

109.9

DANG/84/07/12/FE COVER MEMO

- 1 -

JUL 17 1984

Distribution:  
WM sf  
WMGT rf  
NMSS rf  
RBrowning  
MBell  
JBunting  
D Goode & rf  
JPohle  
WMGT(All Staff)  
MLogsdon  
DWidmayer  
JGreeves  
MFliegel

MEMORANDUM FOR: Malcolm R. Knapp, Chief  
Geotechnical Branch  
Division of Waste Management

FROM: Dan Goode  
Hydrology Section  
Geotechnical Branch  
Division of Waste Management

SUBJECT: TRIP REPORT - FINITE ELEMENT CONFERENCE,  
June 18 - 21, 1984

Purpose: Attend 5th International Conference on Finite Elements in  
Water Resources in Burlington, Vermont.

Attendees: Papers were presented by authors from 20 countries. Authors  
included NRC and DOE contractors. No DOE personnel were in  
attendance.

Summary: The four day conference was conducted in an academic environment  
with half-hour presentation and discussion periods. Dormitory  
housing and common meals provided an excellent opportunity for  
informal discussion of finite elements and other matters.  
Particularly relevant papers on adjoint sensitivity, parameter  
estimation, 3-D flow and transport, and numerical topics are  
discussed below. Additional topics included sedimentation  
processes, surface water models, and seawater intrusion. The  
proceedings are available for review and the table of contents  
is attached.

151

Dan Goode  
Hydrology Section  
Geotechnical Branch  
Division of Waste Management

Enclosure:  
As stated

WM Record File  
109.9

WM Project 1  
Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_  
PDR ☒  
LPDR \_\_\_\_\_

B407260030 B40717  
PDR WASTE  
WM-1 PDR

Distribution:

DFC	:	WMGT	:	WMGT	:	(Return to WM, 623-SS)	:	02
NAME	:	DGoode:dw	:	JPohle	:		:	
DATE	:	84/07/16	:	84/07/	:		:	

NOTES FROM FINITE ELEMENT CONFERENCE, 18-21 JUNE 1984

Dan Goode, WMGT

Uncertainty, parameter estimation, and sensitivity

John F. Sykes (U. Waterloo, INTERA) described the application of adjoint sensitivity theory to groundwater flow simulation and presented results of a model of 2-D flow at the WIPP (DOE HLW) site. The results of this analysis are a measure of the numerical change in a model output (heads or velocities) due to a change in some system parameter (conductivity). A map was presented showing the sensitivity of head at a certain location to change in the conductivity of any of the model finite elements. This technique may be very useful to DOE in choosing new well locations in areas of maximum sensitivity. As expected, heads are most sensitive to conductivity of elements near the desired head value (the site) and of elements with high discharge. The technique can be applied to any performance measure such as head, groups of heads or velocity values, and it can be applied to the transport problem. The sensitivity is a function of the parameter field, i.e. the point on the curve at which the derivative is evaluated. Sykes did not feel that the sensitivity would change much as a function of the parameter field, within a couple of orders of magnitude. Sykes also mentioned recent application to a steady state field transport problem which indicated that solute concentrations are least sensitive to dispersion values. The numerical finite element solution for adjoint sensitivity results in a matrix equation identical to the flow solution with different values in the stiffness matrix and in the forcing vector. Thus, any groundwater flow model could use its finite element grid, integration subroutines, and equation solver to solve the adjoint problem. Sykes reported an actual application in which an existing flow model was modified to also

solve the adjoint problem. The primary problem (heads) cost about \$2 to solve, and the adjoint problem cost about \$1.5 to solve.

Lloyd R. Townley (U. Western Australia) described the effect of parameter uncertainty on calculations of piezometric head. To first order, the mean solution of a flow problem with uncertain transmissivities is equivalent to a deterministic solution using the mean transmissivities. This first order analysis is obtained by dropping higher order terms in the stochastic flow equation. When second order terms are included, the mean solution is not equivalent to the deterministic solution with mean parameters. Results were presented for steady state flow in 1-D and 2-D showing mean solutions obtained using a deterministic solution, Townley's second order mean solution, and the mean solution from Monte-Carlo simulations. In all cases, Townley's second order mean is closer to the Monte-Carlo mean solution than the first order deterministic solution. The second order technique has two major advantages over taking the mean of Monte-Carlo simulations: reproducibility, i.e. the solution is direct and involves no random number or convergence difficulties; and, computer cost. The major cost in Monte-Carlo simulation is the generation of parameter fields with the proper statistics. Using the Turning Bands Method, which is very efficient, generation of 500 fields for Townley's 2-D problems took 54 minutes of DEC-10 CPU. Solutions of the 500 resulting flow problems took 2.75 minutes. Townley's calculation of the corresponding second order mean solution took 16 seconds. In summary, Townley does not yet have a qualitative understanding of the second order effect, although he believes it will be small for most engineering problems.

