



Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
600 Rocky Hill Road
Plymouth, MA 02360

Michael A. Balduzzi
Site Vice President

July 14, 2003

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
Docket No.: 50-293
License No.: DPR-35

June 2003 Monthly Operating Report

LETTER NUMBER: 2.03.085

Dear Sir or Madam:

In accordance with Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station Technical Specification 5.6.4, the operational status summary for Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station is provided in the attachment for your information and planning. Should you have questions or comments concerning this report, please contact Jim Haley at (508) 830-8143.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "W.J. Riggs".

W.J. Riggs

JRH/dd

Attachment: June 2003 Monthly Operating Report (2 pages)

cc: Mr. Hubert Miller
Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Senior Resident Inspector

JE24

Attachment

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-293

UNIT NAME: Pilgrim

DATE: July 14, 2003

COMPLETED BY: J. R. Haley

TELEPHONE: (508) 830-8143

Design Electrical Rating (MWe-Net): 708.5*

Maximum Dependable Capacity (MWe-Net): 684.7*

REPORTING PERIOD: June 2003
 (Month/Year)

	<u>MONTH</u>	<u>YEAR TO DATE</u>	<u>CUMULATIVE</u>
[1] Hours in Reporting Period	720.0	4343.0	267,119.0
[2] Number of Hours the Reactor was Critical	682.0	3489.8	185,982.6
[3] Number of Hours the Generator was On Line	675.0	2722.0	183,793.8
[4] Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	0.0
[5] Net Electrical Energy (MWH)	435,051.8	2,177,236.9	108,852,733.2

* Pilgrim Station received a license amendment on May 9, 2003. This amendment was fully implemented during June when the power was raised from 1998 MWt to 2028 MWt.

DOCKET NO. 50-293
 NAME: Pilgrim
 COMPLETED BY: J.R. Haley
 TELEPHONE: (508) 830-8143
 REPORT MONTH: June 2003

OPERATIONAL SUMMARY

Pilgrim entered the reporting period at 1998 MWt. At 0850 hours on June 1, 2003 a reactor scram signal was received due to a generator trip and the plant was shut down. Pilgrim began restart activities at 1707 hours on June 2nd, was critical at 2245 hours and resynched to the grid at 0600 hours on June 3rd. 1998 MWt was reached at 1740 hours on June 4th. After further testing power was raised to the new licensed thermal power level of 2028 MWt on June 20th at 1625 hours.

At 1130 hours on June 12th, a planned power reduction down to ~ 1000 MWt was initiated to perform a condenser backwash. Full power (2028 MWt) was reached again at 0621 hours on June 13. At 1546 hours on June 23rd a planned power reduction to ~ 922 MWt to perform a condenser backwash was initiated. At 0545 hours on June 24th, reactor power reached 2028 MWt where it was essentially maintained for the remainder of the reporting period.

UNIT SHUTDOWNS

NO.	DATE	TYPE 1	DURATION (HOURS)	REASON 2	METHOD OF SHUTTING DOWN REACTOR 3	CAUSE/CORRECTIVE ACTION/COMMENTS
1	6/1/03	F	38	A	3	Auto Scram due to generator trip.

- | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| <u>1</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>3</u> |
| F - Forced
S - Scheduled | A - Equip Failure
B - Main or Test
C - Refueling
D - Regulatory Restriction
E - Operator Training &
License Examination
F - Admin
G - Operation Error
H - Other | 1 - Manual
2 - Manual Scram
3 - Auto Scram
4 - Continuation
5 - Other |

CORRESPONDENCE REVIEW SIGNATURE SHEET #1

EXHIBIT 3 Sheet 1 of 2

TITLE: Letter No. 2.03.085 June Monthly Operating Report

_____ Site Vice President Date _____	Effect on safety and reliability of the plant has been evaluated adequately. Information is accurate, complete, and consistent with NUORG business planning strategy. Preserves PNPS reputation for conservative decision making. Explain:
--	---

_____ Director, Nuclear Assessment Date <u>W. Riggs</u> W. Riggs	Effect on safety and reliability of the plant has been evaluated adequately. Information is accurate, complete, and consistent with NUORG business planning strategy. Preserves PNPS reputation for conservative decision making. Explain: <i>Comments incorporated</i>
---	--

_____ Licensing Manager Date <u>7/14/03</u> B. Ford	Consistent with NUORG strategy governing regulatory activities. Information is accurate and complete. Information has received proper review for factual content, commitment ownership, and fiscal oversight. Explain:
--	---

_____ Regulatory Affairs Superintendent Date <u>7/10/03</u> C. S. Brennon	Applicable regulatory documents have been considered in content of letter. Information is consistent with other regulatory strategies and commitments. Information is accurate and complete. Information is consistent with design and Licensing Basis. Explain: <i>Comments resolved Peer check performed</i>
--	--

_____ Regulatory Affairs Engineer Date <u>7/10/03</u> _____ J. Haley	Information is accurate and complete. Source documents verified. Commitments are identified and owners assigned. Letter is grammatically correct and free of typographical errors. Explain: <i>Compiled report</i>
--	--

CORRESPONDENCE REVIEW SIGNATURE SHEET #2

EXHIBIT 3
Sheet 2 of 2

TITLE: Letter No. 2.03.085 June Monthly Operating Report

<p><i>[Signature]</i> _____ General Manager- Plant Ops Date <u>10 July 2003</u> P. Dietrich</p>	<p>Configuration control of plant is maintained. Plant safety, reputation, and costs have been properly considered. Organizational interfaces are properly established to support information/commitment(s). Explain: A COMMITMENT ASSOCIATION ADDRESS</p>
---	--

<p><i>[Signature]</i> _____ Operations Manager Date <u>7/8/03</u> E. Olson</p>	<p>Technical input provided by Department is properly represented in letter. Scope and schedule of commitment(s) can be met with existing resources. Impact on existing analyses/operations has been appropriately considered. Explain: Remove sentence implying manual scram was required for shutdown reactor on June 1. Add Power Reduct on June 23.</p>
--	--

<p>_____ Assistant Operations Manager Date _____</p>	<p>Technical input provided by Department is properly represented in letter. Scope and schedule of commitment(s) can be met with existing resources. Impact on existing analyses/operations has been appropriately considered. Explain:</p>
--	--

<p>_____ _____ Date _____</p>	
---	--

<p>_____ _____ Date _____</p>	
---	--