUREB-29047

Evaluation of Surveillance Capsule and Reactor Cavity Dosimetry from H.B. Robinson Unit 2 Cycle 9, NUREG/CR-4576

LWR Pressure Vessel Surveillance Dosimetry Improvement Program: PCA Experiments and Blind Test, NUREG/CR-1861

Reactor Calculation Benchmark PCA Blind Test Results, ORNL/NUREG/TM-428

Response:

We have reviewed the following documents requested by the Guangdong Nuclear Power Joint Venture Co. and have determined that they are acceptable for public release:

1. "Pressure Vessel Fluence Benchmark Calculations" BNL NUREG 34715

2. "Analysis oif the ORNL Pool Critical Assembly Pressure Vessel Dosimetry Benchmark Experiment" BNL NUREG 29047

3. "Pool Critical Assembly Pressure Vessel Facility Benchmark" NUREG/CR-6454

4. "H.B. Robinson -2 Pressure Vessel Benchmark" NUREG/CR-6453

5. "LWR Pressure Vessel Surveillance Dosimetry Improvement Program: PCA Experiments and Blind Test" NUREG/CR 18/61

We have not received copies of the other 3 requested documents. However, based on the document titles and their similarity to the documents already reviewed, we have determined that these other documents are also acceptable for release.

Status: Closed

Item: 16

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/15/2001

Date Completed: 11/15/2001

Requestor: PDR

Review:

NUREG-1275 Vol 13 "Evaluation of Air-Operated Valves at U.S. Light-Water Reactors"

Response:

We have reviewed NUREG-1275 Vol 13 "Evaluation of Air-Operated Valves at U.S. Light-Water Reactors" and have determined that it is acceptable for public release.

Status: Closed

Item: 17

POC: Kotzalas - NMSS (Chet Poslusny)

Date Received: 11/15/2001

Date Completed: 11/19/2001

Requestor: PDR

Review:

NUREG/CR-4858 Behavior of a Simulated, Metal Spent-Fuel Storage Cask Under Explosive Attack

Response:

The subject NUREG, "Behavior of a Simulated, Metal Spent-Fuel Storage Cask Under Explosive Attack was requested by a member of the public. (I thought it had been FOIA'd but you corrected my misconception) Although the document was sanitized previously, it still contains information which could support a vulnerability analysis for a dry cask design by an adversary. This is especially true given the environment created by the September 11th event. We, in SFPO, recommend that the document not be released by the PDR. If an FOIA request is received, we would have to consider a second review/screening of the document by Sandia (the authoring organization).

Status: Closed

Item: 18

POC: Miranda

Date Received: 11/15/2001

Date Completed: 11/19/2001

Requestor: PDR

Review:

NUREG-1463, "Regulatory Analysis for the Resolution of Generic Safety Issue 105: Interfacing System Loss-of-Coolant Accident in Light-Water Reactors"

Response:

2

NUREG-1463, "Regulatory Analysis for the Resolution of Generic Safety Issue 105: Interfacing System Loss-of-Coolant Accident in Light-Water Reactors" has been reviewed for sensitive material and determined to be acceptable for release to the pubic.

Supporting material not in response: 1. specific valves and locations are not identified, although, an expert could probably figure it out. 2. Multiple failures are required, so that several well-trained terrorists would have to attack in well-timed, coordinated fashion. 3. valve failures, especially check valve failures, are tough to cause.

Status: Closed

Item: 19

POC: Miranda

Date Received: 11/15/2001

Date Completed: 11/20/2001

Requestor: OPA via Mindy Landau

Review:

Has anyone reviewed NUREG/CR-6451, Safety and Regulatory Assessment of Generic BWR and PWR Permanently Shut Down Nuclear Power Plants (1977)? It was a study done by Brookhaven. They had a request for it from a reporter.

Response:

NUREG/CR-6451, "A Safety and Regulatory Assessment of Generic BWR and PWR Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Plants," was reviewed for sensitive information and determined to be acceptable for release to the public.

Status: Closed

Item: 20

POC: Reckley

Date Received: 10/24/2001 and 10/30/2001

Date Completed: 11/20/2001

Requestor: PDR

Review:

results of library search on "once through steam generator stabilizer components"

Response:

2

A sensitivity review was performed on the search results for OTSG stabilizer components and it was determined that these documents are acceptable for release to the public. However, it was noted that some of these documents contain proprietary information and so the rules regarding release of proprietary information still apply.

Status: Closed

Item: 21

POC: Reckley

Date Received: ?

Date Completed: 11/19/2001

Requestor: NRR (Butch Burton)

Review:

Hatch License Renewal SER

Response:

The SER for renewing the license for Hatch has been reviewed and is OK for general release to the public. Please coordinate with OCIO for publication of the SER. Address any questions re: the review to Bill Reckley at 415-2429.

