

UNITED STATES **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

Reply to: 1050 East Flamingo Rd. Suite 319 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Tel: (702) 388-6125 FTS: 598-6125

TO:

Mr. James E. Kennedy

FROM:

Paul T. Prestholt, Sr. On-Site Licensing Representative

DATE:

December 4, 1987

SUBJECT:

H&N RESPONSES TO WMPD SURVEILLANCE NO. WMPD-SR-87-020 OBSERVATIONS, AND WMPO AUDIT NO. 87-2 OBSERVATIONS; WMPO EVALUATION OF REECO RESPONSES TO THE QA SDRS AND OBSERVATIONS RESULTING FROM WMPO QA AUDIT 87-100 OF REECO: WMPO EVALUATION OF LANL AMMENDED RESPONSES TO THE QA SDRS RESULTING FROM AUDIT 87-1 OF LANL

Please find the above-referenced information for your files.

FTP:nan

88147547 WM Project: WM-11

PDR w/encl

(Return to WM, 623-SS)

WM Record File: 102.7 LPDR w/encl

8803240243 871204 WASTE PDR PDR WM-11



Post Office Box 98518 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518

NOV 3 0 1987

James P. Pedalino Technical Project Officer for NNWSI Holmes & Narver, Inc. 101 Convention Center Drive Suite 860 Las Vegas, NV 89109

HOLMES & NARVER, INC. (H&N) RESPONSES TO WASTE MANAGEMENT PROJECT OFFICE (WMPO) SURVEILLANCE NO. WMPO-SR-87-020 OBSERVATIONS

Reference: Letter, Pedalino to Blaylock, dtd. 8/25/87

The WMPO has received the H&N responses to Surveillance WMPO-SR-87-020 Observations 1 and 2. The responses have been evaluated and found to be acceptable. The actions outlined in your responses to Observations 1 and 2 will serve as reference points for future VMPO audit/surveillance activities.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 295-8913.

James Blaylock

Project Quality Manager

Waste Management Project Office

WMPO: JB-562

cc:

V. J. Cassella, HQ (RW-222) FORS

J. P. Knight, HQ (RW-24) FORS

A. E. Gurrola, H&N, Mercury, NV

Richard Ivy, H&N, Mercury, NV

C. O. Wright, H&N, Mercury, NV

S. H. Klein, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

W. R. Kazor, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV . R. H. Klemens, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

J. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

P. T. Prestholt, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

R. W. Gray, MED, NV

V. F. Witherill, NTSO, NV

A. R. Veloso, NTSO, NV

J. R. Rinaldi, QAD, NV

M. P. Kunich, WMPO, NV





Post Office Box 98518 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518

NOV 3 0 1987

James P. Pedalino
Technical Project Officer for NNWSI
Holmes & Narver, Inc.
101 Convention Center Drive
Suite 860
Las Vegas, NV 89109

HOLMES & NARVER (H&N) RESPONSES TO WASTE MANAGEMENT PROJECT OFFICE (WMPO) AUDIT NO. 87-2 OBSERVATIONS

The WMPO has received the H&N responses to Audit 87-2 Observations 1 through 5. The responses have been evaluated and found to be acceptable. The actions outlined in your responses to Observations 1 through 5 will serve as reference points for future WMPO audit activities.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 295-8913.

James Blaylogk

Project Quality Manager Waste Management Project Office

WMPO:JB-560

cc:

V. J. Cassella, HQ (RW-222) FORS

J. P. Knight, HQ (RW-24) FORS

A. E. Gurrola, H&N, Mercury, NV

Richard Ivy, H&N, Mercury, NV

C. O. Wright, H&N, Mercury, NV

S. H. Klein, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

W. R. Kazor, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

R. H. Klemens, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

J. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV B. A. Wozniak, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

P. T. Prestholt, NRC, Las Vegas, NV ◀

R. W. Gray, MED, NV

V. F. Witherill, NTSO, NV

A. R. Veloso, NTSO, NV

J. R. Rinaldi, QAD, NV

M. P. Kunich, WMPO, NV





Post Office Box 98518 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518

DEC 1 1987

Vincent Gong Technical Project Officer for NNVSI Reynolds Electrical & Engineering Co., Inc. Mail Stop 615 P.O. Box 98521 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8521

WASTE MANAGEMENT PROJECT OFFICE (WMPO) EVALUATION OF REYNOLDS ELECTRICAL AND ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC. (REECO) RESPONSES TO THE QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORTS (SDRS) AND OBSERVATIONS RESULTING FROM WMPO QA AUDIT 87-10 OF REECO (WMPO ACTION ITEM #88-503)

