



United States Department of the Interior

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Box 25046 M.S. 421
Denver Federal Center
Denver, Colorado 80225

IN REPLY REFER TO:

INFORMATION ONLY

July 11, 2003

Victor W. Trebules
Director, Office of Project Control
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
Office of Repository Development
P.O. Box 364629
North Las Vegas, Nevada 89036-8629

SUBJECT: Yucca Mountain Project Branch - U.S. Geological Survey (YMPB-USGS)
Progress Report, June, 2003

Attached is the USGS progress report in the required format for the month of June, 2003.

If you have any questions or need further information, please call Raye Ritchey Arnold at (303)236-5050, ext 296.

Sincerely,

Raye Ritchey Arnold
for Robert W. Craig
Technical Project Officer
Yucca Mountain Project Branch
U.S. Geological Survey

Enclosure:

cc: J. Bresee, DOE/OCRWM-HQ/Forrestal
S. Hanauer, DOE/Las Vegas
W. J. Arthur III, DOE, Las Vegas
R. Dyer, DOE, Las Vegas
D. Barr, DOE, Las Vegas
W. Boyle, DOE, Las Vegas
D. Coleman, DOE, Las Vegas
A. Gil, DOE, Las Vegas
T. Gunter, DOE, Las Vegas

W. Kozai, DOE, Las Vegas
S. Mellington, DOE, Las Vegas
E. Smistad, DOE, Las Vegas
R. Spence, DOE, Las Vegas
B. Terrell, DOE, Las Vegas
M. Tynan, DOE, Las Vegas
R. Latta, NRC, Las Vegas (2 copies)
R. Andrews, M&O/BSC, Las Vegas
G. Bodvarsson, M&O/LBNL, Las Vegas
P. Dixon, M&O/LANL, Las Vegas
A. Eddebarh, M&O/LANL, Las Vegas
E. Hardin, M&O/BSC, Las Vegas
R. Henning, M&O/BSC, Las Vegas
J. Houseworth, M&O/LBNL, Las Vegas
S. Kuzio, M&O/SNL, Albuquerque
S. Pedersen, M&O/BSC, Las Vegas
M. Peters, M&O/LANL, Las Vegas
J. Wang, M&O/LBNL, Berkeley
W. Alley, USGS, Reston
D. Duncan, USGS, Reston
R. Craig, USGS, Las Vegas
R. Arnold, USGS, Denver
M. Mustard, USGS, Denver
T. Chaney, USGS, Denver
M. Chornack, USGS, Denver
W. Dudley, USGS, Denver
D. Gillies, USGS, Denver
C. Hunter, USGS, Denver
Z. Peterman, USGS, Denver
W. Scott, USGS, Las Vegas
J. Stuckless, USGS, Denver
D. Sinks, BSC, Denver

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Executive Summary
YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT BRANCH

June 2003

Presentations at the recent High-Level Radioactive Waste Management (HLRWM) conference reported results of an array of YMPB investigations by USGS and related staff, including work in isotope geochemistry and validation of “bomb-pulse” chlorine results. Summaries of that work will appear in these USGS monthly narrative reports, arranged with related subject matter, and with titles, author(s), and pagination of publication, to expand distribution of those papers beyond the tighter focus of the HLRWM conference. Details of the full reference citations for the papers are as follows:

[Author(s)], 2003, [title], *in* High-Level Radioactive Waste Management, Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference, Las Vegas, Nevada, March 30—April 2, 2003: La Grange Park, Illinois, American Nuclear Society, [pages].

GEOLOGICAL STUDIES

Borehole lithostratigraphy interpreted by USGS staff from Nye County drilling remained a focus of geologic effort. Processing of bit-cutting samples from Nye County early-warning drilling program (EWDP) boreholes NC-EWDP-16P, -27P, and -28P was completed by the Sample Management Facility (SMF), and USGS staff described bit-cutting samples and thin sections and conducted analysis of trace-element characteristics. The current data base contains information on only very few samples of young local volcanic units such as the Ammonia Tanks Tuff, the Rainier Tuff, and the Wahmonie Formation. Surface samples of those units were collected to augment the trace-element data base used to support the technical basis for lithostratigraphic identification and interpretation.

Preparation for publication of the completed geologic map of the potential southern repository-expansion area continued during June. The map has returned from review by the USGS Central Region Publications Group. Editorial and formatting changes were needed, and that revision is in process, with anticipated July completion. The revised map will be resubmitted to Central Region for USGS Director’s approval. Once that approval is obtained, submittal to the TDMS/RPC will be initiated.

Geologic staff of the USGS and the Bureau of Reclamation provided important feeds to design and construction groups (BSC). Several issues raised after the Nuclear Regulatory Commission RDTME (Repository Design and Thermal-Mechanical Effects) technical exchange prompted scrutiny of fracture-mapping methods, analysis, and results. Those questions were formalized in an AP-2.14 technical review and have now been answered.

In-depth statistical comparisons of observed and synthetic fracture data, including length and spacing of fractures, resulted in completion of final concurrence. A related scientific notebook (SN-USGS-SCI-084-V1) has been reviewed and is expected to be submitted to the RPC by late August.