Status: Closed

Item: 22

POC:

Date Received: 11/20/2001

Date Completed:

Requestor: Tim Ferris, The Louis Berger Group

Review:

I am working on a project for a federal agency that is interested in improving its compliance inspection program. The project entails studying the compliance inspection programs of other federal, state and local regulatory agenies in order to learn and document the criteria they use for inspection purposes. The NRC has been the subject of my reaearch and I have reviewed many NRC documents, via the NRC website, in the past few months. Unfortunately, these documents are no longer available due to recent changes to the website.

I am requesting electronic versions of the following NRC documents. For each document I am also requesting

the latest revision. Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this request. If possible, could these documents be sent asap but in any event no later than the end of November.

Requested Documents

-NUREG-1649, Rev 3, "Reactor Oversight Process" (July 2000)

-SECY-01-0114, "Results of the Initial Implementation of the New Reactor Oversight Process" (Includes Final Report of the IIEP) (June 25, 2001)

-SECY-00-049, "Results of the Revised Reactor Oversight Process Pilot Program" (February 24, 2000)

-SECY-99-007A, "Recommendations for Reactor Oversight Process Improvements (Follow-up to SECY-99-007)" (March 22, 1999)

-SECY-99-007, "Recommendations for Reactor Oversight Process Improvements" (January 8, 1999)

-NRC Enforcement Policy (May 1, 2000 latest version?)

-NRC Enforcement Manual (latest version)

-NRC Inspection Manual (At a minimum, the Chapters pertaining to Revised Reactor Oversight Process, eg: Chapters 2515, 0609)

-NEI 99-02, Revision 1, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline" (April 2001)

Response:

Status:

Item: 23

POC: Kotzalas

Date Received: 11/16/2001

Date Completed:

Requestor: OPA

Review:

Part of OPA's collection on the web that was taken down last month is our set of Fact Sheets on various topics. NMSS has reviewed theirs and given me their initial "scrub" for those having sensitive information that we would not want to provide to terrorists for their potential use.

I need NRR to review its fact sheets for this sensitivity, realizing that we still have no <u>Commission-approved</u> criteria for withholding information from the public domain. I believe it would make sense that while NRR reviews the fact sheets for sensitivity, those determined to be OK to be reposted to the Web should be updated, too. This is the time of year that we ask for updates.

Below are the 19 fact sheets that my records show are assigned to NRR. I've also listed each fact sheet contact I worked with last year for updates. Only about 8 appear to need updates. Those with an asterisk indicate the fact sheet probably does <u>not</u> need to be updated. However, all should be given a sensitivity review.Please let

me know how you want to handle the updates/scrubs so that we can repost to the web around the first of next year.

Decommissioning NPP - Bill Huffman

7

Next Generation Reactors - Jerry Wilson

*Emergency Planning & Preparedness - Kathy Gibson

*Environmental Monitoring - Steve Klemenowicz (?)

*Fire Protection - Daniele Oudinout

*Inspection - David Allsopp

License Transfers - Mike Dusaniwskyj (A list of transfers to date would be good to add)

*Non-Power Reactors - Marvin Mendonca

Nuclear Insurance - Mike Davis

*Nuclear Plant Security - (Alan Madison - updated in Oct.)

*Reactor Licensing Process - Mark Padovan

*License Renewal - Steve Hoffman- updated in Sept.

*Reactor Operator Licensing - Fred Guenther

*Reactor Pressure Vessel Embrittlement - ?

Steam Generator Tube Issues - Emmett Murphy

*TMI - Pat Madden

Allegation Process - Ed Baker

Deregulation - Mike Davis

Power Uprates - Mohammed Shuaibi

Response:

Status:

Item: 24

POC:

Date Received: 11/6/2001

Date Completed: 11/20/2001 (partial response)

Requestor: OCIO (Walter Oliu)

Review:

NUREG-1650 The United States of America National Report on Nuclear Safety

NUREG/CR-6500R02 Owners of Nuclear Power Plants

NUREG/CR-6752 A Comparative Analysis of Special Treatment Requirements for Systems, Structures, and Components of Nuclear Power Plants with Commercial Requirements of Non-Nuclear Power Plants

Response:

The NRR review team has looked at NUREG-1650 and finds that it is acceptable for release (i.e., does not contain sensitive information). The point of contact, M. Banic, is making a few minor changes. OCIO can proceed with publication whenever NRR/DRIP delivers the final product.

Status:	
Item:	
POC: (1) - 1	
Date Received:	
Date Completed:	· ·
Requestor:	· · · · · ·
Review:	
Response:	
Status:	
ltem:	
POC:	
Date Received:	
Date Completed:	
Requestor:	
Review:	
Response:	

12/26/2001