Reference: (1) Letter, Gong to Blaylock, dtd. 10/28/87

(2) Letter, Gong to Blaylock, dtd. 10/15/87

The WMPO has evaluated the REECo responses to the seven SDRs (Nos. 074-080) and the three observations that required response, which were generated as a result of WMPO QA Audit 87-10 of the REECo Nevada Nuclear Waste Storage Investigations (NNWSI) Project QA Program. A summary of the evaluations for each SDR and observation is listed below. The WMPO requires an amended response for SDR NO. 074. The response to SDR No. 078 is rejected. You are requested to provide an amended response to SDR No. 074 and a response to Rev. 1 of SDR No. 078, which is enclosed with this letter, to my office within 20 working days of the transmittal date of this letter. Concurrently you are requested to send the original of these responses to Nita J. Brogan of Science Applications International Corporation, Las Vegas, Nevada. The SDRs which had acceptable responses will be closed upon verification of committed corrective action.

SDR-074, Rev. 0

The WMPO does not agree with your response that the SDR is in error in several respects and that the finding is not valid. If the REECo Company Procedure 4.7.1 is not clear in defining the word "results" or its intent, the procedure is open to interpretation. Perhaps a better written procedure would have given a clear interpretation of its intent. Additionally, REECo was given a copy of the SDRs at the audit exit meeting and a request was made to inform the audit team if the SDRs were in error and should not be issued. With no negative response at the exit meeting or within the following three weeks after the meeting, the SDRs were issued.



The WMPO requests an amended response to the SDR providing:

- 1. Identification of the new procedures issued as of August 18, 1987 which contain the requirements to document "As-found conditions."
- 2. Copies of the documentation for the indoctrination and training that was performed August 28, 1987.
- 3. A description of the administrative review that was performed two weeks following the indoctrination and training session and also at six and ten week intervals. Is this review documented as an NNWSI Project QA Record?
- 4. Information regarding any regularly scheduled audits that have been performed since the WMPO audit to verify the investigation of "as-found conditions" on audit checklists.

SDR-075, Rev. 0

The response is acceptable. The SDR will be closed upon satisfactory verification of committed corrective actions, which are due to be completed November 25, 1987.

SDR-076, Rev. 0

The response is acceptable. The SDR will be closed upon satisfactory verification of committed corrective actions, which are due to be completed November 25, 1987.

SDR-077, Rev. 0

The response is acceptable. The SDR will be closed upon satisfactory verification of committed corrective actions, which are due to be completed by November 25, 1987.

SDR-078, Rev. 0

The response provided is unacceptable for the following reasons:

1. The SDR is a valid finding as the requirements are directly from the REECo NNWSI Project Quality Operations Procedure NQP 18.1 "Qualification and Certification of Quality Assurance Audit Personnel," Rev. 0, Paragraph 2A which are based on NNWSI Project QAP NVO-196-17 requirements.

2. The response stated that Stone and Webster does not have documentation concerning audits conducted or participated in by your lead auditor. The copy of the Stone and Webster letter provided with the response states that records do not show your lead auditor participated in any Stone and Webster audits. The response provided reiterates the deficiency.

What audits is REECo referring to which have been turned over to client utility companies?

3. Your position that the certification issued to your lead auditor is adequate because it attest to the fact that he met the requirements of lead auditor for nuclear power plants by Stone and Webster and client users is unfounded. No objective evidence was provided that he met the lead auditor requirements of nuclear power plants which are the same for the Nuclear Waste Program based on NQA-1 requirements.

SDR-079, Rev. 0

The response is acceptable. The SDR will be closed upon satisfactory verification of committed corrective actions.

SDR-080, Rev. 0

The response is acceptable. The SDR will be closed upon:

- 1. Satisfactory verification of committed corrective actions which are due to be completed November 25, 1987.
- 2. Satisfactory demonstration that the nonconforming conditions which were reported as observations in REECo surveillance reports, have been responded to and corrective action measures have been initiated.

Observation No. 1

The VMPO concurs with the response provided.

Observations No. 2

The WMPO concurs with the response provided.

Observation No. 3

A response from REECo was not required.

Observation No. 4

The WMPO concurs with the response provided.

Additionally, the WMPO offers the following evaluation of the response to Recommendation No. 1, which REECo elected to respond to.

Recommendation No. 1

The VMPO agrees with REECo's action to add the revision number to its calibration and other internal procedures. However, we do not agree that our recommendation is not founded on any requirements. It is pointed out that the NNWSI Project QAP NVO-196-17, Rev. 5 Section VI "Document Control," Paragraph 1.2 states in part "Implementation of document control shall provide for the following: a master list or equivalent or identify the correct and updated revisions of documents."

If you have any questions, please contact me at 295-8913.