As requested by BSC design and construction groups, USGS and Bureau of Reclamation provided estimates and scope of work for beginning a Material Borrow Program for surface construction facilities at the ESF North Portal. A four-phase program was proposed, and scope and estimate were completed for the first two phases. Phase 1 would consist of 12 to 15 test pits on the North Portal pad and muck pile to characterize existing material. Samples collected from those test pits would be laboratory-tested for gradations in fragment size, Atterberg limits, and specific gravity. Phase 2 would consist of compilation of existing field mapping and research, culminating in excavation of 15 to 20 test pits aimed at delineation of potential borrow areas. Samples from those additional pits likewise would be laboratory-tested for gradations, Atterberg Limits, and specific gravity. The goal of the program would be to provide the Project with ability to develop design data required for construction-subcontract specifications. Additional Phases 3 and 4 are design-data investigations for specification of borrow material, concrete aggregate, and rail and road alignments.

In mechanical-property testing work, a data package entitled "Direct shear data from selected samples of the Topopah Spring Tuff" (DTN: GS030283114222.001) was submitted to the TDMS to complete milestone PAGSW530M4 [Rock Mechanics (Direct Shear) Data to TDMS/RPC] on June 13. Additional laboratory rock testing (the Creep Test) continued. A decision from BSC as to date of conclusion of that testing is pending.,

Activity in characterization of the repository host horizon (RHH) included sampling for thin-section analysis and photography of several approximately 14-m² areas on the left rib using low-angle illumination techniques and composite images. Resultant digital images span areas which can be used for small-scale fracture traverses, and subsets can be used for 1-m by 3-m panel maps. Additional areas on the right rib were photographed for comparison with the left rib. Panel mapping remained an ongoing effort. Six angular traverses have been completed, four in the upper lithophysal zone and two in the middle nonlithophysal zone. Data collection for three small-scale fracture traverses is completed (one traverse in the lower part of the lower lithophysal zone and two traverses in the upper lithophysal zone).

Excel-based data-collection workbooks have been designed for linear traverses along boreholes to determine the abundance of lithophysal cavities in RHH-equivalent rock units. Collection of data from one borehole has been completed. Those data are being summarized, but initial results indicate trends in the abundance and location of lithophysae consistent with results determined by other techniques.

Comment resolution from technical reviews of the Deterministic Seismic Hazards Analysis continued, with necessary revisions to that report taking longer than anticipated

due to competing mapping schedules in Death Valley. There is no impact on other work because of the delay, and completed revisions are expected shortly.

SATURATED-ZONE STUDIES

Activity in borehole monitoring continued. As part of barometric data compilation, a data-summary sheet was developed for Alluvial Test Complex (ATC) milestone PAGSM434M5 [ATC Barometric Monitoring Data to Data Management Unit], and a related Excel spreadsheet is approximately 60% complete. Preparation of data packages began late in May for background water-pressure and barometric variations during the monitoring period, along with transducer and data-logger calibrations. Close-out of ATC work was delayed slightly due to necessary precedence of response to technical comments on the SZ *in situ* testing AMR.

Determination of suitability of analytical lab results is ongoing and impacts the use of carbon isotope (^{14}C) data in SZ flow interpretations. (Problems with laboratory analysis of standard samples have not been fully resolved, so analyses likewise cannot be fully utilized.) As another result, inclusion of those sample data into the hydrochemical data base awaits verification of the laboratory.

Work on the Death Valley regional flow system (DVRFS) continued efforts in compilation of available data and construction of databases to support modeling; in adjustments, enhancements and improvements of the hydrogeological framework for model construction; and in actual modeling. Maintenance and integration of hydrogeologic data remained a focus. Work continued on maintenance of the project web site to serve knowledge-exchange and modeling-team meetings. Three-dimensional hydrogeologic framework model (HFM) construction and review continued, with focus on examination of thickness distributions and correction of inconsistencies. Status of that work was reported in milestone PAGSM32BM5 [Progress Report HFM Updates—Transient model], completed on May 30.

Work also continued on flow-model calibration. Major changes this month included updates to water-table elevations to reflect the current model. Constant-head boundary cells were redefined using an updated potentiometric contour map. Some of the locations of the constant-head boundary cells were altered, and as a result, some hydraulic conductivities along boundaries were adjusted to represent more accurately boundary fluxes. That enhancement led to better matching with hydraulic-head observations and boundary fluxes. Additional effort was focused on representing hydrologic properties of basin-fill units. A memorandum reporting completion of the flow-model chapter of the DVRFS transient-flow model report was prepared and submitted in completion of milestone PAGSM34DM5 [Report Contribution to Report Editor] on June 30.

Enhancement of model details continued, with the greatest effort focused on matching hydrographs in pumping areas. For example, in Pahrump there is now a difference in simulated water-level elevation between model layers. The starting head at initiation of

the transient simulation is closer to observed values, as is the amount of simulated drawdown. For some hydrogeologic units located in pumping centers, modeled hydraulic conductivity was adjusted to diminish with depth. That modification allows a sufficiently steep cone of depression at wells but still permits flow out the aquifer at discharge areas.

Knowledge exchange remained an important focus of DVRFS effort. Ongoing work continued on several chapters for the transient-flow model report, including the flow-model chapter. Milestone PAGSM37LM5 [Meeting Summary to the TPO for the May Knowledge Exchange Meeting] was completed on June 6 (delayed by the late-month scheduling of that meeting), and similar milestone PAGSM37MM5 [Meeting Summary to the TPO for the June Knowledge Exchange Meeting] was completed on June 30.

