

Department of Energy

Nevada Operations Office P. O. Box 98518 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518 SEP 2 8 1989

WBS #1.2.9.3 QA

Carl P. Gertz, Project Manager, YMP, NV

ISSUANCE OF STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORTS (SDRs) 442 AND 443, REVISION 0, RESULTING FROM YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT OFFICE (PROJECT OFFICE) QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) AUDIT 89-03 OF SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES (SNL) (NN1-1989-3649)

Enclosed are SDRs 442 and 443, Revision 0, generated as a result of Project Office OA Audit 89-03 of SNL.

Please identify the corrective actions to be taken and implemented to correct the deficiencies by completing blocks 14 through 18, as appropriate, on each SDR.

Responses to the SDRs are due within 20 working days of the date of this letter. Any extension to these due dates must be requested in writing with appropriate justification prior to the due date. Please send the original of your responses to Juanita Brogan, Science Applications International Corporation, 101 Convention Center Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109, and a copy to Ralph Gray, U.S. Department of Energy, P. O. Box 98518, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193.

Your cooperation and timely response is appreciated. If you have any questions, please contact James Blaylock of my staff at 794-7913, or Stephen R. Dana of Science Applications International Corporation at 794-7176.

Edwin L. Wilmot, Acting Director Quality Assurance Division Yucca Mountain Project Office

YMP:JB-6067

Enclosure:

SDRs 442 and 443, Revision 0

8910030134 890928 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDC

NH03 102.1 WM-11

•	YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT						
Completed by Originating QA Organization	1 Date 9/15/89	2 Severity Le	vel	X 3	Page 1	of 2	
	3 Discovered During 3a Identified By S. DANA, J. HEANEY 4 SDR No. 442 Re					lev. <u>0</u>	
	5 Organization YMP	6 Person(s) Contacted T. HUNTER (SNL)			7 Response Due Date is 20 Working Days from Date of Transmittal		
	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) (CL# n/a) YMP QA Plan NNWSI/88-9, Rev. 2, Sect. 3, para. 1.3.2, states in part, "The WMPO Project Quality Manager and the appropriate WMPO Branch Chief shall review and approve the scientific investigation planning document prior						
	ltr. LES:6315, dtd. 2/7/89, Stiegler to Wilmot, (attached)). The work plans						
	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial Investigative Corrective 1. Train appropriate personnel to the Project requirements cited in Block 8.						
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor/Date Signary 122/9	12 Division Mana	ager/Date		ject Quality N Bluffel 4	Mgr./Date 1/2489	
leted by Organization in Block 5	15 Effective Date						
Completed	18 Signature/Date						
ġ.	19 Response QA Accepted	E/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager	/Date	Project Qua	lity Mgr./Date	
Comp. by Orig. QA Orig.	20 Corrective Action QA Verif. Satisfactory	E/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager	/Date	Project Qua	lity Mgr./Date	
	22 QA CLOSURE QAE/Lead	Auditor/Date Divisio	n Manager/Date	PQM	/Date		

YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 12/88

SDR No. 442

Rev. 0

Page 2

of 2

8 Requirement (continued)

to implementation."

Para 1.7, states in part, "All changes in scientific investigation planning documents shall go through the same review and approval process as specified in para. 1.3 of this section."

9 Deficiency (continued)

Gertz to Hunter, (attached)), however, the letter directed SNL to proceed with work to the unapproved work plans.

It should be noted that during YMP audit SNL 89-3, no evidence was found that SNL was proceeding with QAL I/II activities using the unapproved work plans referenced in the Gertz to Hunter letter.

ORIGINAL THIS IS A RED STAND

YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT N-QA-0								
	1 Date 9/15/89	2 Severity Le	evel 🗆 1 🖾 2 🗀 3	Page 1 of 2				
A Organization	3 Discovered During AUDIT 89-3 (SNL)			4 SDR No. 443 Rev. 0				
	5 Organization YMP	6 Person(s) Contac E. WILMOT, R. WAY		7 Response Due Date is 20 Working Days from Date of Transmittal				
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) (CL# T-1, T-2, T-6) 1) Project QAP, 88-9, Rev. 2, Sect. VIII, part C, para. 1.1.2 states "Where data are the results of the efforts of more than one organization, procedures							
by	Contrary to the above requirements:							
ed	1. The YMP (Project Office) has not established procedures for the control							
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial A Investigative A Corrective 1. Investigate to determine the extent of this deficiency in other activities.							
Aprvl.		2/89 Rabbles	ager/Date 13 Pr	oject Quality Mgr./Date Blundord 9/22/5				
5	14 Remedial/Investigativ	ve Action(s)	7	0				
Block		•	15 Effective	e Date				
ri Ti								
aţi.								
Organization	16 Cause of the Condi	ition & Corrective Action	to Prevent Recurrence					
)rga								
by								
lete								
Completed	18 Signature/Date .							
ġ.	19 Response Accepted	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager/Date	Project Quality Mgr./Date				
QA Org.	20 Corrective Action Verlf. Satisfactory	QAE/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manager/Date	Project Quality Mgr./Date				
	21 Remarks							
Ortg.								
by								
Comp.								
ပိ	22 QAE/Lead Auditor/Date Division Manager/Date PQM/Date							
	22 QAE/Lead Auditor/Date Division Manager/Date PQM/Date							

YMI STANDARD DEFICIENCY REART CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 12/88

SDR No. 443

Rev. 0

Page 2

of 2

8 Requirement (continued)

describing the organizational responsibilities for that data shall be developed and implemented, and 2) sect. VIII para. 2.3.2 states "Documentation of design analysis shall include in part a listing of applicable references, results of literature searches or other background data, identification of assumptions and indication of those which require verification as the design proceeds." In sect. III, para. 1.4.2 states in part, "... for scientific investigations that documentation of interpretation analysis shall include identification of assumptions."

9 Deficiency (continued)

of the Quality Level II activity associated with the generation of the document, SAND 88-3073, "Waste Package Emplacement Orientation Recommendation". This document was prepared at the direction of the Project Office by SNL and project organization staff using data from various sources.

2. The activity records and document (SAND 88-3073) did not identify and document assumptions used in the analysis, or indicate the quality level of the data used in the analysis.

10 Recommended Actions (continued)

- 2. Determine the impact of this condition on this and other activities that might include interpretation of analysis functions.
- 3. Determine the need for the Orientation Recommendation document (SAND 88-3073) and determine a strategy for replacing the analysis completed if a a document is needed at this time.
- 4. Provide required procedural controls to ensure that reoccurrance does not take place and that assumptions are documented in design and scientific investigation activities.

cc w/encl:

Ralph Stein, HQ (RW-30) FORS

Dwight Shelor, HQ (RW-3) FORS

- J. J. Brogan, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-12
- L. G. Scherr, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-06
- S. R. Dana, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-06
- K. W. Moore, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-28
- J. H. Nelson, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-04
- S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV
- J. E. Kennedy, NRC, Washington, DC

cc w/o encl:

- G. P. Fehr, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-12
- V. D. Hedges, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-06
- A. L. Temple, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-38
- R. J. Bahorich, W, Las Vegas, NV, 517/T-12
- J. W. Gilray, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

NEC