



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 22, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: Mysore Nataraja, Acting Section Leader
Geosciences/Geotechnical Engineering Section
ENGB/DWM/NMSS

FROM: *Abi*
Abou-Bakr Ibrahim, Project Manager
Geosciences/Geotechnical Engineering Section
ENGB/DWM/NMSS

SUBJECT: TRIP REPORT, DENVER, COLORADO, FEBRUARY 27 TO MARCH 2, 1995

PURPOSE OF THE TRIP:

The purpose of this trip to the Denver, Colorado, area was threefold.

1. To visit the USGS Office in Golden, Colorado, and meet with Dr. Art Frankel to discuss his new seismic hazard program.
2. To attend the Workshop on "Selection of Earthquake Scenarios for Modeling Ground Motion at Yucca Mountain."
3. To visit the USGS Office in Lakewood, Colorado, to examine seismic reflection lines collected around the Atlas mill tailings site, Moab, Utah.

INTRODUCTION:

DOE is responsible for identifying the seismic design values for the facilities important to safety. Therefore, DOE has to collect the data needed and elicit information from the experts in the field of earth sciences. As a result, DOE tasked the USGS/ M&O to conduct several workshops to elicit the needed information for identifying the seismic hazard at Yucca Mountain. The first workshop was held in the Denver, Colorado, area from February 28 to March 1, 1995. Nevada Bureau of Mines; US Bureau of Reclamation; Geomatrix Consultants; University of Nevada at Reno, NV; and USGS were invited as participants, while U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB) staff and NRC were invited as observers. Several future workshops sponsored by DOE will be attended by NRC staff. The next workshop is scheduled for April 17, 1995.

Within the last decade, LLNL and EPRI developed codes for estimating the seismic hazards for eastern U.S. sites. The inputs for these codes are based on identifying source zones and expert elicitation process. Recently, Dr. Art Frankel of the USGS has developed a methodology and a new computer code which estimates seismic hazards without the use of inputs from expert elicitation.

9503300291 950322
PDR ORG NOMA

PDR

RETURN TO REGULATORY CENTRAL FILES

004083

84m-15-1

DFOB

SUMMARY OF MEETINGS AND WORKSHOP:

1. On February 27, 1995, I visited Dr. Art Frankel at the USGS Office in Golden, Colorado, to discuss his new seismic hazard method and the associated computer code. The new computer code eliminates the need for using expert elicitation for identifying seismic source zones, such as those used in LLNL and EPRI studies. In previous seismic hazard studies, expert elicitation was one of the major inputs in the analysis. One of the major reasons for developing this new program is to get away from the subjective judgments involved in drawing seismic source zones where the causative structures of seismicity are largely unknown. The new program uses spatially-smoothed representations of historic seismicity to calculate the probabilistic seismic hazard for different periods of exposure.

The advantages of this program are: 1) it is simple; 2) there is no need to elicit expert opinion; and 3) it is fast to run.

I discussed the possibility of acquiring a copy of the program when it is available for distribution. Because of the simplicity of this program, it can be included as a module in the Iterative Performance Assessment Program developed by the staff and CNWRA.

2. On February 28 and March 1, 1995, I participated as an observer in the workshop sponsored by USGS. Renner Hofmann, CNWRA, and Leon Reiter, NWTRB, also attended the workshop as observers. There were about 30 attendees at this workshop. A List of Attendees is attached as Enclosure 1, and the Agenda for the workshop is attached as Enclosure 2.

The objectives of this workshop were: 1) to select five scenario earthquakes for input to ground motion modeling at Yucca Mountain; 2) to identify geologic constraints and uncertainties associated with the input. That is to say, to identify the characteristics of the five most dominant fault models at Yucca Mountain, such as fault length, geometry, and displacement, that would contribute to the hazard at the site. After identifying the objectives of the workshop, the group was split into four subgroups and were requested to identify these scenarios. After a period of solicitations and consultations, the participants identified six scenarios as the dominant ones. These are: 1) Furnace Creek/Death Valley fault system; 2) Bare Mountain fault; 3) Rock Valley fault; 4) Solitario Canyon fault; 5) Paint Brush Fault; and 6) "Coalesced" faults (Windy Wash, Solitario, and Fatique Wash). One of the four teams proposed a Cedar Mountain earthquake type model at Yucca Mountain as an additional scenario. This type of model was discussed thoroughly by the participants, but no conclusion was reached whether to consider it in the modeling exercise or not. One of the observers raised the question about the possibility of considering the Ghost Dance fault/Solitario Canyon fault as a scenario for modeling, but it was indicated that the Solitario Canyon fault scenario can be modeled as close as possible to the site.