UNSATURATED-ZONE STUDIES

The infiltration experiment in Alcove #8/Niche #3 continued. Milestone PAGSW26M4 [Plot Infiltration/Tracer Experiment Data Package – TDMS/RPC] was completed on June 30. That comprehensive data-package submittal consisted of five parts, including “Surface Infiltration in a Large Plot in Alcove 8 Using Permeameters from August 20, 2002 to November 19, 2002;” “Photographs from Niche 3 of the Alcove 8/Niche 3 Seepage Experiment During Construction Showing Construction Water in Niche 3, March 6, 2000;” “Surface Infiltration in a Large Plot in Alcove 8 Using Permeameters from November 19, 2002 to March 24, 2003;” “Physical Properties of Borehole Samples from Niche 3 of the ESF: Measurements from 7/05/00 to 7/13/00;” and “Physical Properties of Borehole Samples from Alcove 8 of the ECRB: Measurements from 7/10/00 to 7/19/00.”

Relocation of staging and work areas from the Hydrologic Research Facility and Site Maintenance Building to smaller buildings in the Central Support Area is currently underway.

On May 20, USGS scientists entered the sealed bulkheads in Alcove #7 (the southern Ghost Dance fault alcove). Behind the first bulkhead (at 64 m) the alcove floor and walls were dry, and wall-mounted cables and pipes were coated with a dry layer of dust. At 80 m, moisture was observed on cables. In the area at 100 m to 134 m, the walls and floor remained dry, but the alcove crown was wet. Earlier monitoring had shown that relative humidity did not exceed 95%, and the water on the crown therefore was not due to condensation but likely was seepage. Behind the second bulkhead (at 134 m), moisture content was even greater. The entire crown was wet, and moisture extended partially down the alcove walls. Moisture drip sheets located at 169 m and 181 m showed limited (color change in the sheet) signs of liquid water. All indications are that the water identified at the crown of Alcove 7 is seepage. During June, the monitoring instruments in Alcove #7 were replaced and reset for continued monitoring. In addition, absorbent pads were installed to capture water samples from the alcove crown.

Although electrical power remained off, moisture-monitoring data collection behind the bulkheads (including temperature and relative humidity, barometric pressure, and wind speed) continued, with at least 15 of the 23 data-loggers still operating. Remote connection cannot be made to two loggers; those instruments may or may not be collecting data. For the remaining six loggers, battery voltage apparently has fallen below required levels, causing interruption of data collection. A decision has been made to use AGM (Absorbed Glass Matt) batteries, which should deliver enough power to supply the data loggers and instruments for a year. A bulkhead re-entry to replace and maintain bulkhead moisture-monitoring equipment tentatively is scheduled for late August. In spite of such difficulties, routine moisture-monitoring data transfer from the TCO occurred, and those data underwent preliminary processing.

Investigation of chemical and isotopic composition of pore water from core samples continued. Water samples centrifuged from CHEMSAMP3 core from the Drift-Scale Test are being analyzed for major cations, and moisture contents are being measured for samples from zones anticipated to be near the wetting front in the CHEMSAMP3 borehole.

Strontium concentrations and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotopic ratios place geochemical constraints on the composition of ground water, a typically useful relation in distinguishing complex flow paths down-gradient from Yucca Mountain. Alluvial ground-water samples from the Nye County early-warning drilling program (NC-EWDP) boreholes (and from additional holes in the area) differ, however, in exhibited Sr characteristics and thereby indicate multiple isolated flow paths and little interconnection of flow paths. Differences in the Sr concentrations and the very large range of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (0.70950 — 0.71557) of the ground-water samples from NC-EWDP boreholes make Sr a good tracer with which to understand ground-water flow paths. The Sr data do support a picture of general north-to-south ground-water flow, but there are insufficient data available to make more specific conclusions regarding genetic relations among and between boreholes. The present Sr data describe a part of the hydrochemical baseline information for the Yucca Mountain site, and Sr compositions therefore will be monitored for future changes.

K. Futa, B. Marshall, T. Oliver, and Z. Peterman, *Strontium Isotopes in Ground Water in the Vicinity of Yucca Mountain, Nevada*, p. 367-372.

Uranium (U-series) delineation of UZ flow zones continued, with work on core from borehole USW SD-9. The purpose of that work is to identify vertical differences in U-series disequilibrium (using the ratios $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$) that might be related to lithostratigraphy, and, in particular, to evaluate the effect of percolation on general water/rock interactions above and below the Paintbrush nonwelded hydrogeologic (PTn) unit. Procurement of a mass spectrophotometer was approved, and the associated contract is being processed.

Early (c.1993) analyses designed to provide information about the amount and spatial distribution of water infiltrating the UZ at Yucca Mountain found anomalously high amounts of a chlorine isotope (^{36}Cl) associated with some faults in the ESF, within the

approximate volume of the proposed repository. Because ^{36}Cl was produced in atmospheric nuclear bomb testing in the 1950s, its apparent presence in the ESF indicated rapid movement of water from the surface to the ESF and raised very important issues regarding suitability of the Yucca Mountain site. Unsuccessful attempts to replicate those results, however, led to questions about sample preparation and analytical techniques, particularly regarding extraction of ^{36}Cl from samples. With observation that variable amounts of Cl can be leached depending on method of sample preparation, (crushed) size of sample fragments, duration of leaching, and possible contamination (from construction sources), experiments conducted on dry-drilled core from the Topopah Spring Tuff concluded that crushing of samples to small grain size reduced time required for meaningful leaching of chloride from 24 hours to about 10 min. Crushing to 0.063 mm increased maximum Cl leaching but still allowed extraction of only some 10% of the Cl in the rock (indicating that much Cl was chemically bound in hydrous mineral phases such as biotite). Leachable Cl in coarse rock fractions decreased with proximity to the Sundance fault zone and may reflect removal of Cl by infiltration moving down that fault zone. Details of leaching performance, varying leach times, and grain sizes on Cl concentration in leaching fluids may improve sampling and testing for ^{36}Cl abundance and understanding of ^{36}Cl in the rock.