Jesus Carrera (U. Arizona) described a model for parameter estimation for groundwater flow. The procedure is basically optimization or a nonlinear equation describing the least squares deviation of calculated heads and other

model parameters from measured heads and prior information. The sensitivity of the solution to system parameters is used to calculate the gradient of the optimization function. This sensitivity is calculated using the adjoint sensitivity which drastically reduces computational effort. This technique was applied to a transient stream-aquifer interaction problem. This technique is a direct calibration of a model using measured values, estimated parameters, and the variance or error of those values and parameters.

### 3-D flow and transport

Allen M. Shapiro (Technion-Israel, now USGS-Reston) simulated steady state flow in 3-D network of fractures. Discrete fractures were modeled as 2-D flow planes and fracture intersections were modeled as 1-D tubes. This model ignored flow in the rock matrix, which is probably unimportant for steady state flow. For the transient flow problem, and for the solute transport problem, the rock matrix is likely to be crucial. Shapiro's treatment of individual fractures, as opposed to the continuum or equivalent porous media approach, may be best suited for analysis of small scale pump tests. The present restriction of steady state will probably be lifted in the future. The Boundary Integral Element Method (BIEM) is used to solve the flow equations. The governing equation within the fracture can be represented by a governing equation on the boundary of the fracture and an integral over the domain of the boundary values multiplied by shape functions. These shape functions are general solutions to Laplace's equation. By applying Green's theorem, the field problem is converted to a boundary problem. Once the boundary problem has been solved, heads and fluxes within the domain are computed using the shape functions. The limitations of this method are that the shape functions are available only for Laplace's equation, which requires constant conductivity over the domain, and for a few simply varying conductivity fields (see Cheng below). Shapiro presented results of application to a pump test problem with three fractures and one pumping well that intersected two fractures. An

iterative technique is used for the well boundary condition due to the nonlinear relation between the head in the well and discharge from the two (or more) fractures. Heads at observation points, a given  $x$  and  $y$ , are shown to be dependent on which fracture or what elevation is screened. This model may be useful in analyzing the anisotropy of pump test data, which may be due to fracture patterns alone, and not related to conductivity anisotropy within fractures. (Note: this work is included in WRR 19, 959-969.)

George T. Yeh (ORNL) compared four different numerical formulations of the finite element solution to the solute transport problem. The standard difficulties in solving this problem with difference-type techniques are numerical dispersion or smearing of the concentration front, and overshoot or oscillation in concentrations near the front. The latter results in negative concentrations and concentrations greater than the source. Upwinding, an attempt to overcome the latter problem, essentially uses a non-symmetric weighting in calculating concentration difference terms with more weight on the nodes upstream. Yeh compares standard Galerkin weighting, upstream weighting as developed by Huyakorn and others, a non-upstream orthogonal weighting, and orthogonal upstream weighting. In addition, these numerical formulations are solved using both a direct solver, and a successive over-relaxation iterative technique. The "best" combination of numerical formulation and equation solver is evaluated by convergence and computational effort. Unfortunately, there is no best technique for all problems, rather it is a function of the size of the problem and the relative dominance of advection over dispersion. In general, the successive over-relaxation technique is cheaper for large problems but will converge for advection problems only when using orthogonal upstream weighting. This combination is suggested for the types of problems NRC will be solving in evaluation of radionuclide transport from HLW repositories.

