

# **Battelle**

Columbus Division 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201-2693 Telephone (614) 424-6424 Telex 24-5454

December 22, 1986

Dr. Michael McNeil Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington DC 20555

Dear Michael:

PDR

WM-16

WASTE

PDR

Thank you for obtaining an invitation for me to attend the Materials Characterization Center (MCC) workshop on Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC). I enjoyed the meeting and feel that I was able to contribute to the overall objective which was to recommend procedures for addressing the issue of SCC in a salt repository. The agenda for the meeting is attached. As indicated, there were three phases; on Tuesday morning, the Department of Energy (DOE) researchers presented a summary of their current work on SCC in salt repositories. Copies of their handouts are attached. On Tuesday afternoon, the attendees were split into two groups; one which focused on SCC of low alloy steels and one which focused on SCC of alternate materials. Within each group, we discussed issues associated with i) what environmental variables must be obtained to assess SCC susceptibility, ii) what are the shortcomings of the test techniques currently used, and iii) what techniques should be used. On Wednesday morning, the entire group met again and the conclusions from each working group were discussed. Below is a partial list of the conclusions from the groups, taken from my notes.

#### LOW ALLOY STEELS

- The research needs to consider worst case environmental conditions as well as nominal conditions.
- The research needs to define limits over which SCC can occur.
- Must consider effects of potential.

Welds should be stress relieved.

- Need to test full size components.
- Need to consider start-up conditions.
- Need to consider two cases of SCC; 1) with pre-existing cracks\_ and 2) with no cracks.
  B703310546 B70108

Dr. Michael McNeil Nuclear Regulatory Commission

December 22, 1986

## ALTERNATE MATERIALS GROUP

- Sulfides may be a problem for nickel base alloys.
- Need better modeling for radiolysis.
- The research needs to consider solution volume to surface area ratios.
- Copper should be reexamined for consideration.

Overall I think the conclusions for the meeting vindicated the approach that the NRC and Battelle Columbus Division have taken in our overpack corrosion effort in that many of the criticisms of existing DOE programs that were made at the meeting have been addressed in the NRC research. For example, probably the single biggest criticism of the salt repository research on SCC is related to the focus on the testing on nominal or near nominal repository conditions. Thus, it was argued by several of the attendees that stress corrosion cracking rarely occurs under nominal conditions and thus the research should attempt to study wide ranges of environmental variables. Similarly, it was also argued that the research has focused on non-cracking conditions without attempting to define the regions of environmental factor space where cracking can occur. As you are aware, we have addressed these issues through the statistical experimental design approach in conjunction with the electrochemical and slow strain rate testing techniques.

Very truly yours,

J. A. Beavers Associate Manager Corrosion Section

JAB:mg

Enclosures

## AGENDA FOR MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION CENTER WORKSHOP ON STRESS CORROSION CRACKING FOR THE SALT REPOSITORY PROJECT

DECEMBER 16-17, 1986 SEATTLE RESEARCH CENTER, SEATTLE, WA.

8:30 a.m.	Introductory Comments	Dan Merz, MCC Roger Wu, DOE/CH
8:45	Comments on Workshop Objectives	Don Shannon, PNL John Carr, SRP
9:00	Conceptual Design, Expected Environments and Alternate Materials for the Waste Package Container	Ray Giesert, SRP Paul Cloke, SRP
9:45	Coffee Break	•
10:00	Review of SCC Testing of Candidate Container Materials in Brines	Dick Westerman, PNL Stan Pitman, PNL
11:45	Lunch at Seattle Research Center Dining Room	
1:15	Summary of Recommendations from Previous MCC Workshop on Stress Corrosion Cracking	Dan Merz
1:35	Questions and Instructions for Working Groups	Dan Merz Don Shannon
1:45	Break into Working Groups:	
	Working Group 1: Low strength steels	Don Shannon
	Working Group 2: Alternate materials including copper-, nickel- and titanium-based alloys	Dan Merz
4:15	Report Recommendations to Workshop Group	Don Shannon Dan Merz
5:00	Social Hour	Suite C-5

8:30	Discussion of Working Group Recommendations on Steels	Don	Shannon
10:00	Break		
10:15	Discussion of Working Group Recommendations on Alternate Materials	Dan	Merz
11:30	Individual Comments		
12:00	Adjourn (Lunch provided at SRC diving room)	· .	• .