M. Gascoyne, *Soluble Salts in the Yucca Mountain Tuff and their Significance*, p. 340-347

Leachates of welded tuffs from underground drill core in the vicinity of the Sundance fault zone, central Yucca Mountain, Nevada, were analyzed for Cl concentrations and $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ ratios in other attempts to reproduce the elevated $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ compositions reported in previous studies. After the initial method was determined to be too aggressive, the leaching method was changed to a 1-hour soak in deionized water so that leachates would be dominated by the most recent, labile Cl components. Resulting Cl concentrations and $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ ratios are systematically lower than those reported previously, with no correlation between Cl concentrations and $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ ratios, indicating that the low $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ values observed in this study cannot be the result of addition of ancient rock Cl or laboratory contamination with a low $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ source. Instead, these results are consistent with the meteoric Cl signal observed in other areas where "bomb-pulse" Cl signals were never reported. Therefore, a statistically significant number of analyses—analytically sensitive to small amounts of Cl from recently added percolation—failed to verify the presence of "bomb-pulse" ^{36}Cl within a zone where a large percentage of samples with "bomb-pulse" Cl signatures previously had been reported. Other available isotopic data likewise show no evidence for fast-path percolation in the vicinity of the Sundance fault.

J.B. Paces, Z. Peterman (both USGS); L. Neymark (USGS-Stoller); G. Nimz (LLNL), M. Gascoyne (GeoProjects), and B. Marshall (USGS), *Summary of Chlorine-36 Validation Studies at Yucca Mountain, Nevada*, p. 348-356.

In on-going work on extraction of microclimate records from the chemistry of fracture minerals, samples of secondary minerals were examined for potential use in ion-probe studies. Work was completed on draft purchasing documents and QC sample plan for ion-microprobe work to be carried out at UCLA. Mineral mounts were prepared, and U-series measurements began on opal samples.

WATER-RESOURCES MONITORING

Routine monitoring of water resources continued. Ground-water levels were measured at 34 sites, and ground-water discharge was measured at five springs and at one flowing well. Ground-water and spring-discharge data collected during May were checked and filed. In related efforts, USGS staff met with DOE to discuss changes in the ground-water network for next fiscal year.

**Compilation by Mark Kurzmack and Michael Fahy, U.S. Geological Survey, Yucca Mountain Project
Branch, due to illness of the regular author.**

USGS Milestone Report
October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003
Sorted by Baseline Date

Level: 3

| Deliverable | Due Date | Expected Date | Completed Date |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| PAGSC2040D Training Cost Information Annual Update | 12/19/2002 | 12/12/2002 | 12/12/2002 |
| PAGSC2050D Annual Training Plan | 6/30/2003 | 6/26/2003 | 6/26/2003 |
| PAGSC2060D Annual Training Needs Assessment | 6/30/2003 | 6/26/2003 | 6/26/2003 |

USGS Milestone Report
October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003
Sorted by Baseline Date

Level: 4

| Deliverable | Due Date | Expected Date | Completed Date |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| PAGSW932M4 Supplemental Fracture Data to TDB/RPC | 10/25/2002 | 11/1/2002 | 11/1/2002 |
| PAGSW258M4 Letter Report: 4th Qtr FY02 | 10/31/2002 | 10/31/2002 | 10/31/2002 |
| PAGSM930M4 USGS Dir. Approval of Map of S. Expansion Area | 11/8/2002 | 7/31/2003 | |
| PAGSW930M4 Phase II Lithophysal Data to TDMS/RPC | 11/15/2002 | 1/31/2003 | 1/31/2003 |
| PAGSW931M4 Phase I Lithophysal Data to TDB/RPC | 11/15/2002 | 1/31/2003 | 1/31/2003 |
| PAGSM935M4 S. Expansion Area Data to TDMS/RPC | 11/26/2002 | 8/29/2003 | |
| PAGSZ132M4 Interpretive Rpt on Opal Geochronology | 12/13/2002 | 12/13/2002 | 12/13/2002 |
| PAGSZ651M4 Interpretive Rpt on Initial U-series Data | 12/13/2002 | 12/13/2002 | 12/13/2002 |
| PAGSM920M4 Phase 3 Lithologies Data Pkg to TDMS/RPC | 12/17/2002 | 2/18/2003 | 2/18/2003 |
| PAGSZ303M4 Final Report to Customer & TDMS | 12/27/2002 | 9/26/2003 | |
| PAGSW530M4 Rock Mech (Direct Shear) Data to TDMS/RPC | 1/10/2003 | 6/13/2003 | 6/13/2003 |
| PAGSW260M4 Letter Report: 1st Qtr FY03 | 1/31/2003 | 1/31/2003 | 1/31/2003 |
| PAGSM925M4 Phase 3 X-sections DP to TDMS/RPC | 2/21/2003 | 5/21/2003 | 5/21/2003 |
| PAGSW22M4 Fault Infiltration/Tracer Exp Data Pkg--TDMS/RPC | 2/28/2003 | 3/14/2003 | 3/14/2003 |
| PAGSW937M4 Spot & Rim Hydrologic Prop DP - TDMS/RPC | 3/31/2003 | 4/30/2003 | 4/30/2003 |
| PAGSW262M4 Letter Report: 2nd Qtr FY03 | 4/30/2003 | 4/30/2003 | 4/30/2003 |
| PAGSW605M4 Fract & Lithophysal Char Final Data to TDMS/RPC | 5/30/2003 | 10/17/2003 | |
| PAGSW85M4 ESF Moisture Monitoring Data Pkg to TDMS/RPC | 5/30/2003 | 10/27/2003 | |
| PAGSM203M4 Phase IV Lithostrat Data to TDMS/RPC | 6/2/2003 | 9/30/2003 | |

