

AUG 29 1986

Distribution:

WM  
WMP  
CF  
SBilhorn  
& r/f

NRC FORM 432  
6-79

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### TRIP REPORT

1 of 2

TO:		TRAVELER	
James E. Kennedy		Susan G. Bilhorn	
Newton K. Stablein		BRANCH	
PLACES VISITED		DATE OF TRIP	
SAIC - Las Vegas, NV		August 4, 1986	
PERSONS CONTACTED			
1. Dick Belyea, Chuck Johnson, Mike Glora - SAIC			
2. Stan Klein, Steve Metta, Ed Cocorus - SAIC (QA)			
PURPOSE OF TRIP			
In conjunction with the OR quarterly meeting in Las Vegas, NV I met with SAIC persons on two subjects; configuration management and my report on the March USGS audit			
ACCOMPLISHMENTS			
1. SAIC staff in the section responsible for configuration management, briefed me on the configuration management plan currently waiting Vieth's approval. Robert Levich the new licensing interface for NNWSI was present. The primary purpose of the plan is to control changes through appropriate interfaces to assure adequacy and consistency of changes. A change control board is included in the plan to evaluate the changes and obtain adequate approval for the change. A system is included to assure that changes are uniformly controlled through all interfaces in a timely manner and all decisions related to proposed changes are documented adequately. The SEMP fits into the			
(cont. on attached)			
PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED			
NONE			
PENDING ACTIONS		WM Record File	WM Project <u>11</u>
		<u>102</u>	Docket No. _____
			PDR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			LPDR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Distribution:	_____
		_____	_____
		(Return to WM, 623-SS)	
RECOMMENDATIONS			
NRC QA staff should better define what NRC wants regarding "technical audits" (follow-up from June 86 report on USGS audit)			

8610240007 860829  
PDR WASTE  
WM-11 PDR

87030081F H

Aug 25 1986  
115.3

*Susan G. Bilhorn*

SIGNATURE - TRAVELER

configuration management plan by providing baseline information, the changes to which are to be controlled through use of the configuration management system. Dr. Vieth's only apparent concern is on definitions and the use of the terms "Design Information" and "Design Activities" to include geotechnical investigations.

I informed SAIC that I was responsible for developing staff guidance on configuration management but that it would be a while before a GTP would be available for comment, if a GTP was determined to be necessary and appropriate. SAIC indicated that staff guidance was desired, if only just to support the concept and need for such a configuration management plan.

2. SAIC/QA took issue with a number of comments in my report of the March USGS audit. Their major concerns were regarding my comments on: need for better pre-audit preparation; focus on compliance oriented versus technical audits; ambiguity regarding issuance of stop work orders (conditions supporting and responsibility for recommendation of stop work, and authority for issuance of stop work); and potential problems regarding SAIC's ability to work as extensions of DOE given contract renewal considerations. E. Cocorus has drafted a three page rebuttal to these and other areas which we reviewed. A number of concerns were reduced following my clarification, however the memorandum may still be issued to acknowledge my report and defend SAIC's position on a number of the sensitive points. I recommended such a memorandum be worded less defensive than the draft, acknowledging areas where improvements were needed.

cc: Prestholt  
Bell  
Linehan  
Hedges  
Donnelly (IE)