	•	PO	R-1			
र्शिक्ष है। इ		PD ULPD WM	R -	WM Reconfile	WM Proceta	
نــ		war	1-11(2)	102	Docket No PDR_	سسر تدرید
, –	WM-86578		•		LPUR_	ميط
		,	- 1 - CCD 1 9 1006	Distribution:		page and a second control des
		•	SEP 12 1986		00)	
	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Joseph O. Bur Policy and Pr Division of V	nting, Chief rogram Control Vaste Manageme	(Return to WM, 623- Branch ent	20)	<u> </u>
	FROM:	Program Plann	tomare, Section ning Section rogram Control	• •		
U	SUBJECT:		STEM CONCEPTS	JECT INFORMATION EVALUATIONS	J. 1	
	Comments on	the subject repo	ort are enclos	ed for your inform	nation.	
			ç	riginal Signed By:	,	
			Progra	M. Altomare, Sect m Planning Section and Program Conti	า	
· .	Enclosure: As stated			<i>→ 1</i>		
$\cup$		In forms to Kaven	im on encl. Hotch, NA	some transmitted post 9/12/86		
	DISTRIBUTION: WM  WMM s/f  WMPC r/f  NMSS  Originator  JSurmeier  SJones  RMcDougall	JGreeves JLinehan MKnapp PJustus MJBell REBrowning				
	JOBunting				1313	
050	IAIDO AA IAIDO	<i>1</i>			<u> </u>	
:	WMPC: WMPC:	<u>/</u>		:		
NAME :	PAltomare/vd: JOBuni	ing:	:		::	
DATE:	86/09/12 B7050 PDR WM-11	70299 B60912 WASTE	•		•	
	₩M-11	מתם		, ———		;

Review of Draft "NNWSI Project Information Management System Concepts Evaluation Report" of May 1986 P.M. Altomore, Sept. 9, 1986

This report is excellent in its discussion of document management concepts and is
recommended reading for those concerned with the direct powers of a Licensing Support system for use in the high-fevel mosts (MLD).

Topository bearing of do not howevery agree with the apprecia or conclusions. Comments are provided below a

- I the document used technical Criteria and Cost to evolute six systems, three using microfilm and 3 using page image and fall test retrieval.
  - a) The system corcepts selected may not be the best to support a Hew repository having .
  - b) Different conteria invergets and ratings a could rosily be envisioned and no information was given as to how the votings were obtained e.e. a single opinion, delphi method.
  - c) The only alease division is that electronic image and fail text are better than marifilm systems. Five year cost is about 20 million for all systems.

2) It is not clear that labor cost for the day to day staff secret and retricul of documents is assistantial difference here. DOE appears to treat the licensing document system as separate from day to-day reserves, there is an implication that they have two systems one for documento-rios resage by the stoff and one to keep information they plan to release at the time of licensing. This could be a deplication cost.

The document recommends that only information passed between project participants and the project office be included by the system. This would cut down on the number of documents and still capture 70% of the licensing closuments.

a) as above, what do they do with the other documents for day to day use and

b) lising 10% of the licering decements =

Could result in more and longer discovery

actions costing more in acting to them is

saved and the three year licering

hearing 1-900001 by the Nacions waste taking

Art (NWPA) probably would not be meto

- 4) The concept of wing full text to generate a controlled word index is very imaginative and interesting, however, on I understand their ancept, they do not use full text scarcia to Sind decements, only suic text search of abstracts. They do copture full text in three of their conception abstraction 11 very expensed. The bus For not asing full-text search when full text is available is not clear
  - There is no clear indication of what receives here to go into the system consider
    - a) there is an indication that some vecively would be restricted about no customa is given no zeve the implications of FOIA and
    - discovery discovered in destail b) The NRC Hegoties ed Ralemoking effort must be lanschiel. In any system design
    - (including procedures).

د السد السيع وم ۱۱۰ مناسبات الدار الدار

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- C) Common procedurer need to be developed for we by ail parties to a lecenary heaving lot. DOE Hearly waiters, Pricet Offices, NRC, States and Indian Thoses
- 6) The document stresser Indising vother than Full text for sevel and retnewal of in afterior hearing hereis to be considered

- 7) the document indicates that nongraseability.

  of aptical disk is a desalvantage where

   it is desired to protect against vecord

  tampeving this is an advantage.
  - 8) It is noted that optical disk are
    the only readily accessable electronic
    steverye media for the size of cioria base
    neceded of the bilieve this is true.

    (p117)

    7) Photographs are not planned (to be

••

. . .

. . .

- 9) Photographs are not planned to be converted to disital image of believe this is possible in the todays technology.
- 10) The document inclicates that labor costs are higher for a distributed versur a controlized system. This may not be true when substantial date input may original a st the distributed site ich the concepts enseringht be reconsidered.
- 11) The document notes aisely that the = best system selection will be that which offers favour costs reductions lee the electronic system.
- 12) I agree in the the polit project approach to test concepts and would like to coordinate with DUE to share theirs and our experience

In summery, I believe this is an excellent report although I do not feel that the concepts considered covered the describle possibilities. I do not therefore support all of the conclusione particularly as regards obtaining only 90% of the licersing information, the approach to what should or should not go into the systemy and the limits Tim on scarching evaleble Sull text to increase recoll and precision in Sinding documents.

Phi L I toware

and the second of the second o

the second secon

FROM BUT DOE	DATE OF DOCUMENT 6/18/86	DATE RECEIVED	Nº 1486-578	
Donald L. Vieth	LTR MEMO	∘k RE	OTHER	
Joseph P. Bunting	ORIG. CC	OTHER		(1)
<u>.                                    </u>	NO ACTION NECESSARY	CONCURRENCE COMMENT	DATE ANSWERED BY 7/11/85	- X
CLASSIF POST OFFICE REG. NO.	FILE CONTO2.2		ali	95
DESCRIPTION (Must Be Unclassified)	REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY	DATE
Draft Concepts Evaluation Report, , on NNHSI -	30 Bunting, MPC	6/27	community of the second	.,
The second secon	PALTOMARE	451		
ENCLOSURES 1 10 3				1
1. Harry Wall				
V 16 10 10 110 196				
At for or at		·		
REMARKS  Ku Willeu	·			
Rec Yelfele Und De- 1/1/26		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15 AH	, ·
<del></del>	S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	DN	CONTROL FORM	RM NRC 326 (1-75)

٠,