D.K. Babu (Princeton U.) presented a mixed finite element - finite difference model for 3-D solute transport. To date, computational effort has been a major problem with 3-D finite element models. Not only are nodes connected to adjacent nodes, vertically and horizontally, but they are, by virtue of the integral form of the finite element technique, connected to nodes on opposite corners. For example, in a grid of quadrilateral box elements with one node at each corner, each node is connected to 26 other nodes. For a corresponding finite difference grid, each node is connected to only 6 other nodes. Babu overcomes a significant portion of this effort by using finite elements in the horizontal plane only. Vertical terms in the governing equation are treated with finite difference terms. At the beginning of each time step, the concentration field in each layer is solved independently as a horizontal system with concentrations in other layers, which contribute vertical transport, assumed equal to the values from the last time step. Each layer is solved implicitly with explicit contributions from the other layers. This results in an intermediate solution for concentration in all layers. The vertical coupling is then solved by considering only a vertical column of finite difference nodes with horizontal contributions explicitly calculated from the intermediate solution. Thus, instead of solving an  $(NxM) \times (NxM)$  system of equations ( $N$  is number of nodes in each layer,  $M$  is number of vertical layers), an  $N \times N$  system of equations is solved directly for each of the  $M$  layers, and then  $M$  tridiagonal equations are solved for each vertical column. The latter effort, solution of tridiagonal equations, is trivial. An example problem was presented for spherical transport to a pumping well. Model results compared well with the analytical solution. The 3-D grid was oriented along the flow paths and results would probably not be as pleasing for a more realistic application in which the grid could not be oriented along streamlines.

Allan D. Woodbury (U. British Columbia) solved the coupled saturated flow and heat transport equations using 3-D finite elements. The grid is composed of quadrilateral sided cubes which are each split into 5 linear sided tetrahedrons. This formulation allows direct integration of the finite element terms, rather than the computationally taxing process of numerical integration. Fortunately, a pre-processor automatically breaks each user specified cube into the tetrahedrons. The flow and heat equations are separately solved directly and the model iterates between these two solutions until the temperature solution converges at each time step. The model was applied to simulation of a hypothetical 5 kilometer deep groundwater basin to investigate the effect of groundwater flow on heat flux measurements at the land surface. In this closed basin, increases in groundwater flux increased the variability in surface heat flux from one end of the basin to the other. For advection dominated systems, heat flux at the discharge boundary of the model was over 4 times heat flux at the recharge boundary. In addition to demonstrating the tetrahedron formulation, this work may indicate a powerful investigative tool for large hydrogeologic systems: the use of surface heat flux measurements to assist in determination of regional groundwater flow rates. In the sense that this would be a remote sensing technique, it would integrate the system properties over a very large area, incorporating spatial variability. Due to this large scale, it would probably only provide data on mean flow for large areas.

#### Other topics

Chris Milly (Princeton U.) presented a new formulation for the specific moisture capacity (C) term in finite element models of unsaturated flow. This term represents the water storage and is a highly nonlinear function of the unsaturated pressure (matric potential). This formulation is obtained by developing the finite element equations for the spatial terms in the equation

and forcing the system of equations to balance mass (volume). Over time, the change in volume of water stored in the entire system must balance the difference between the volume of water which has flowed in through the boundaries and the volume which has flowed out. This technique is shown to reduce mass balance errors significantly for an example problem of infiltration into the ubiquitous Yolo light clay. An interesting implication of this formulation is that the specific moisture capacity is not a unique function of the moisture content or the matric potential. Rather,  $C$  for the model is a function of those terms and the rate of change of those terms at a particular time. Modelers are finding that the parameters of a numerical model which should be used to generate the most accurate and realistic results are not necessarily measured values of those parameters. This is almost obvious for large scale problems where the true property field has not been identified through lab or field measurements. It is also true for the case where the property field is known exactly; there is no spatial variability and no measurement error. This use of "unrealistic" properties is required because of the numerical approximations to the governing equations. Use of the exact "real" properties in the approximate model will not yield as accurate results as use of "adjusted" parameters. What, me worry?

Myron B. Allen (U. Wyoming) discussed upwinding and demonstrated that it not only suppresses numerical oscillation for advection-dominated transport problems, but it is required for some problems for convergence to an accurate solution. In particular, Allen showed that upwinding is an appropriate term in numerical approximations to the Buckley-Leverett problem (immiscible displacement), based on the mathematics of nonlinear hyperbolic equations. It is not clear if this means that upwinding is also on sound mathematical footing for the advection-dispersion problem.



Alexander Cheng (Columbia U.) presented a boundary integral solution technique for steady state groundwater flow with variable hydraulic conductivity. A major criticism of the boundary integral method (BIEM) has been that the material properties must be homogeneous within the domain. Cheng has extended the method to domains which have properties which vary according to certain shape functions. Unfortunately, these shape functions must also have Green's function solutions, thus limiting the technique to very special cases. Cheng did present certain shape functions which could approximate linear variations, a common conceptual model. This may be useful in conjunction with the fracture system model of Shapiro above.

