## Title: RADIOACTIVITY IN MATERIAL NOT REQUIRING REGULATION FOR PURPOSES OF RADIATION PROTECTION

Comments by Reviewer			Resolution					
Reviewer: Page 1 of 9 Date: August 12, 2003 Country/Organization: USA/NRC/DOE/EPA/DOL/STATES				. 10001411011				
Country/Organ Comment	Para/Line	Proposed New Text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted but	Rejected	Reason for	
No.	No.	1 Toposed New Year	ridasuri	Accepted	modified as follows	nejecteu	modification/ rejection	
1	General	This draft DS161 would conflict with the BSS Schedule I from dose	Usefulness; Scope; Completeness; Quality Clarity					
		criteria for exemption of naturally occurring						
		radionuclides to a benchmark concentration,						
		regardless of dose. The exemptions have been						
		derived from exempt quantities (smaller						
		amounts), but the activity concentration levels						
		would also apply to large bulk quantities. DS161						
		addresses exclusion for these radionuclides.						
		Clarification is needed.  As drafted DS161 could						
		be read to imply regulatory inflexibility for						
		excluding exposures (i.e., deemed unamenable to						
		regulatory control) arising						

Comments by Reviewer Resolution Reviewer: Page 2 of 9 Date: August 12, 2003 Country/Organization: USA/NRC/DOE/EPA/DOL/STATES Accepted but Comment Para/Line Proposed New Text Accepted Rejected Reason for Reason modified as modification/ No. No. follows rejection radionuclides. Such an interpretation would lead to exclusion of naturally occurring radionuclides from regulation on the basis of a single concentration. In some cases, implementation may cause regulation above and below this level. There may be a net benefit (justification) to regulate them at different levels. Flexibility needs to be emphasized. The adoption of clearance Usefulness; Scope; 2 General Completeness; Quality levels would establish a lower bound to "amenable Clarity to control" without the implication of removing the potential for higher levels being excluded. See attached logic diagram. As such, clearance levels could be established on a uniform "trivial dose" basis for all radionuclides.

Comments by Reviewer Resolution Reviewer: Date: August 12, 2003 Page 3 of 9 Country/Organization: USA/NRC/DOE/EPA/DOL/STATES Comment Para/Line Proposed New Text Reason Accepted Accepted but Rejected Reason for No. No. modified as modification/ follows rejection As agreed in the past by Usefulness; Scope; 3 General RASSCWASSC, IAEA Completeness; Quality should engage WHO, Clarity WTO, FAO, etc. regarding foodstuffs and water. General As discussed at Usefulness; Scope; RASSC/WASSC, the Completeness; Quality averaging and sampling Clarity guidance should be included in a separate Safety Report. This is currently identified in the agency Blue Book. 5 There is ambiguity in the General Usefulness: Scope: treatment of naturally Completeness; Quality occurring nuclides with Clarity low atomic numbers. because they are listed also as artificial nuclides, e.g., H-3, C-14, S-35, Na-

22. etc.

Comments by Reviewer Resolution Reviewer: Page 4 of 9 Date: August 12, 2003 Country/Organization: USA/NRC/DOE/EPA/DOL/STATES Comment Para/Line **Proposed New Text** Reason Accepted Accepted but Rejected Reason for modified as No. modification/ No. follows rejection Usefulness; Scope; The terms large 6 General Completeness; Quality quantities, moderate quantities and bulk Clarity quantities should be expanded for clarification of the methodology. Vast quantities of natural materials and ores of critical importance to the U.S. economy exceed the DG161 "activity concentration levels" for natural uranium and/or natural thorium. They could become "radioactive" materials by implementing DS161. Specifically, these materials include: phosphate ore and fertilizer: zirconium ores: titanium minerals: tungsten ores and concentrates; vanadium ores; yttrium and rare earths; bauxite and alumina; coal and coal fly

> ash; water treatment residuals; recycled pipes with scale; and granite.

Comments by Reviewer Reviewer:

Page 5 of 9 Country/Organ	Date: August 1	2, 2003 RC/DOE/EPA/DOL/STATI	ES				
Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed New Text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/ rejection
7	General	Within the scope, DS161 should clarify that TS-R-1 should be referred to for exemption values for transportation activities. DS -161 concentration levels should be adjusted for compatibility with TS-R-1. DS161's lowering the regulatory threshold for natural materials would appear contrary to the exemption provided by TS-R-1. It would also appear to recapture vast quantities of natural materials and ores, in contrast to the exemption provisions of TS-R-1. Special attention is needed for packaging requirements for surface contaminated only (SCO) transport. This is to clarify that TS-R-1 is an international consensus standard and applies to transportation-specific dose modeling.	Usefulness; Scope; Completeness; Quality Clarity				

Resolution

Resolution Comments by Reviewer Reviewer: Page 6 of 9 Date: August 12, 2003 Country/Organization: USA/NRC/DOE/EPA/DOL/STATES Comment Para/Line **Proposed New Text** Reason Accepted Accepted but Rejected Reason for modified as modification/ No. No. follows rejection Usefulness; Scope; The Secretariat should re-8 General Completeness; Quality initiate efforts to harmonize the activity Clarity concentration levels on a nuclide-by-nuclide basis with the levels available from other approaches, some of which are already implemented (e.g., EC RP-122, NUREG-1640). A guidance document Scope, Clarity 9 General should not change any of the concepts, definitions, or exclusions of the BSS.

Comments by Reviewer
Reviewer:
Page 7 of 9 Date: August 12, 2003 Resolution

Comment No.	Para/Line No.	Proposed New Text	Reason	Accepted	Accepted but modified as follows	Rejected	Reason for modification/ rejection
10	General	From Resolution GC(44)/RES/15, it is clear that the General Council intended to establish guidance for managing certain materials ("commodities") that are radiologically affected by catastrophic events like the Chernobyl accident or a RDD. DS161 appears broader in scope and to generally establish regulatory thresholds that are universally applicable to all commodities.	Usefulness; Scope; Completeness; Quality Clarity				rojeonori
11	General	The alternative process for authorized release from a practice should be addressed. This process is based on optimization to allow authorized release at levels that comply with dose limitations but are not necessarily trivial. See attached logic diagram.	Usefulness; Scope; Completeness; Quality Clarity				

Comments by Reviewer Resolution Reviewer: Date: August 12, 2003 Page 8 of 9 Country/Organization: USA/NRC/DOE/EPA/DOL/STATES Accepted Para/Line **Proposed New Text** Accepted but Rejected Reason for Comment Reason modification/ modified as No. No. follows rejection 12 IAEA should consider Usefulness; Scope; General providing two tables in Completeness; Quality DS161; one that lists the Clarity trivial (10 µSv/a) levels for all radionuclides, and a second set of tables for selected natural radionuclides that IAEA has judged are not amenable to control. The text of DS161 should indicate that the "not amenable-to-control levels" are to be used in place of trivial clearance levels if member states similarly determine that other materials are also not amenable to control, or that optimized levels

should be used in place of trivial clearance values.

