

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 08/14/03

EDO CONTROL: G20030424
DOC DT: 07/23/03
FINAL REPLY:

Mike Mulligan
Hinsdale, New Hampshire

TO:

Landau, OEDO

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO:

Collins, NRR

DESC:

Quad Cities Fuel Damage

ROUTING:

Travers
Paperiello
Kane
Norry
Dean
Burns/Cyr
Dyer, RIII

DATE: 07/24/03

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NRR

Collins

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Coordinate response with Region III. An acknowledgement letter will be sent out by Mindy Landau, OEDO.

From: "Mike Mulligan" <steamshovel@adelphia.net>
To: "Mindy Landau" <MSL@nrc.gov>, "Raymond Shadis" <shadis@prexar.com>, <dlochbaum@ucsusa.org>
Date: 7/23/03 1:44PM
Subject: Quad Cities bad fuel

Mindy,

I am having a little difficulty understanding why there has been so much fuel damage at Quad Cities 1 and 2. What is it a secret and if fares badly for the industry -and are you are trying to protect them? I can't understand why this problem isn't completely characterized -and explained in the inspection reports. Through both plants, what operational changes have occurred? Will they have more contamination problems inside piping system and throughout the plant leading to slower outages -and more expensive? With the stuck open relief valve on unit two -was there abnormal radioactive problems during the accident. I do remember that in the stuck open relief LER with it leaking- this created a radioactive concern near the down-corer. Just how much trouble are these plants having with radioactive concerns with leaking fuel?

A large warning is when you have two serious issues like leaking fuel and maintenance issues like broken relief's flipping open happening at the same time and there are many other issues going on too. It's very troubling.

Thanks,

mike mulligan

Hinsdale, NH

From: Mindy Landau
To: Mike Mulligan
Date: 7/24/03 8:40AM
Subject: Re: Quad Cities bad fuel

Mike,

Thank you for letting us know your concerns.

I have referred your e-mail to our technical staff in the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. You should be receiving a more detailed response from them shortly.

Regards,
Mindy

>>> "Mike Mulligan" <steamshovel@adelphia.net> 07/23/03 01:43PM >>>
Mindy,

I am having a little difficulty understanding why there has been so much fuel damage at Quad Cities 1 and 2. What is it a secret and if fares badly for the industry -and are you are trying to protect them? I can't understand why this problem isn't completely characterized -and explained in the inspection reports. Through both plants, what operational changes have occurred? Will they have more contamination problems inside piping system and throughout the plant leading to slower outages -and more expensive? With the stuck open relief valve on unit two -was there abnormal radioactive problems during the accident. I do remember that in the stuck open relief LER with it leaking- this created a radioactive concern near the down-corer. Just how much trouble are these plants having with radioactive concerns with leaking fuel?

A large warning is when you have two serious issues like leaking fuel and maintenance issues like broken relief's flipping open happening at the same time and there are many other issues going on too. It's very troubling.

Thanks,

mike mulligan

Hinsdale, NH