Expected attendees:

John Carr, SRP Ray Giesert, SRP Paul Cloke, SRP

Roger Wu, DOE/CH/SRP Caesar Collantes, DOE/RL Hal Cleary, DOE/CH/SRP

Dan Merz, PNL/MCC Don Shannon, PNL/MCC Max Kreiter, PNL/MCC Russ Jones, PNL Dick Westerman, PNL Stan Pitman, PNL Billie Neth, PNL/MCC Bill Gerberich, University of Minn.

Joe Payer, Case Western Reserve University

David Duquette, Rennselear Polytechnic Institute

Howard Birnbaum, University of Illinois Dan Van Rooyen, BNL

Bom Soon Lee, BNL/NRC John Beavers, BCL/NRC

Ed Aitken, GE Gary Stimmell, GE

Ron Sorenson, SNL/WIPP

Haskell Weiss, LLNL/NNWSI

Darrel Duncan, RHO/BWIP

Lee James, WHC

Evelyn Gause, Weston

BWIP- Basalt Waste Isolation Project CH- Chicago Operations GE- General Electric, San Jose LLNL- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory MCC- Materials Characterization Center MIO- Materials Integration Office NNWSI- Nevada Nuclear Waste Site Investigation PNL- Pacific Northwest Laboratory RHO- Rockwell Hanford Operations RL- Richland Operations SNL- Sandia National Laboratory SRP- Salt Repository Project WHC- Westinghouse Hanford Operations WIPP- Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

## WORKING GROUPS FOR MCC/SRP WORKSHIP ON STRESS CORROSION CRACKING

December 16, 1986 - Seattle, WA

### LOW STRENGTH STEELS

Group Leader - Don Shannon

PNL-MCC

Ed Aitken /GE Howard Birnbaum /Univ.ILL. John Carr /SRP Hal Cleary /DOE-SRPO Darrel Duncan /RHO-BWIP Dave Duquette /RPI Ray Giesert /SRP Bill Gerberich /Univ.Minn. Lee James /WHC-BWIP Max Kreiter /PNL-MCC Dick Westerman /PNL-WPP Bom Soon Lee /BNL ALTERNATE MATERIALS, including copper-, nickel- and titaniumbased alloys

Group Leader - Dan Merz PNL-MCC

John Beavers /BCL-NRC John Carr /SRP Paul Cloke /SRP Caesar Collantes /DOE-RL Evelyn Gause /Weston Russ Jones /PNL-BES Joe Payer /Case Western Stan Pitman /PNL-WPP Dan Van Rooyen /BNL Rob Sorenson /SNL-WIPP Gary Stimmell /GE Haskell Weiss /LLNL-NNWSI Roger Wu /DOE-SRPO Questions posed to working groups:

- 1. Are the environments expected in a salt repository as represented by the information presented at this meeting sufficient to assess stress corrosion cracking? Are there considerations for the environment that appear to be overlooked and should be strengthened by additional data?
- 2. Are there well-defined instances of stress corrosion cracking for the material of concern in environments that are similar to or are the same as the expected environments in a salt repository project?
- 3. What test methods or data will most strongly support the use of the material of concern with regard to stress corrosion cracking resistance during service in a salt repository?

If the group has time, the following questions can be addressed or the participants may want to consider these questions for comment during the second day of the workshop.

- 4. What features of design or material treatment will be practical and will strengthen the case for container (overpack) integrity over the time period of service in a salt repository?
- 5. Can the group arrive at a consensus that one class of materials is likely to be better than others with respect to stress corrosion cracking resistance for the environments expected in a salt repository? Derive a prioritized list if possible and the rationale.