The meeting was productive and enabled the various participants who worked at Yucca Mountain to exchange different points of view and aggregate the information into five scenarios.

I suggested to Dr. Tom Hanks, one of the organizers of the workshop, that before starting the modeling exercise, the seismic reflection data collected last year by USGS should be examined to verify the fault parameters to be used.

3. On March 2, 1995, I visited the USGS office in Lakewood, Colorado, to discuss the seismic reflection data collected around Moab, Utah.

It was suggested that the Atlas mill tailings site may be underlain by the Moab fault. It is not clear whether the Moab fault near Moab, Utah, is a tectonic structure or a salt dissolution collapse. Therefore, the objective of this visit was to examine seismic reflection lines for the existence of the fault and explore the possibility of other existing seismic reflection lines in the area of interest. Several seismic reflection lines were examined with Curt Huffman of USGS. The recently collected data showed good basement reflections on the section, and the salt structure is well defined. These lines were not quite in the vicinity of the area of interest. Mr. Huffman identified two other contractors who may have collected seismic reflection data closer to Moab, Utah, and may have crossed the Lisbon fault. I contacted those contractors and acquired the maps which identified the locations of the seismic reflection lines in the vicinity of Moab. This information will be transmitted to the Atlas mill tailings manager for their consideration in resolving the issue at question. Dr. Philip Justus will be in Denver, Colorado, and will have a chance to examine these new lines at the contractor offices.

My meeting with Mr. Huffman was very productive. We explored the tectonics of the area and discussed the several scenarios under which the surficial faults may be connected to the basement faults, and the consequence of such a scenario on the design of the Atlas site.

In conclusion, my trip to Denver, Colorado, provided an opportunity to interchange and discuss relevant issues with the USGS staff and to collect information needed to address several issues which I am responsible for in both the High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery programs.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact me at 415-6651 or in Room T-7C24.

Enclosures: As stated

DISTRIBUTION: (w/enc1)

Central File	MBell	JAustin	JHolonich	MWeber	PJustus
MDeligatti	DWM r/f	NMSS r/f	ENGB r/f	PUBLIC	
DOCUMENT NAME:	S:\DWM\ENGB\AKI\TRIPRPT.DENV				

OFC	ENGB	ENGB					
NAME	AIBearthlyeb	MNataraja for KMcConnell					
DATE	3/27/95	3/27/95					

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ACNW: YES NO
 IG : YES NO
 LSS : YES NO

Delete file after distribution: Yes No

List of Participants

Anderson, Ernie	USGS/Golden	303-273-8578
Anderson, Larry	USBurRecl/Denver	303-236-4195x282
Bell, John	NevBurMinesGeol/Reno	702-784-1939
Bucknam, Bob	USGS/Golden	303-273-8566
Coppersmith, Kevin	Geomatrix Conslt	415-434-9400
Crone, Tony	USGS/Golden	303-273-8591
dePolo, Craig	NevBurMinesGeol/Reno	702-784-4161
Fridrich, Chris	USGS/YMPB/Denver	303-236-0516x278
Hanks, Tom	USGS/Menlo Park	415-329-5634
Hamilton, Warren	USGS/Denver	303-236-1329
Machette, Mike	USGS/Golden	303-273-8612
Menges, Chris	USGS/YMPB/Las Vegas	702-794-7201
O'Leary, Dennis	USGS/YMPB/Denver	303-236-0516x276
Pezzopane, Silvio	USGS/YMPB/Denver	303-236-0516x279
Ramelli, Alan	NevBurMinesGeol/Reno	702-784-4151
Schneider, John	WCFS/USGS/Menlo Park	415-329-5591
Schwartz, David	USGS/Menlo Park	415-329-5651
Whitney, John	USGS/YMPB/Denver	303-236-0516x275
Wong, Ivan	WCFS/Oakland	510-874-3014
Yount, Jim	USGS/UN-Reno	702-784-5565