#### Informal discussion

The following notes are not organized; I can provide a more thorough report verbally. In any case: Several researchers commented to me on the relative strengths of NRC's modeling capabilities. No one was particularly supportive of SWIFT. Many of the alternatives can be used only under propriety license, which may or may not be prohibitive for NRC's use. NRC's simpler codes are probably adequate; technology has not advanced significantly. A hot problem now is tricks to make simulation in three dimensions feasible. These concerns are even more important for unsaturated flow which is nonlinear. The coupling of a multi-dimensional transport model with a chemical speciation model does not appear computationally feasible given current technology. An alternative approach (G. Yeh) is to simulate transport and chemical evolution of a small set of chemical controllers, say pH and TDS, and then to adjust geochemical parameters like dispersion and retardation for each solute of interest, based on the value of those controllers at each location at each time step. Graphic data presentation and pre- and post-processing are absolutely vital to effective modeling, especially for 3-D systems. In terms of practical

DANG/84/06/25/FE TRIP REPORT

- 9 -

applications, these tools trail far behind numerical development. Trailing even further behind is data collection and experimental verification.

ENDER

Computational Mechanics Centre  
First Lodge,  
First,  
Hampton,  
Hampshire SO4 2AA

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Finite elements in water resources.  
1. Water resources development  
Mathematics 2. Finite element method  
Laible, J.P.  
28.1'61'01515353 TC409

SBN 0-905451-20-1

0-905451-20-1 Computational Mechanics Centre, Southampton

13-540-13468-9 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo

0-387-13468-9 Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin Tokyo

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, especially those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under §54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use, a fee is payable to 'Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort', Munich.

Computational Mechanics Centre, Southampton 1984

Springer Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg

Printed in the U.K. by Dotesios Ltd

The use of registered names trademarks etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

## CONTENTS

### Preface

### MATHEMATICS AND NUMERICAL TECHNIQUES

- Adjoint Sensitivity Theory for the Finite Element Method 3

*J.F. Sykes, University of Waterloo, Canada  
and J.L. Wilson, New Mexico Institute of  
Mining and Technology*

- Why Upwinding is Reasonable 13  
*M.B. Allen, University of Wyoming, U.S.A.*

- A Coupled Finite Element Model of Flow in Porous Layered Media 25  
*G. Gambolati and A.M. Perdon, Istituto di  
Matematica, Italy and G. Ricceri, University  
of Padua, Italy*

- Hybrid Methods from a New Perspective 37  
*I. Herrera, National University of Mexico,  
Mexico*

- Numerical Experiments Using 'Adaptive' Finite Elements with Collocation 45  
*M.F.N. Mohsen, University of Petroleum & Minerals,  
Saudi Arabia*

- Dynamic Velocity Profiles on Simple Elements - Model Design and Testing 63  
*D.R. Lynch and J-L. Hazard, Dartmouth College,  
U.S.A.*

### GROUNDWATER FLOW AND SEEPAGE

- Finite Elements in Analysis of Effect of Stochastic Variability in Aquifer Conductivity on Hydraulic Head 79  
*T.S. Virdee and N.T. Kottegoda, University of  
Birmingham, England*

- Logarithmic Interpolation for Groundwater Flow Near Wells 89  
*X. Yuqun and X. Chunhong, Nanjing University, China*