USGS Milestone Report
October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003
Sorted by Baseline Date

Level: 4

| Deliverable | Due Date | Expected Date | Completed Date |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| PAGSM435M4 ATC Barometric Monitoring Data to TDMS/RPC | 6/2/2003 | 9/30/2003 | |
| PAGSW26M4 Plot Infiltration/Tracer Exp Data Pkg - TDMS/RPC | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 |

USGS Milestone Report
October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003
Sorted by Baseline Date

Level: 5

| Deliverable | Due Date | Expected Date | Completed Date |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| PAGSM37EM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 10/31/2002 | 10/25/2002 | 10/25/2002 |
| PAGSM37FM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 11/29/2002 | 11/29/2002 | 11/29/2002 |
| PAGSM30AM5 Intro Chap Rpt Contribution to Rpt Editor | 12/31/2002 | 12/20/2002 | 12/20/2002 |
| PAGSM32CM5 Intro Chapters Rpt Contribution to Rpt Editor | 12/31/2002 | 12/20/2002 | 12/20/2002 |
| PAGSM32EM5 Mid-Year Progress HFM Discretization | 12/31/2002 | 12/19/2002 | 12/19/2002 |
| PAGSM32GM5 Prg Rpt - Updates Based on Hydrgeo Parameteriztn | 12/31/2002 | 12/19/2002 | 12/19/2002 |
| PAGSM34CM5 Intro Chapters Rpt Contribution to Rpt Editor | 12/31/2002 | 12/20/2002 | 12/20/2002 |
| PAGSM373M5 Annotated Outline of Report to TPO | 12/31/2002 | 12/18/2002 | 12/18/2002 |
| PAGSM37GM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 12/31/2002 | 12/20/2002 | 12/20/2002 |
| PAGSM32AM5 Progress HFM Updates - Transient Model | 1/31/2003 | 1/31/2003 | 1/31/2003 |
| PAGSM37HM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 1/31/2003 | 2/7/2003 | 2/7/2003 |
| PAGSM30BM5 Update Hydrogeologic Data Integration Progress | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 |
| PAGSM36AM5 Update on Predictive Capability Progress | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 |
| PAGSM37AM5 Memo to TPO: Completion - Editing Intro Chapters | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 |
| PAGSM37IM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 | 2/28/2003 |
| PAGSM32DM5 Report Contribution to Report Editor | 3/31/2003 | 3/31/2003 | 3/31/2003 |
| PAGSM34AM5 Progress Report Flow Modeling | 3/31/2003 | 3/31/2003 | 3/31/2003 |
| PAGSM37JM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 3/31/2003 | 3/31/2003 | 3/31/2003 |
| PAGSM202M5 Phase IV Lithostrat Data to USGS DMG | 4/1/2003 | 9/19/2003 | |

USGS Milestone Report
October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003
Sorted by Baseline Date

Level: 5

| Deliverable | Due Date | Expected Date | Completed Date |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| PAGSM434M5 ATC Barometric Monitoring Data to DMU | 4/1/2003 | 7/30/2003 | |
| PAGSM37KM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 4/30/2003 | 4/30/2003 | 4/30/2003 |
| PAGSM37BM5 Memo to TPO: Completion - Editing HFM/Db Chap | 5/30/2003 | 5/30/2003 | 5/30/2003 |
| PAGSM37LM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 5/30/2003 | 6/6/2003 | 6/6/2003 |
| PAGSM32BM5 Progress HFM Updates - Transient Model | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 |
| PAGSM34DM5 Report Contribution to Report Editor | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 |
| PAGSM37MM5 Mtg Summary to TPO | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 | 6/30/2003 |

YMP PLANNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (PACS)

MONTHLY COST/FTE REPORT

Participant U.S. Geological Survey
 Date Prepared 7/11/2003 12:10 PM

Fiscal Month/Year June 30, 2003
Page 1 of 1

CURRENT MONTH END

FISCAL YEAR

| WBS ELEMENT | ACTUAL COSTS | PARTICIPANT HOURS | SUBCONTRACT. HOURS | PURCHASE COMMITMENTS | SUBCONTRACT COMMITMENTS | ACCRUED COSTS | APPROVED BUDGET | APPROVED FUNDS | CUMMULATIVE COSTS |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 1.5.01.01 | 196 | 1977 | 231 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 3444 | 0 | 2256 |
| 1.5.01.05 | 29 | 355 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 | 0 | 344 |
| 1.5.01.06 | 53 | 426 | 688 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 748 | 0 | 464 |
| 1.5.01.07 | 40 | 425 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 579 | 0 | 339 |
| 1.5.01.09 | 134 | 1226 | 1073 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 2326 | 0 | 1519 |
| 1.5.03.03 | 197 | 1653 | 1770 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 2077 | 0 | 1542 |
| 1.5.03.04 | 318 | 2224 | 484 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1975 | 0 | 1265 |
| 1.5.03.07 | 76 | 410 | 40 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1430 | 0 | 979 |
| 1.5.03.13 | 11 | 268 | 111 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 39 |
| 1.5.03.14 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 47 |
| | 1055 | 9000 | 4752 | 0 | 754 | 0 | 13447 | 0 | 8794 |

