

NOTE TO: J. Linehan

FROM: C. Glenn *CG*

SUBJECT: OR MONTHLY REPORTS

In accord with your request, the PMs and I have reviewed the OR reports that have been submitted since October 1985. The following points summarize the results of our review and also incorporates selected input from other DWM staff.

PROS

- o staff appears to find reports very informative
- o contents useful to staff
- o new standard format, a plus
 - promotes consistency across sites
 - facilitates easy access to information by technical discipline
- o facts reported objectively
- o staff likes technical details; however, ORs should not be encouraged to go in over their heads or do the work of staff
- o opinions are appropriately identified as such

CONS

- o suggestions/recommendations to staff good, but they should not become action items automatically
- * o 8 technical areas should be updated equitably

RECOMMENDATIONS

- o NRC management should provide feedback to ORs regarding information in reports
- * o suggest "general or miscellaneous items" category be added for reporting items of note outside of 8 standard technical areas
- * o good to summarize internal DOE meetings of potential interest to staff; good to summarize DOE follow up/reaction to NRC/DOE meetings
- * o focus on information that we can't get any other way
 - major programmatic concerns or changes that have not yet been identified
 - potential issues/major concerns that have not yet been identified
 - differences with NRC that have not been identified
 - areas where DOE needs NRC guidance
- * o have print darkened for BWIP reports so copies may be more easily read

cc: P. Hildenbrand
R. Johnson
K. Stablein
S. Bilhorn

8906130327 860227
NMSS SUBJ
102 CF

8-7030030(F) 4

BWIP 180
NMSS 951
SALT 1347
(18)