A Mass-Conservative Procedure for Time-Stepping in Models of Unsaturated Flow <i>P.C.D. Milly, Princeton University, U.S.A.</i>	103	Finite Element Approximation of Nonlinear Variational Inequalities Arising in Porous Media <i>M.A. Noor, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia</i>	231
Saturated-Unsaturated Transient Finite Element Seepage Model for Geotechnical Engineering <i>L. Lam and D.G. Fredlund, University of Saskatchewan, Canada</i>	113	Finite Element Computation of Unsaturated and Saturated Groundwater Flow in Stratified Aquifers <i>B. Herrling and H.M. Leismann, University of Karlsruhe, West Germany</i>	241
Numerical Modelling of Groundwater Flow in a Large Agricultural Area <i>I. Kasda, M. Knezek and M. Zemlicka, Czech Hydrometeorological Institute, CSSR</i>	123	Second Order Effects of Uncertain Transmissivities on Predictions of Piezometric Heads <i>L.R. Townley, The University of Western Australia, Australia</i>	251
Groundwater Dynamics Investigation of Enjebi Island, Enewetak Atoll: An Interpretive Computer Model Simulation <i>M.E. Herman and S.W. Wheatcraft, University of Nevada System</i>	133	Adjusting the Nodal Point Distribution in Domain Groundwater Flow Numerical Models <i>T.V. Hromadka II and T.J. Durbin, U.S. Geological Survey</i>	265
The Impact of Phase Exchange Transfers and Unsteady Hydraulic Conditions on Saltwater Intrusion Fronts <i>A.A. Jennings, University of Notre Dame, U.S.A.</i>	143	TRANSPORT PHENOMENA	
Finite Element Simulation of Kenematic Surface Runoff <i>G.E. Blandford, University of Kentucky, U.S.A. and M.E. Meadows, University of South Carolina, U.S.A.</i>	153	Solution of Contaminant Transport Equations Using an Orthogonal Upstream Weighting Finite Element Scheme <i>G.T. Yeh, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S.A.</i>	285
A Finite Element-Finite Difference Alternating Direction Algorithm for Three Dimensional Groundwater Transport <i>D.K. Babu and G.F. Pinder, Princeton University, U.S.A.</i>	165	Adaptive Collocation Method for the Transport Problem Induced by Irregular Well Patterns <i>V. Nguyen and G. Abi-Ghanem, EWA Inc., U.S.A.</i>	299
Modelling Ground-water Flow with the Global and Finite Element Methods <i>J.F. Botha and G.N. Bakkes, University of the Orange Free State, Republic of South Africa</i>	175	Finite Element Analysis of Multicomponent Contaminant Transport Including Precipitation-Dissolution Reactions <i>D.J. Kirkner, H.W. Reeves and A.A. Jennings, University of Notre Dame, U.S.A.</i>	309
Techniques for Making Finite Elements Competitive in Modeling Three-Dimensional Flow and Transport <i>P.S. Huyakorn, GeoTrans Inc., U.S.A.</i>	187	Groundwater Flow and Mass Transport by Finite Elements - A Parametric Study <i>A. Pandit, Florida Institute of Technology, U.S.A. and S.C. Anand, Clemson University, U.S.A.</i>	319
Adjoint State Finite Element Estimation of Aquifer Parameters Under Steady-State and Transient Conditions <i>J. Carrera and S.P. Neuman, University of Arizona, U.S.A.</i>	199	Simulation of Mass Transport in Rotating Flow Using the Finite Element Method <i>V. Alavian and S.M. Broeren, University of Illinois, U.S.A. and D.W. Birtz, St. Anthony Falls Hydraulics Laboratory, U.S.A.</i>	329
Computation of Porous Media Natural Convection Flow and Phase Change <i>K. O'Neill and M.R. Albert, U.S. Army Cold Regions Research &amp; Engineering Laboratory, U.S.A.</i>	213	Wastewater Utilization in the Problem of Water Resources Management <i>F. Cavalieri, Tecnosistem S.p.A., Italy and A. Russo Spena, University of Sassari, Italy</i>	339
		The 2-D, Unsteady, Transport Equation Solved by the Combined Use of the Finite Element Method and the Method of Characteristics <i>A.M. Baptista, F.E. Adams and K.D. Stolzenbach, MIT, U.S.A.</i>	353

The Principal Direction Technique for Advective-Dispersive Transport Simulation in Three Dimensions  
E.O. Frind, University of Waterloo, Canada

#### OCEAN LAKE AND RIVER MODELING

Circulation Over Continental Shelves and Shelf-Break Regions  
F.W. Werner, University of Washington, U.S.A.

Finite Element Analysis of Combined Diffraction - Refraction  
A. Nachbin and L.C. Wrobel, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Linear Short Wave Modeling for Harbours  
W. Rottmann-Sode and W. Zielke, University of Hannover, Germany

Finite Element Models for the Study of Estuaries, Lagoons, and Coastal Seas  
J.R. Vieira, Laboratorio Nacional de Engenharia Civil, Portugal

Application of Constraints in Modelling Tidal Power Generation  
A.M. Harte and J.F. McNamara, University College Galway, Ireland

Shallow-Water Waves at Ursell Numbers of Order Unity  
N.D. Katopodes and C.-T. Wu, University of Michigan, U.S.A.

A Two Dimensional Analysis of the Wave Equation Model for Finite Element Tidal Computations  
M.G.G. Foreman, Institute of Ocean Sciences, Canada

Finite Element Computation of Two-Dimensional Unsteady Flow for River Problems  
Z. Hosseinipour, Shaw University, U.S.A. and M. Amein, North Carolina University, U.S.A.