List of Observers

Abrahamson, Norm	Consultant	510-582-9017
Allen, Clarence	CalTech/NWTRB	818-395-6904
Coates, Mary-Margaret	SAIC/Denver	303-236-0516x291
Hofmann, Renner	SWResrchInst/San Antonio	210-522-5308
Ibrahim, Buck	NRC	301-415-6651
Quittmeyer, Rich	WCFS/Las Vegas	702-794-1864
Reiter, Leon	NWTRB	703-235-4473
Savy, Jean	LLNL	510-423-0196
Stepp, Carl	WCFS/Consultant	512-338-0620
Sullivan, Tim	DOE/Las Vegas	702-794-7915
Tillson, David	NNWPO/Consultant	(801) 363-4093
Ziemba, Jim	USGS/YMPB/Denver	(303) 236-0516x304

Breakout Session: Selection of Scenario Earthquakes

Group 1	Anderson, Ernie Anderson, Larry Bell, John Schwartz, David Abrahamson, Norm ¹ Hofmann, Renner ¹	USGS/Golden USBurRecl/Denver NevBurMinesGeol/Reno USGS/Menlo Park Consultant SWResrchInst/San Antonio
Group 2	Bucknam, Bob Coppersmith, Kevin dePolo, Craig Fridrich, Chris Menges, Chris Ibrahim, Buck ¹ Quittmeyer, Rich ¹	USGS/Golden Geomatrix Conslt NevBurMinesGeol/Reno USGS/YMPB/Denver USGS/YMPB/Las Vegas NRC WCFS/Las Vegas
Group 3	Machette, Mike O'Leary, Dennis Pezzopane, Silvio Ramelli, Alan Reiter, Leon ¹ Savy, Jean ¹	USGS/Golden USGS/YMPB/Denver USGS/YMPB/Denver NevBurMinesGeol/Reno NWTRB LLNL
Group 4	Whitney, John Wong, Ivan Yount, Jim Crone, Tony Hamilton, Warren Stepp, Carl ¹ Sullivan, Tim ¹	USGS/YMPB/Denver WCFS/Oakland USGS/UN-Reno USGS/Golden USGS/Denver WCFS/Consultant DOE/Las Vegas

¹Observers: initial assignments, free to "float"

Agenda for Workshop to Characterize Scenario Earthquakes in the Yucca Mountain Region

WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES: To select approximately five scenario earthquakes and to specify geologic constraints and associated uncertainties for input to a set of ground motion modeling exercises pertinent to the potential nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. Specifically, observable fault characteristics such as fault length, displacement and geometry will be used to constrain earthquake parameters such as magnitude, distance and focal mechanism.

February 28th (11AM to 6PM)

1. Introduction and Overview (30 min)
 - Introduction to ground motion scenario project (10 min, *Hanks*)
 - Meeting Approach, Format, and Logistics (10 min, *Pezzopane*)
 - Required geologic input data and uncertainties (10 min, *Schneider*)
2. Geologic and Tectonic Framework of the Yucca Mountain Region (2 hrs, discussion led by *O'Leary, Fridrich*)
 - Tectonic models of Basin and Range faults - (1 hr)
 - Lunch (a working lunch will be provided)
 - Tectonic models of Yucca Mountain faults (1 hr)
3. Select scenario earthquakes (faults) (4 hrs, discussion led by *Schwartz and Pezzopane*)
 - Overview of Candidate faults (30 min)
 - Selection of 5 or 6 scenario earthquakes
 - Breakout session (1 hr)
 - Plenary session (1 hr)

March 1st (9:00AM to 5PM)

4. Assess source properties of scenario earthquakes (5 hrs, discussion led by *Schneider*)
 - Overview of required source properties (~2 hrs with discussion)
Issues: fault dimensions, depth, displacements, analogies to other tectonic regimes, ...
 - Define earthquake properties for local, regional, and background events
 - Breakout session (1.5 hrs)
 - Plenary session (1.5 hrs)
5. Wrap-Up Discussion/Observers Commentary (~1 hr, discussion led by *Hanks*)