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003

7/11/2003 12:13:12 PM

| | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTAL |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | |
| 4568-9U001 Science Advisors | 41.0 | 37.7 | 36.8 | 42.2 | 42.0 | 46.8 | 39.6 | 32.6 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 360.93 |
| 4568-9U010 Publications | 19.2 | 34.2 | 3.9 | 8.3 | 11.4 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 53.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 146.62 |
| 4568-9U040 Tectonics | 21.5 | 10.3 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.00 |
| 4568-9U041 Water Levels | 3.4 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.38 |
| 4568-9U042 Geophysics | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | -1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.26 |
| 4568-9U060 Mapping Expertise (USBR) | 14.6 | 8.0 | 4.5 | 9.2 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 15.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.12 |
| 4568-9U081 Geochemistry | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 8.3 | 729.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 785.83 |
| 819Y01 USGS Technical Advisory Capability | 111.4 | 102.2 | 62.8 | 76.6 | 76.6 | 795.7 | 59.0 | 54.3 | 116.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,455.13 |
| 4568-9U002 Br Chief, Asst Br Chief, Deputy TPO, Tea | 38.5 | 63.0 | 53.9 | 68.1 | 91.7 | 91.2 | 44.2 | 27.7 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 514.88 |
| 819Y11 USGS Branch Management | 38.5 | 63.0 | 53.9 | 68.1 | 91.7 | 91.2 | 44.2 | 27.7 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 514.88 |
| 4568-9U003 Planning & Project Control | 27.4 | 23.4 | 32.8 | 33.1 | 24.0 | 31.1 | 42.5 | 28.9 | 42.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 285.81 |
| 819Y21 USGS Planning & Project Control | 27.4 | 23.4 | 32.8 | 33.1 | 24.0 | 31.1 | 42.5 | 28.9 | 42.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 285.81 |
| 1.5.01.01 Project Support - Project Manageme | 177.2 | 188.6 | 149.5 | 177.8 | 192.3 | 918.0 | 145.7 | 110.9 | 195.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,255.82 |
| 1.5.01 | 177.2 | 188.6 | 149.5 | 177.8 | 192.3 | 918.0 | 145.7 | 110.9 | 195.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2,255.82 |
| 4568-9U030 Regulatory Compliance Support | 40.8 | 40.4 | 30.3 | 44.8 | 35.8 | 47.1 | 53.6 | 22.5 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 344.45 |
| 819Y31 USGS Regulatory Compliance Support | 40.8 | 40.4 | 30.3 | 44.8 | 35.8 | 47.1 | 53.6 | 22.5 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 344.45 |
| 1.5.01.05 Project Support - Compliance Manag | 40.8 | 40.4 | 30.3 | 44.8 | 35.8 | 47.1 | 53.6 | 22.5 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 344.45 |
| 1.5.01 | 40.8 | 40.4 | 30.3 | 44.8 | 35.8 | 47.1 | 53.6 | 22.5 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 344.45 |
| 4568-9U024 Computer/Network Support | 26.4 | 25.5 | 23.6 | 27.2 | 24.8 | 19.3 | 32.2 | 25.6 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 232.65 |
| 819Y15 USGS Commputer/Network Support | 26.4 | 25.5 | 23.6 | 27.2 | 24.8 | 19.3 | 32.2 | 25.6 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 232.65 |
| 4568-9U025 Property Management | 24.1 | 20.5 | 27.0 | 23.4 | 20.2 | 32.5 | 29.5 | 28.9 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 231.42 |
| 819Y16 USGS Property Management | 24.1 | 20.5 | 27.0 | 23.4 | 20.2 | 32.5 | 29.5 | 28.9 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 231.42 |
| 1.5.01.06 Project Support - Information Manag | 50.6 | 46.0 | 50.6 | 50.6 | 44.9 | 51.8 | 61.7 | 54.5 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 464.07 |
| 1.5.01 | 50.6 | 46.0 | 50.6 | 50.6 | 44.9 | 51.8 | 61.7 | 54.5 | 53.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 464.07 |
| 4568-9U061 Water Resources Monitoring | 16.8 | 32.5 | 26.7 | 22.2 | 31.1 | 3.6 | 75.2 | 19.9 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 257.94 |
| 819Y41 USGS Water Resources Monitoring | 16.8 | 32.5 | 26.7 | 22.2 | 31.1 | 3.6 | 75.2 | 19.9 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 257.94 |
| 4568-9U062 Safety | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.11 |