Fourier Analysis for Testing a Finite Elements Method in Shallow Water Problems  
M. Vansnick and Y. Zech, Catholic University of Louvain, Belgium

A Finite Element Method for Storm Surge and Tidal Computation  
P. Esposito, A. Hauguel and B. Latteux, Electricite de France, France

363

385

395

405

417

427

437

447

457

467

477

A Time Variant Computer Model for the Hydrodynamic Simulation of a Large Scale Ponding Test  
G. Schmitz, Technical University of Munich, Germany, M. Vauclin, Universite Scientifique et Medicale de Grenoble, France and G.J. Seus, Technical University of Munich, Germany

Prediction of Main Channel/Flood Plain Flow Interaction with FEM  
P. Prinós and R.D. Townsend, University of Ottawa, Canada

On the Application of Digital Surface Representations in Hydrodynamic Modelling  
I.J. Westwood, K.-P. Holz and R. Ratke, Hannover University, Germany

An Implicit Wave Equation Model for the Shallow Water Equations  
I.P.E. Kinnmark and W.G. Gray, University of Notre Dame, U.S.A.

A Review of Strategies for Finite Element Modeling of Three Dimensional Hydrodynamic Systems  
I.P. King, University of California, U.S.A.

Simulating Flood Flows by Coupled 1-D and 2-D Models  
G. Schmitz and G.J. Seus, Technical University of Munich, Germany

An Application of Finite Element Methods for Modeling Wind Driven Circulations in a Stratified Ocean  
C. Le Provost, Institute of Mechanics, France

On the Automatic Calibration of Tidal and Transport Models  
U. Meissner and M. Narten, University of Hannover, Germany

Finite Element Solution Methods for Circulation in Estuaries  
R.A. Walters, U.S. Geological Survey, U.S.A.

Nested Models: Applications to Practical Problems  
G.K. Verboom, H.J. de Vriend, G.J. Akkerman and R.A.H. Thabet, Delft Hydraulics Laboratory, The Netherlands

A Modified Wave Equation Model for 3D Flow in Shallow Bodies of Water  
J.P. Laible, University of Vermont, U.S.A.

Weakly-Reflective Boundary Conditions for Two-Dimensional Shallow Water Flow Problems  
G.K. Verboom and A. Slob, Delft Hydraulics Laboratory, The Netherlands

509

521

533

545

555

567

577

587

597

609

621

## SOLUTION OF THE NAVIER STOKES EQUATIONS

Turbulence Modelling for Marine Current and the Related Finite Element System of Equations  
A-S. Cheng, Shanghai Institute of Computing Technology, China 637

Finite Element Modeling of Density-Driven Recirculating Turbulent Flow 647  
B.A. Devantier, Southern Illinois University, U.S.A. and B.E. Larock, University of California, U.S.A.

Recent Developments in the Application of the F.E.M. to Fully Three Dimensional Turbulent Flow 657  
C. Taylor and J. Rance, University College, Swansea, Wales and J.O. Medwell, University College, Wales

Another Attempt to Overcome the Bent Element Blues 667  
P.M. Gresho and J.M. Leone, Jr. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, U.S.A.

## SEDIMENTATION PROCESSES

Coastal Sedimentation Processes Modeling 683  
S.Y. Wang, University of Mississippi, U.S.A.

Application of Finite Element Techniques to Heat Flow Investigations Within Sedimentation Basins 701  
A.D. Woodbury and L. Smith, University of British Columbia, Canada

## BOUNDARY ELEMENT METHOD

Simulation of Steady-State Flow in Three-Dimensional Fracture Networks Using the Boundary Element Method 713  
A.M. Shapiro, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Israel and J. Andersson, Royal Institute of Technology, Israel

Boundary Element Analysis of Flow in Aquifers 723  
M. Radojkovic and J. Pecaric, University of Belgrade, Yugoslavia

Boundary Integral Solution of Darcy's Flow With Variable Permeability 737  
A. H-D. Cheng, Columbia University, Canada

Diffusion-Convection Problems Using Boundary Elements 747  
C.A. Brebbia, Computational Mechanics Institute, England and P. Skerget, University of Maribor, Yugoslavia

Boundary Element Solutions to an Inverse Groundwater Problem 769  
P.L-F. Liu, Cornell University, U.S.A.

## ADDITIONAL PAPERS

An Upstream Weight Finite Element Method for Solving the 3-Dimensional Convection-Dispersive Equations 777  
N-A. Sun, Shandong University, and W.W-G. Yeh & C. Wang, University of California, U.S.A.

## AUTHOR INDEX

815