

### **Department of Energy**

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
Office of Repository Development
P.O. Box 364629
North Las Vegas, NV 89036-8629

QA: QA

AUG 20 2003

N. H. Williams Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC 1180 Town Center Drive, M/S 423 Las Vegas, NV 89144

ISSUANCE OF CONDITION REPORT (CR) BSC(O)-03-D-236 RELATED TO THE TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT OF UNQUALIFIED DATA

Enclosed is CR BSC(O)-03-D-236.

Please provide a response that meets the applicable requirements of Administrative Procedure (AP)-16.1Q, Management of Conditions Adverse to Quality. Send the original of your response to Deborah G. Opielowski, Navarro Quality Services, P.O. Box 364629, Mail Stop 455, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89036-8629.

Response to the CR is due thirty calendar days from the date of this letter. Please notify the U.S. Department of Energy when all actions are complete.

If you have any questions, please contact either William J. Boyle at (702) 794-5506 for technical questions or Kerry M. Grooms at (702) 794-1367 for quality related questions.

William Boy Le William J. Boyle, División Director

Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Office of License Application & Strategy

OLA&S:WJB -1760

### **Enclosures:**

- 1. Condition Report BSC(O)-03-D-236
- 2. Condition Report Response Form
- 3. Condition Report Response Instructions

Contract Number:

DE-AC28-01RW12101

Massol

### cc w/encl:

K. M. Grooms, DOE/OQA (RW-3W), Las Vegas, NV

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Rockville, MD

Robert Latta, NRC, Las Vegas, NV (2 cys)

S. W. Lynch, State of Nevada, Carson City, NV

L. W. Bradshaw, Nye County, Pahrump, NV

G. K. Beall, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

M. J. Mason, BSC, Las Vegas, NV

F. H. Dove, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

W. J. Glasser, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Opielowski, NQS, Las Vegas, NV

W. J. Boyle, DOE/ORD (RW-40W), Las Vegas, NV

E. R. Cooper, DOE/ORD (RW-40W), Las Vegas, NV

C. M. Newbury, DOE/ORD (RW-40W), Las Vegas, NV

E. T. Smistad, DOE/ORD (RW-40W), Las Vegas, NV

B. M. Terrell, DOE/ORD (RW-40W), Las Vegas, NV

J. D. Ziegler, DOE/ORD (RW-40W), Las Vegas, NV

Records Processing Center = "7"

## **OCRWM**

# RIGINAL CONDITION REPORT

1. X DR □ CAR									
CR NO.: BSC(O)-03-D-236									
Page / of QA: QA									

2. Controlling Document (Document Identifier and Rev.	Date):	3. Relate	d Report No.:							
AP-SIII.2Q, Revision 1, ICN 1, Qualification of Unqual	· .	TER-03-0	•							
4. Responsible Organization:	5. Discusse	ed With:								
Performance Assessment Technical Input	Mike Jaege	er								
6. Requirement:										
Attachment 2 states: "One or a combination of meth Equivalent QA Program, (2) Corroborating Data, (3) Co				lify data. The i	methods are (1)					
				·						
7. Description of Condition:				corrected During A actions taken to c	-					
Unqualified data contained in the report TDR-EBS-MD-000022, Revision 00, Data Qualification: Update and Revision of the Geochemical Thermodynamic Database, Data0.YMP, have been qualified using the Technical Assessment method. This method of data qualification is not one of the methods listed in AP-SIII.2Q, Revision 1, ICN 1, Attachment 2. It was removed from AP-SIII.2Q because Technical Assessment is not a data qualification method allowed by NUREG-1298.										
Other data qualification reports have also used the Technical Assessment method for data qualification.										
		· "								
8. Initiator:		9. Responsible Ma		quired if 7a checke verification activity						
Floyd H. Dove 7. Larues Jones	08/14/03	N/A								
Printed Name Signature	Date	Printed Na	ame	Signature	Date					
10. QA Review:	08/15/03 Date	11. Does a stop v	vork conditio	n exist?	es 🔯 No					
Floyd H. Dove 7. Hawry Jove  OAR Printed Name Signature		13. For a DR, che ☑ Impact □		nse must have:  Action to Prev	ent Recurrence					
12. Issuing Organization: (if applicable) OLA&S Issuing Org  William J. Boyle Printed Name Signature	8/20/03 Dave	14. Due Date: 30	_	iys after issue /20/03)						
15. Issuing Organization Closure Review: (if applicable)		16. QA Corrective	Action Veri	fication/Closure:	· .					
Issuing Org Printed Name Signature	Date	Printed N	ame	Signature	Date					
17. Trend Data:	<u></u>		·							
/	/_		_ /	/						