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003

7/11/2003 12:13:12 PM

| | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTAL |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | EST | |
| 819Y51 USGS Safety | 9.1 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.11 |
| 1.5.01.07 Project Support - Environmental, Saf | 25.9 | 41.9 | 35.9 | 31.5 | 40.9 | 12.4 | 83.5 | 26.9 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 339.05 |
| 1.5.01 | 25.9 | 41.9 | 35.9 | 31.5 | 40.9 | 12.4 | 83.5 | 26.9 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 339.05 |
| 4568-9U011 Reports Specialists | 18.0 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 20.1 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 156.47 |
| 4568-9U012 Data Management | 49.3 | 30.9 | 31.3 | 35.4 | 33.8 | 33.7 | 34.5 | 34.7 | 34.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 318.59 |
| 4568-9U013 Records Support | 22.2 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 21.5 | -9.1 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.66 |
| 4568-9U014 QAS Support | 7.0 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 12.5 | 29.6 | -21.7 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.38 |
| 819Y12 USGS Data, Records & Reports | 96.5 | 58.6 | 61.6 | 73.6 | 102.3 | 20.1 | 65.4 | 62.0 | 57.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 597.10 |
| 4568-9U021 Administrative Support & Personnel Servi | 33.2 | 34.8 | 34.1 | 67.5 | 26.0 | 75.8 | 15.0 | 18.2 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 330.90 |
| 4568-9U022 Facilities Management | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10.8 | 43.0 | 55.2 | 218.1 | 87.4 | 42.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 457.60 |
| 819Y13 USGS Administration & Facilities | 33.2 | 34.8 | 34.3 | 78.3 | 69.0 | 131.0 | 233.1 | 105.6 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 788.49 |
| 4568-9U023 Training | 15.8 | 17.2 | 25.4 | 18.5 | 6.9 | 13.7 | 15.8 | 12.4 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 133.83 |
| 819Y14 USGS Training | 15.8 | 17.2 | 25.4 | 18.5 | 6.9 | 13.7 | 15.8 | 12.4 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 133.83 |
| 1.5.01.09 Project Support - General Project Ser | 145.5 | 110.6 | 121.2 | 170.5 | 178.2 | 164.8 | 314.4 | 180.0 | 134.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,519.43 |
| 1.5.01 | 145.5 | 110.6 | 121.2 | 170.5 | 178.2 | 164.8 | 314.4 | 180.0 | 134.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,519.43 |
| 4568-9U050 Alcove 7/X-Drift Instrument Strains | 7.8 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 20.4 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.15 |
| 4568-9U063 Alcove 8/Niche 3 Infiltration | 25.9 | 22.1 | 29.9 | 21.4 | 28.3 | 22.4 | 21.5 | 23.4 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 212.08 |
| 4568-9U064 Moisture Monitoring ESF & X-Drift | 19.2 | 14.7 | 13.3 | 20.8 | 11.6 | 23.6 | 13.6 | 19.2 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 189.36 |
| 4568-9U065 Bulkhead Moisture Monitoring | 8.2 | 7.7 | 21.4 | 17.6 | 14.9 | 32.4 | -14.9 | 33.4 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 146.84 |
| 4568-9U066 Support to UZ In-Situ Processes AMR | 7.3 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 5.0 | -0.9 | 0.2 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.38 |
| AUZG01 USGS UZ Moisture Studies | 68.4 | 57.6 | 71.2 | 85.3 | 61.6 | 78.8 | 33.1 | 83.8 | 107.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 647.82 |
| 4568-9U085 U-Series Delineation of UZ Flow Zones | 26.8 | 5.8 | 20.1 | 17.3 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 13.4 | 15.1 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 122.18 |
| 4568-9U086 Complete Chlorine 36 Validation | 5.0 | 13.8 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 24.3 | 10.9 | 13.0 | 28.7 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 137.12 |
| 4568-9U087 Chemical & Isotopic Composition of Pore | 30.4 | 38.0 | 52.2 | 32.4 | 25.3 | 23.6 | 36.4 | 16.1 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 276.18 |
| 4568-9U088 ECRB H2O, H2O Vapor & Gas Chemistry | 0.0 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 26.8 | 9.2 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.08 |
| 4568-9U089 Microclimate Records in Fracture Mineral | 13.9 | 17.0 | 13.3 | 16.6 | 20.1 | 41.8 | 28.2 | 20.7 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 197.79 |
| AUZG02 USGS UZ Isotope Hydrology | 75.9 | 79.2 | 99.0 | 76.6 | 83.9 | 106.8 | 100.2 | 82.4 | 84.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 788.35 |
| 4568-9U090 Isotope Support for Thermal Testing | 7.9 | 12.9 | 18.7 | 29.0 | -2.5 | 12.5 | 16.5 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105.90 |