James Blaylock

Project Quality Manager

Waste Management Project Office

WMP0: JB-535

Enclosure: SDR NO. 078, Revision 1 w/attachment

cc w/encl:

V. J. Cassella, HQ (RV-222) FORS

J. P. Knight, HQ (RW-24) FORS

M. M. Azhikakath, REECo, Mercury, NV

M. A. Fox, REECo, Mercury, NV

S. H. Klein, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

W. R. Kazor, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

Gerard Heaney, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

J. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

B. A. Wozniak, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

Daniel Klimas, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

P. T. Prestholt, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

R. W. Gray, MED, NV

J. R. Rinaldi, QAD, NV

V. F. Witherill, NTSO, NV

A. R. Veloso, NTSO, NV

L. P. Skousen, VMPO, NV

M. B. Blanchard, WMPO, NV

W. R. Dixon, WMPO, NV

C. P. Gertz, WMPO, NV

M. P. Kunich, WMPO, NV

S Emo			HWW	O STANE	ARD DEFICI	ENCY R	EPORT	N-QA-038 3/87		
_	•	1 Date 11-4-87 2 Severity Level								
ţi	3 Discovered During 3a Identi			Identified By	36 Branch C	hief	4 SDR No.	_		
Organization	WM	PO Audit 87	-10 D.	. Klimas	N/A N/A	nce Date	078	Rev1		
×g2	5	Organization	1	6 Person(s	Contacted			Due Date is		
OA C		REECo		M. Fox		·	Date of	20 Working Days from Date of Transmittal		
	8				nce, if Applicable		ification and C	contification		
atin		of Quality	Assurance	Audit Perso	Procedure NQP 18 Onnel", Rev. 0,	Para. 2A	states "Audit			
Originating		Participation - the prospective lead auditor shall have participated (cont'd)								
1	9	9 Deficiency Contrary to the above requirement, there was no objective evidence of the								
l by		performance	of five i	audits in th	ree years for 1	lead audit	or Bob Lykens.			
Completed					certified lead			·		
ďω	10	1) Acquire objective evidence of required audit participation.								
8		2) Reinst	truct appro	opriate per	sonnel to proced	dural requ		ride		
1		object QAE/Lead A			sponse to the SI		- Decised Ovelid	. Man. D. A.		
Aprvl.	11	_		1 lull	och Manager	Date	3 Project Quality	Mgr. Date		
5 7	14	D Hears Remodial/Inv			11/6/6		J Drayera	1/9/4/		
	•		csugauve .	AC dot not		15 Eff	ective Date			
Block										
Ē										
tio										
ganization	16	Cause of th	e Condition	& Correctiv	e Action to Previ	ent Recurre	ence			
O						17 Eff	ective Date			
by (•		
				•						
Ple 19					-		7			
Completed	18	Signature/Da	ite							
	19	****	☐ Accept	Amended	QAE/Lead Audito	or/Date	Branch Manag	er/Date		
-		Response	□Reject	Response			J. 2. 10. 11.2.29			
Org	20	Amended Response	□ Accept □ Reject		QAE/Lead Audito	or/Date	Branch Manag	er/Date		
g. QA	21	Verifi- cation	□Satisfacto □Unsatisfa		QAE/Lead Audito	or/Date	Branch Manag	er/Date		
Orig	22	Remarks								
bγ										
Comp.										
ပြ	23		QAE/Lead	Auditor/Date	Branch Manage	er/Date	PQM/Date			
	QA	CLOSURE				l .				

WMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT **CONTINUATION SHEET**

N-QA-038 10/86

SDR No.

078

Rev.

1

Page

of 2

Block 8 Requirement (cont'd)

in a minimum of five quality assurance audits within a period of time not to exceed three years prior to the date of qualification, one audit of which shall be a nuclear quality assurance audit and shall be made within the year prior to his qualification. Objective evidence of audit experience during previous employment will be considered for qualification as well as certification meeting the requirements of this procedure." (Refer to audit checklist item no. 18-07)

Discussion: The response to Rev. O did not provide objective evidence of the performance of five audits within the past three years, one of which was to be a nuclear quality assurance audit performed within the year prior to Mr. Lyken's qualification. Therefore, the response was rejected and the SDR is reissued as Rev. 1.

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		WMPO STAN	IDARD DEFICIENCY R	EPORT	N-QA-038 3/87			
[]	1 Date 8/28	/87 2	Severity Level [1] 2	□ 3 Page	1 of 2			
Organization	3 Discovered Dur WMPO Audit 87-10	~1	Concurrence Date	4 SDR No. 078	Rev. 0			
		6 Person M. Fox	(s) Contacted	20 Worki	Due Date is ing Days from Transmitta!			
Originating QA	a Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) REECO NNWSI Quality Operations Procedure NQP 18.1, "Qualification and Certificati of Quality Assurance Audit Personnel", Rev. 0, Para. 2A states "Audit Participation - the prospective lead auditor shall have participated (cont'd)							
Deficiency Contrary to the above requirement, there was no objective evidence of performance of five audits in three years for lead auditor Bob Lykens. Note: Currently he is the only certified lead auditor within REECo. 10 Recommended Action(s): Remodual Investigative Corrective 1) Acquire objective evidence of required audit participation. 2) Rejnstruct appropriate personnel to procedural requirements.								
Comple	1 6 116 1115 61 661	ojective evidence Lappropriate per	odial [] Investigative [] Co of required audit partic sonnel to procedural requi sponse to the SDR.	ipation.	ide			
Aprvi	11 CAELEAD AUDIT	or Date 12 Bra 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1		13 Project Quality	Mgr. Date			
	14 Remedial/Investi	gative Action(s)	0	faction Date				
in Block	See attachment	·	15 ET	fective Date	·			
				·				
Organization	16 Cause of the Co	ondition & Correcti	ve Action to Prevent Recurre	ence fective Date				
ρ	N/A	•						
lote								
Completed	18 Signature/Date	A.	10/14/87					
,	Response SiRe		D. Heaven 11/4/87		11/4/87			
Org	20 Amended LAC Response LRe	ccept ject	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Branch Manage	er/Date			
g. OA	I	tisfactory satisfactory	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Branch Manage	er/Date			
by Orig.	22 Remarks - feat	rones. reglete	d refer to SDR-1	978 Rev. 1				
Comp.		·						
Ö	23. 3. 30. 155	E/Lead Auditor/Date Heaney 11/4/87	Branch Manager/Date	POM/Date Blaybol	11/4/87			



WMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION BHEET

N-QA-038

SOR No

Rev O

Page 2

012

Block 8 Requirement (cont'd)

078

in a minimum of five quality assurance audits within a period of time not to exceed three years prior to the date of qualification, one audit of which shall be a nuclear quality assurance audit and shall be made within the year prior to his qualification. Objective evidence of audit experience during previous employment will be considered for qualification as well as certification meeting the requirements of this procedure." (Refer to audit checklist item no. 18-07)

SDR 078 - Attachment

SDR 078 - We consider this finding invalid with the following rationale:

Stone and Webster Engineering Co., the company who certified Mr. R. Lykens, was contacted and asked to provide documentation concerning audits conducted or participated in by Mr. Lykens. Stone and Webster responded stating that they do not have such documentation. Copies of any such audits have been turned over to client utility companies.

It is our position that the certification issued to Mr. Lykens is adequate objective evidence for certification because it attests to the fact that he met the requirements of Lead Auditor for nuclear power plants by Stone Webster and client users.



Post Office Box 98518 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518

DEC 1 1987

Donald T. Oakley Technical Project Officer for NNWSI Los Alamos National Laboratory N-5, Mail Stop J-521 P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545

WASTE MANAGEMENT PROJECT OFFICE (WMPO) EVALUATION OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY (LOS ALAMOS) AMENDED RESPONSES TO THE QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORTS (SDRS) RESULTING FROM AUDIT 87-1 OF LOS ALAMOS (WMPO ACTION ITEM #88-504)

Reference: Letter, Oakley to Blaylock, dtd. 10/5/87

The WMPO has evaluated the Los Alamos amended responses to SDRs 001, 002, 003, and 004, which were generated as a result of WMPO QA Audit 87-1 of the Los Alamos Nevada Nuclear Waste Storage Investigations (NNWSI) Project QA Program. A summary of the evaluations for each amended SDR response is listed below. Additionally, the current status of SDRs 005 through 011, which were also generated during the course of WMPO QA Audit 87-1, is provided. SDRs 003, 005, and 010 require additional information for clarification of corrective actions. You are asked to provide this information to my office within 10 working days of the transmittal date of this letter. You are requested to concurrently send a copy of your reply to Nita J. Brogan of Science Applications International Corporation, Las Vegas, Nevada.

SDR-001, Rev. 0

The amended response submitted is acceptable. The Detailed Procedures (DPs) will be revised where necessary to reflect documentation requirements to demonstrate proper handling, storage, and shipping and, specific requirements (i.e. methods) by which these activities are accomplished.

The SDR will be closed upon satisfactory verification of committed corrective actions which are due to be completed by November 30, 1987.

SDR-002, Rev. 0

The amended response submitted is acceptable and committed corrective actions have been verified to be satisfactorily completed. The SDR is considered closed. A copy of the closed SDR is enclosed for your records. (Refer to WMPO Surveillance Report No. WMPO-SR-88-003 for verification details.)



SDR-003, Rev, 0

The amended response submitted is acceptable. However, upon review of the training syllabus referenced in the amended response, publication preparation and processing was not included. It is understood that the syllabus is presently undergoing revision to include this activity and that Los Alamos personnel will be trained with this document upon approval of the Los Alamos QAPP, Rev. 2. It is requested that Los Alamos provide corrective action completion dates for: (1) the revision of the syllabus to be completed; (2) the completion of training personnel to the syllabus contents; and (3) the completion of placing the memo referenced in the amended response into the publication files.

SDR-004, Rev. 0

The amended response submitted is acceptable and committed corrective actions have been verified to be satisfactorily completed. Los Alamos calibration records now reflect the serial number of weight sets used to calibrate instruments. The calibration of the weight sets can be traced to a Primary Standards Laboratory (PSL) file number. The SDR is considered closed. A copy of the closed SDR is enclosed for your records. (Refer to WMPO Surveillance Report No. VMPO-SR-88-003 for verification details).

The WMPO recognizes that the PSL file number cannot be verified by NNWSI Project personnel for traceability to the National Bureau of Standards as reflected in WMPO letter JB-2752 dated September 17, 1987. However, this is a Project-wide concern which Los Alamos alone cannot satisfy. The concern continues to be pursued for an acceptable solution at the Project Office level.

SDR-005, Rey. 0

Upon verification of committed corrective actions, not all actions were complete. Los Alamos is requested to provide an anticipated corrective action completion date which addresses when procedure TWS-ESS-DP-28 will be reissued and procedure TWS-INC-DP-35 will be revised. The SDR will be closed upon verification of completion of these actions.

SDR-006, Rev. 0

The revised corrective action completion dates are accepted. The revision of Procedure TWS-MSTQA-QP-06 "NNWSI Procurement Procedures," and the existence of a list of QA personnel authorized to perform purchase order reviews will be verified after November 30, 1987, and the training of personnel will be verified after January 15, 1988.

SDR-007, Rev. 0

The revised corrective action completion dates are accepted. The revision of TWS-MSTQA-QP-06 "NNWSI Procurement Procedures" will be verified after November 30, 1987, and the training of personnel will be verified after January 15, 1988.

SDR-008, Rev. 0

The revised corrective action completion dates are accepted. The revision of TWS-MSTQA-QP-06 "NNWSI Procurement Procedures" and the existence of an approved vendor list which is updated quarterly, will be verified after November 30, 1987, and the training of personnel will be verified after January 15, 1988.

SDR-009, Rev. 0

Previously closed. A copy of the closed SDR is enclosed for your records.

SDR-010, Rev. 0

The committed corrective actions for items 1 through 5 of the SDR were completed. However, the Quality Assurance Support Manager does not initial the Nonconformance Report (NCR) log on a monthly basis as required by TWS-MSTQA-QP-16 "NNWSI Control of Nonconformance" to ensure that closure activities are underway for all NCRs. This action is included in a monthly status report of all open items. An amended response is requested to be submitted, which commits to deleting the requirement in QP-16 for the monthly initialing of the NCR log by the QASM since each NCR is reviewed and a status is given each month in the monthly report.

SDR-011, Rev. 0

The revised corrective action completion dates are accepted. The revision of Procedure TWS-MSTQA-QP-17 "NNVSI QA Audits" will be verified upon approval of the revised Los Alamos QAPP, Rev. 2.

If you have any questions, please contact me at FTS 575-8913.

James Blaylock

Project Quality Manager Waste Management Project Office

WMPO:JB-534

Enclosures:

- 1. SDR-002, Revision 0
- 2. SDR-009, Revision 0
- 3. SDR-004, Revision 0

<u> </u>		WMF	O STAN	ARD DEF	ICIENCY R	EPO	RT	N-QA- 3/87	-03
ج ء		11 3, 1987		everity Level			Page	1 of	2
Organization	3 Discovered WMPO Audit	- 1	Identified By G. Heaney	Concu	h Chief urrence Date 1/A		4 SDR No. 002	Rev. 0	
TI THE ATAMOS Dave varification bruce crowe Dave at the state of t							cing Days	fro	
a Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) LANL technical procedure TWS-MSTQA-QP-03, "Document Control Procedure" Rev. 2 paragraph 11.3 states "Notebooks shall be peer reviewed, signed, and dated at least every three months by a staff (CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE) • Deficiency Contrary to the above requirements, some Laboratory Notebooks are not									
5									
B					(CONTINUED	ON N	EXT PAGE)		
(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE) 10 Recommended Action(s): Remedial Investigative Corrective 1) Correct deficiencies noted in block 9 as well as others that may exist. 2) Revise procedures to differentiate between Laboratory notebooks being used (CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)									
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor Date 12 Franch Manager Date 13 Project Quality Mgr. Date June Blank 1/11/8								
on in Block	4 Remedial/In See attacl	_	Action(s)		15 Ef	fectiv	ve Date		
Organizati	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence								
百	See attacl	hed			17 Ef	fectiv	re Date		
Set				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·			_
Completed	Signature D	Buth	els, Or		26/87			·	
	Response .	□Accept □Reject	✓ Amended Response	QAE/Lead Au Q.W. Este	iditor/Date Lla 7/10/8		ranch Manag	ger/Gate	0
8	20 Amended Response	⊠Accept □Reject		QAE/Lead Au	ditor/Date	B	ranch Manag	ger/Date	12
	verifi- cation	⊠Satisfacte □Unsatisfa		QAE/Lead Al	ditor/Date	No.	rarich Mahas	ger/Date 10/24/5	>
ø			•••		.5R-88-cc3	for ,	verification	n activity)
함	22 Remarks p	efer to b	unveilspre	ragin it				,	'

SK Brid

WMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 10/86

2

of

SDR No.

: .

002

Rev.

0

Page 2

BLOCK 8 REQUIREMENTS (continued)

member who is capable of performing the task but who has not been assigned to the task." (Refer to Audit Checklist Item No. T-25).

BLOCK 9 DEFICIENCY (continued)

Laboratory Notebook TWS-ESS-1-1/86-9 (510706): did not receive the required 3 month peer review. This notebook is a research notebook.

OBSERVATION:

The below listed Laboratory Notebooks are being used as log books. However, procedures do not differentiate between Laboratory Notebooks being used for research or as a log book.

ESS-1-5/86-18 ESS-1-1/86-23 ESS-1-1/86-21 ESS-1-1/86-22 ESS-1-1/86-19 TWS-INC-11-9/82-54 TWS-INC-11-9/82-49

BLOCK 10 RECOMMENDED ACTIONS(S) (continued)

2) (continued)

for research or as a logbook. Indicate appropriate reviews and controls for each.

3) Reinstruct appropriate personnel to procedural requirements.

SDR-002

BLOCK 14 Remedial/Investigative Action(s)

A finding was given to the Geology/Geochemistry Group (ESS-1) for this same notebook deficiency as a result of the Internal Audit (No. 87-04), February 18-19, 1987. ESS-1 reviewed the notebooks in question. The notebook, TWS-ESS-1-1/86-9, had technical reviews on July 16, 1986, December 29, 1986, and March 26, 1987, and is now on a 3-month schedule. The apparent lapse occurred because of a shift in the original notebook review cycle from a 6-month to a 3-month cycle.

BLOCK 16 Cause of the Condition and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

The root cause for the problem of notebooks versus logbooks stems from a lack of definition. A change request (CR) for TWS-MSTQA-QP-03 regarding the definition of notebook versus logbook will be issued. Logbooks for experimental support documentation entries will be separate from notebooks and will not be required to have a technical review. The CR will be issued by <u>June 2</u>, 1987.

STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT (SDR)-002 REVISION 0 AMENDED RESPONSE

BLOCK 14 Remedial/Investigative Action(s)

A finding was given to the Geology/Geochemistry Group (ESS-1) for this same notebook deficiency as a result of the Internal Audit (No. 87-04), February 18-19, 1987. ESS-1 reviewed the notebooks in question. The notebook, TWS-ESS-1-1/86-9, had technical reviews on July 16, 1986, December 29, 1986, and March 26, 1987, and is now on a 3-month schedule. The apparent lapse occurred because of a shift in the original notebook review cycle from a 6-month to a 3-month cycle.

LANL-QAS Conducted Survey No. 0019 conducted on September 29, 1987, and October 1, 1987, to ascertain the status of all ESS-1 notebooks and their compliance with the LANL implementing procedures.

STANDARD DEPICIENCY REPORT REVISION 1 REVISED CORRECTIVE ACTION DATES

SDR No.	Description
001	A project memo discussing handling, storage and shipping will be issued by November 30, 1987 (June 30, 1987, in RQ).
002	Action items were completed on July 21, 1987.
003	Change Requests (CR) nos. 015 (RQ-03) was approved 7/20/87 and issued. CR No. 017 is awaiting approval and will be issued October 30, 1987.
004	QP-15 was revised and will be issued by October 30, 1987.
005	All actions are completed.
006	QP-06 will be completed by November 30, 1987. Personnel will be trained by Jan. 15, 1988, in the new procedure.
007	(Refer to 006 above.)
800	(Refer to 006 above.)
009	No action required by the SDR.
010	All actions have been completed.
011	QP-17 will be revised upon approval of the revised LANL QAPP, Rev. 2 (NNWSI - 196-17, Rev. 5).

<u> </u>	4	WMP	O STAND	ARD DEFI	CIENCY I	REPC)RT	N-QA- 3/87
		April 3, 19		verity Level	□1 22			1 of 2
r izatio	Discovered MPO Audit	. "I	Identified By		h Chief urrence Date /A		4 SDR No. 004	_ Rev0
6 5 O								
E F	or Particip tates that	pating Orgar "Measuring	cklist Reference and test equalid relations	nce, if Applica d NTS Suppor uipment shal	able) NNWSI-: rt Contracto	SOP-02 ors"	2-01 "QAPP Rev. 1 Par against ce	Requirem ra. 12.1. ertified
à c	Deficiency alibration	Contrary t	to the above i out the nee ance PN 62502	, procedure ed to identi	LAVML 10.01	1 Rev.	. O nor the	e Attendar
EI.) Revise r	procedure LA	S): A Remedia AVML-10-01 Re calibrate ba iate personne	ev. O to inc		rement	ts for list	ting the
April C	QAE/Lead A	Auditor Date Tella 4/13,	12 Branc	Manager	Date		roject Qualit	ty Mgr. D
ilzation in Block								
Completed by Organizatio	See attack		& Corrective	Action to Fr			ve Date	
7	Signature/D	iate L	als. Oh	M 56	16/87	<u>.</u>		
Complet	oun	XXXXIII		OAFE	ditor/Date		Branch Manag	ger/Date
19	Response	Accept ⊾ - □ Reject	ZAmended Response	J.W. Estet	lla 1/10/87	7	• 11 12 102	All trans-
19 61 O 20			Response (lla 1/10/87		Branch Manag	ger/Date
19	Response Amended Response	□ Reject ☑ Accept	Response (J.W. Estel	lla 1/10/87 ditor/Date 10/20/87	B	77 7	2/0/20/



WMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 10/86

SDR No. 004

Rev. 0

Page 2

of 2

BLOCK 8 REQUIREMENT (continued)

National Bureau of Standards or other nationally recognized standards and shall be calibrated, adjusted, and maintained at precribed intervals. If no nationally recognized standards exist, the basis for calibration shall be documented. (Refer to Audit Checklist Item No. 12.0.3).

BLOCK 10 RECOMMENDED ACTION(S) (continued)

- 3) Perform necessary reviews and actions to ensure that the weights used on the balances noted in Block 9 can be traceable to N.B.S.
- 4) Investigate to ensure that the cited deficiency does not exist for other calibrated instruments.

SDR-004

BLOCK 14 Remedial/Investigative Action(s)

1. We determined from further discussion with Glenn Casedy that he has used only one weight set, Metrology Calibration (MEC-8) file 3432, to calibrate all balances. This weight set is NBS traceable as shown in the enclosed documentation from MEC-8.

The internal LANL Calibration Procedure, LAVML-10-01, Rev. 0, will not be addressed directly. CR-014 to TWS-MSTQA-QP-15 instructs NNWSI personnel to request the necessary information from calibration personnel by using a form that has been prepared (see enclosure).

- 2. The CR-014 will be distributed along with a memo to instruct the appropriate people about their responsibility to request the necessary information to assure NBS traceability.
- 3. As noted in 1 above, the balance property number (PN) 625021 has been traced through MEC-8 file 3432. It is NBS traceable as shown in the MEC-8 file (see enclosure). PN 624829 has been traced through the MEC-8 file 3021, and it is also NBS traceable (see enclosure).
- 4. A surveillance will be conducted to determine if similar deficiencies occurred in the program. The surveillance will be completed by <u>July 15</u>, 1987.

BLOCK 16 Cause of the Condition and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

- 1. The LANL Calibration and Standards Laboratory has internal procedures that serve the needs of the Laboratory. NNWSI has different and more stringent requirements. Since the NNWSI calibration requirements are only a small portion of MEC-8's responsibilities, it will be notified of the NNWSI specific requirements by use of a specialized request form. This corrective action on our part will negate the need of MEC-8 to incorporate our NNWSI requirements into their procedures.
- 3. The M&TE calibration forms will include NBS traceable information for each instrument calibrated to these standards.
- 2 & 4. Refer to responses in Block 14, 2 and 4, above.
- QP-15 will be revised in its entirety by July 31, 1987.

STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT (SDR)-004 REVISION 0 AMENDED RESPONSE

BLOCK 14 Remedial/Investigative Action(s)

1. INC - 7/11 Balance PN 625021 is calibrated by Glen Casedy from a service organization with LANL, MEC-9. He has one weight set that he uses to calibrate all balances. This weight set has no serial number and is identified by the MEC-8 file number, 3432, which is affixed to the set. In the past, this file number was recorded neither by him, nor by LANL NNWSI QA. Since this omission was discovered, he has generated a new form, which includes this identifier. Also, LANL NNWSI QA has generated a form, CR-014, included with QP-15, RO for all calibrated M&TE. The form includes the request for those or other unique identifiers for the calibrated equipment.

The internal LANL Calibration Procedure, LAVML-10-01, Rev. 0, will not be addressed directly. CR-014 to TWS-MSTQA-QP-15 instructs NNWSI personnel to request the necessary information from calibration personnel by using a form that has been prepared (see enclosure).

- 2. The CR-014 will be distributed along with a memo to instruct the appropriate people about their responsibility to request the necessary information to assure NBS traceability.
- 3. ESS-1 Balance PN 624829 is operator (in group) calibrated with a weight set that has no serial number and is identified by MEC-8 file number, 3021, which is affixed to the set. This information is now included on the NNWSI form, CR-014, from QP-15, RO. Again this is the only weight set used, thus confirming the certainty of the connection between the balance and weight set.
- 4. A surveillance will be conducted to determine if similar deficiencies occurred in the program. The surveillance will be completed by <u>July 15, 1987</u>.

STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT REVISION 1 REVISED CORRECTIVE ACTION DATES

SDR No.	Description
001	A project memo discussing handling, storage and shipping will be issued by November 30, 1987 (June 30, 1987, in RQ).
002	Action items were completed on July 21, 1987.
003	Change Requests (CR) nos. 015 (RQ-03) was approved 7/20/87 and issued. CR No. 017 is awaiting approval and will be issued October 30, 1987.
004	QP-15 was revised and will be issued by October 30, 1987.
005	All actions are completed.
006	QP-06 will be completed by November 30, 1987. Personnel will be trained by Jan. 15, 1988, in the new procedure.
007	(Refer to 006 above.)
800	(Refer to 006 above.)
009	No action required by the SDR.
010	All actions have been completed.
011	QP-17 will be revised upon approval of the revised LANL QAPP, Rev. 2 (NNWSI - 196-17, Rev. 5).

25 and	WM	PO STAND	ARD DEFICIENCY RE	PORT	N-QA-038 3/87				
ا م	1 Date April 3, 19	87 2 Se	verity Level 🔲 1 🔲 2 [3 Page	1 of 1				
nization	3 Discovered During 3	ldentified By	36 Branch Chief	4 SDR No.					
	WMPO Audit 87-1	arl Newton	Concurrence Date	009	Rev0				
Orga	5 Organization	6 Person(s)		7 Response	Due Date is				
	LANL	Elroy Bust	os (MAT-11)	20 Working Date of T	ng Days from ransmittal				
Originating QA	TWS-MSTQA-QP-06, "NNW send final purchase of 4.0-17, and 4.0-18.)								
ρχ	WMPO by MAT as specif	• Deficiency Copies of final pruchase orders for Level 1 activities are not sent to WMPO by MAT as specified by the LANL Procedure. In fact, these documents are forwarded to WMPO by the QA organization.							
Completed	10 Recommended Actio		ial Investigative Con	rective					
8	Review Procedure and	revise if nec	cessary.						
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor Da Q.W. Estella 4/13		ch Manager Date	3 Project Quality					
5			100000000000000000000000000000000000000	ame Olayfort	4/14/87				
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	15 Eff	ective Date	-11-87				
Block	See attached								
ءِ.									
zation									
	16 Cause of the Condition	on & Corrective	Action to Prevent Recurre						
Ę			17 Eff	ective Date	I/A				
ž		N,	/ L						
ק		117	, n	٠					
ş									
Completed by Organi	18 Signature/Date Held Sut	hab OH	N 5/26 /87	•					
	19 ☑Accept Response ☐Reject		QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Branch Manag	er/Date 9/9/87				
ő	20 Amended Accept Response Reject		QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Branch Manag	ef/Date				
Q Q	21 Verifi– ⊠Satisfac cation □Unsatis	ctory factory	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date O.W. Estella 7/8/87	Branch Manag					
Q gig	1 00 -40	Change re	quest NO. 8 was ap	proved 3-23	- <i>v</i>				
۵	effective date is		Heavey	-					
Comp. by			7- 7587						
၂ၓ	23 QAE/Lea	d Auditor/Date	Branch Manager/Date	POMODate /	7/1-107				

SDR-009

BLOCK 14 Remedial/Investigative Action(s)

CR-008 for TWS-MSTQA-QP-06, paragraph 4.4.6, changes "MAT Division" to "the QAIM." This CR was initiated March 12, 1987, to reflect the working process. It was signed by the TPO March 23, 1987. No further action is required.

BLOCK 16

N/A

WM DOCKET CONTROL CENTER

'87 DEC -7 A9:59

Delligati Balke Dencelly Colligati Balke

1

٠,٠

.