# OCRWM 2. Submittal Page of Amended CONDITION REPORT RESPONSE 1. CR NO.: Page of QA: QA

3. Extent of Condition:	Significant:	□·Yes	□No	(Complete significance for	a DR.)						
						•					
				÷ ,							
4. Impact: (Provide an impact statement relative to waste isolation and safety, and impact to other work, if any.)											
	to a to		•								
			,		• • • • • •	·					
					184						
5. Remedial Actions Req	uired:		·:	<del></del>							
			ŧ	• .							
٠. ٠.											
	•										
6. Root Cause (For a significant CAQ, attach results of formal root cause determination prepared in accordance with AP-16.4Q.)											
☐ Apparent Cause	•				•						
				•							
7 Action to Preclude Rec	currence: (Addr	ess those act	ions necess:	ary to prevent the identified	t cause from recurring.)						
7.763011 10 11001000 1100	,a			ary to provont and tachanot	- cause	ĺ					
						;					
8. Due Date for Completi	on of Corrective	Action:	<del></del>	9. Responsible Manage	· ·	<del></del>					
						,					
				Printed Name	Signature	Date					
10. Issuing Organization:	(if applicable)	*		11. QA Review:		· .					
☐ Accept	Rejec	t		☐ Accept ☐ Reju	ect Re-evaluated for	or significance					
Printed Name	Sig	gnature	Date	QAR Printed Nam	e Signature	Date					

...

### **CR RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS**

The numbered steps represent the numbered blocks on the CR Response. Complete only the applicable information. Mark blocks that are not applicable "N/A." Use the CR Continuation Page or reference attachments if additional space is required.

#### RM:

If a CAQ does not seem to exist, provide a response on a continuation page and justify the basis for not considering the issue to be a CAQ.

- 1. Enter the applicable CR number. Do not place page numbers in this block.
- 2. If deemed necessary to number the submittal pages, enter the submittal page count in the upper section of this block. If the specific submittal is an amended response, check this box.
- Document the extent of condition investigation activities and include a detailed listing of those items or documents that are found to be part of the extent of condition. If an extent of condition investigation is not warranted, provide justification. For a DR, check the appropriate significance box to represent the RM's assessment.
- Identify the impact relative to waste isolation, safety, and/or to other work, if any. If there is no impact, then
  provide justification or rationale as to why there is no impact. Otherwise, mark block N/A if impact statement is
  not required.
- a) Provide specific remedial actions that have been or will be taken to address each specific type of condition noted in Block 3.
  - b) Include the immediate corrective action taken if not reported on the description of condition to allow work to continue or to mitigate the consequences of the CAQ.
  - c) List specific actions in a concise bulleted or numbered format. Actions stated must be verifiable.
  - d) Provide names of specific individuals responsible for completing each action and the expected completion date, to facilitate closure verification activities.
  - e) If remedial actions are deemed unnecessary or cannot be taken, then provide a clear justification or rationale as to why no actions were taken.
  - f) Include, as a remedial action, an appropriate requirement to cross-reference this CR to all affected records identified in the extent of condition (required for all CR Responses).
  - g) If the CR documents a significant design deficiency because of an incorrect design, then require a review of the design process, design verification methods, and implementing documents.
- 6. For a significant CAQ, perform a root cause determination in accordance with AP-16.4Q, and attach it to the response. Provide the apparent cause if the "Cause" box of Block 13 of the CR is checked.
- 7. Identify those actions to be taken to preclude recurrence of the specific causes identified in Block 6. Actions planned should stem directly from the cause statements. These actions must be verifiable prior to closure of the CR. (This is required if the "Action to Prevent Recurrence" box of Block 13 of the CR is checked, or for a CAR.)
- 8. Provide the due date for completion of all the corrective actions outlined in the response.
- 9. Print name, sign, and date.

AP-16.1Q REV 6 ICN 0 ENCLOSURE 3 PA\_A161-3