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003

7/11/2003 12:13:12 PM

| | OCT EST | NOV EST | DEC EST | JAN EST | FEB EST | MAR EST | APR EST | MAY EST | JUN EST | JUL EST | AUG EST | SEP EST | TOTAL |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| AUZG03 USGS Drift-Scale Test ESF | 7.9 | 12.9 | 18.7 | 29.0 | -2.5 | 12.5 | 16.5 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105.90 |
| 1.5.03.03 Safety Analyses - Unsaturated Zone | 152.2 | 149.7 | 188.9 | 190.9 | 143.1 | 198.1 | 149.8 | 172.6 | 196.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,542.06 |
| 1.5.03 | 152.2 | 149.7 | 188.9 | 190.9 | 143.1 | 196.1 | 149.8 | 172.6 | 196.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,542.06 |
| 4568-9U043 Hydrogeologic Data Integration | 13.4 | 12.2 | -2.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 114.0 | -47.9 | 17.2 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 213.41 |
| 4568-9U044 3D Hydrogeologic Model Development | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 18.4 | 104.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 152.10 |
| 4568-9U045 Flow Model Calibration and Evaluation | 3.9 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 47.8 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 119.87 |
| 4568-9U046 DVRFS Knowledge Exchange Protocol | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 23.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.98 |
| 4568-9U047 DVRFS Predictive Capability | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.62 |
| 819Y61 USGS Death Valley Regional Flow Mod | 18.5 | 20.7 | 4.5 | 15.0 | 12.3 | 231.5 | 17.7 | 67.4 | 237.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 624.99 |
| 4568-9U048 Cross-hole Hydraulic & Tracer Testing AT | 27.4 | 27.2 | 18.7 | 14.6 | 17.3 | 21.7 | 14.0 | 25.1 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 188.33 |
| 4568-9U049 Nye County EWDP Borehole Lithostratigr | 12.3 | 10.2 | 1.1 | 17.9 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 16.0 | 7.9 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97.16 |
| 4568-9U051 Deferred - Lithostratigraphic Support to N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 4.2 | -1.5 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.94 |
| 4568-9U052 Deferred - X-Hole Hydraulic & Tracer Tstg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 7.1 | 13.0 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 2.6 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.88 |
| 4568-9U053 Deferred - Map Proposed Repository Exp | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | -0.4 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.09 |
| 4568-9U072 Support to Proposed Surface Workover T | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| ASZG01 USGS SZ Investigations | 39.8 | 37.5 | 53.1 | 43.7 | 38.8 | 41.1 | 49.0 | 36.5 | 48.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 388.40 |
| 4568-9U082 Isotopic/Hydrochemical Support to the AT | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | -1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.99 |
| 4568-9U083 Hydrochronology of the Yucca Mountain | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | -1.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.11 |
| 4568-9U084 Site-Scale Hydrochemistry | 19.4 | -0.1 | 15.8 | 15.0 | 6.3 | 13.5 | 47.1 | 25.2 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 162.13 |
| 4568-9U092 Isotope/Hydrochemical Support to Nye C | 7.7 | 23.9 | -1.4 | 6.4 | 17.1 | -7.7 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.58 |
| ASZG02 USGS SZ Isotope Hydrology | 27.1 | 28.0 | 14.4 | 21.6 | 35.4 | 6.1 | 53.9 | 33.6 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 251.81 |
| 1.5.03.04 Safety Analyses - Saturated Zone Flo | 85.4 | 86.3 | 72.0 | 80.3 | 86.5 | 278.7 | 120.7 | 137.5 | 318.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,265.20 |
| 1.5.03 | 85.4 | 86.3 | 72.0 | 80.3 | 86.5 | 278.7 | 120.7 | 137.5 | 318.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,265.20 |
| 4568-9U091 Geochem/Physical Characterization of E | 2.1 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 38.4 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.73 |
| AEBG01 USGS Effects of Water-Rock Interactio | 2.1 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 38.4 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.73 |
| 4568-9U067 Quantify Lithophysal Porosity - In Situ Te | 8.1 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 8.2 | -0.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.39 |
| 4568-9U070 Deferred - Core & Lithophysae Char Tstg | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 27.8 | 18.7 | -2.8 | -0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.62 |
| AEBG02 USGS Nevada Operations Support to E | 8.1 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 14.3 | 5.1 | 29.0 | 19.2 | -2.5 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.01 |

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR October 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003

7/11/2003 12:13:12 PM

| | OCT EST | NOV EST | DEC EST | JAN EST | FEB EST | MAR EST | APR EST | MAY EST | JUN EST | JUL EST | AUG EST | SEP EST | TOTAL |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| 4568-9U068 Rock Mechanics Testing in the ECRB (U | 91.5 | 53.5 | 28.2 | 46.4 | 35.2 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 16.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 287.42 |
| 4568-9U069 Fracture & Lithophysal Characteristics of | 43.7 | 53.1 | 48.7 | 81.5 | 65.1 | 31.0 | 45.3 | 99.9 | 72.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 540.42 |
| 4568-9U071 Deferred - QAS & Checking Support USB | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.61 |
| AEBG03 USBR Testing Activities in Support of D | 135.2 | 106.5 | 79.1 | 131.5 | 100.4 | 37.2 | 53.0 | 115.9 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 834.44 |
| 1.5.03.07 Safety Analyses - EBS Performance | 145.4 | 116.9 | 87.2 | 149.6 | 107.0 | 104.6 | 76.3 | 116.2 | 75.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 979.18 |
| 1.5.03 | 145.4 | 116.9 | 87.2 | 149.6 | 107.0 | 104.6 | 76.3 | 116.2 | 75.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 979.18 |
| 4568-9U016 USGS Data Verification | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.82 |
| APAGD5 USGS Data Verification | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.82 |
| 4568-9U015 USGS Data Verification | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 1.2 | -4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.35 |
| DTAG01 USGS Data Verification | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 1.2 | -4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.35 |
| 1.5.03.13 Safety Analyses - Technical Data Ma | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.17 |
| 1.5.03 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.17 |
| 4568-9U004 USGS Support to Site Description | 7.3 | 8.0 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 14.0 | -1.9 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.94 |
| 4568-9U006 Support to LANL Cesium Study | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 |
| ANSG01 USGS Support to Site Description | 7.3 | 8.0 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 14.0 | -1.9 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.94 |
| 1.5.03.14 Safety Analyses - Yucca Mountain Si | 7.3 | 8.0 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 14.0 | -1.9 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.94 |
| 1.5.03 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 14.0 | -1.9 | -0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.94 |
| 1.5 | 830.3 | 788.5 | 754.5 | 899.1 | 843.8 | 1,779.4 | 1,011.1 | 833.9 | 1,054.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8,795.38 |
| 1.5 OPERATING | 830.3 | 788.5 | 754.5 | 899.1 | 843.8 | 1,779.4 | 1,011.1 | 833.9 | 1,054.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8,795.38 |
| CAPITAL EQUIPMENT | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 830.3 | 788.5 | 754.5 | 899.1 | 843.8 | 1,779.4 | 1,011.1 | 833.9 | 1,054.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8,795.38 |
| FTEs | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FEDERAL | 62.3 | 75.5 | 50.2 | 52.7 | 54.6 | 52.2 | 61.4 | 61.2 | 60.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| CONTRACT | 34.7 | 26.8 | 27.1 | 29.2 | 26.6 | 29.2 | 33.5 | 34.2 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | 97.0 | 102.4 | 77.3 | 81.9 | 81.2 | 81.5 | 94.8 | 95.3 | 90.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |