Jucken Heroldisk 13/sairpt 48/Still, Abrams, Stablein/ UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 WM DOCKET CONTROL CENTER Reply to: 1050 East Flamingo Rd. Suite 319 86 OCT 28 A11:48 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Tel: (702) 388-6125 598-6125 FTS: TO: Nancy Still, Charlotte Abrams, and King Stablein

FROM: Paul T. Prestholt, Sr. On-Site Licensing Representative

DATE: October 24, 1986

SUBJECT: Trip Report on NRC Appendix 7 Meeting, August 26, Denver, CO

Please find enclosed the above-referenced Report received in the Las Vegas office.

PTP:nan

8611200473 861024

PDR

WASTE

PDR

WM-11

if

Taul

WM Record File	WM Project
102	Docket No.
	PDR_
	LPDR
Distribution;	3411 JTE
NEG MJB	Linefan
JOB ROM	x3tablein
(Return to WM, 623-SS	Justus Kr
Washer	landy
Etana	Keipher 7

87030052F H



Science Applications International Corporation

L86-GED-MDT-120

September 17, 1986

Maxwell B. Blanchard, Chief Regulatory and Site Evaluation Branch Waste Management Project Office U. S. Department of Energy Nevada Operations Office P.O. Box 14100 Las Vegas, NV 89114

Subject: Trip Report on NRC Appendix 7 Meeting, August 26, Denver, CO

Dear Max:

This report supplements that prepared by Terry Grant (see enclosure). Terry has adequately covered the discussions held between the NRC and NNWSI Project personnel with the exception of the Tuesday afternoon meeting on the calcite-silica deposits that occur in Trench 14 and elsewhere in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain. The Tuesday afternoon meeting was attended by John Stuckless, Paul Prestholt, Charlotte Abrams, Jerry Szymanski, Bob Raup, Bill Dudley, and Michael Teubner. The NRC is extremely interested in the calcite-silica deposits that occur in fault zones and wants to be informed of any studies planned to assess their origin. John Stuckless provided a very good background to the present geologic information, and the present status of He discussed the scientific proposals that are being ongoing studies. developed, and indicated that the proposals would be technically reviewed by an External Peer Review group. John Stuckless indicated that the following technical areas would be addressed in the proposals: natural analogs, mineralogy, geochemistry, fluid inclusions, geochronology, tracer and stable isotopes, paleontogical studies, and hydrological investigations. Some of the more significant comments that were made during the discussion included the following:

- o It is the present opinion of the USGS, based upon the available geologic information, that the calcite-silica deposits are of a pedogenic origin, probably derived from a perched water table or water from surface runoff as opposed to a hot springs origin.
- A map would be developed of all calcite-silica deposits located in faults in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain.
- Some prototype biological studies (examining fossilized organic matter) would be performed by Forrestor.
- o John Stuckless indicated that preliminary results from the studies presented in the scientific proposals should be available 12 to 18 months after the work is initiated.
- C. Abrams has apparently reviewed the current geologic information on the calcite-silica deposits and thinks that the scientific basis for a pedogenic origin is not well founded.

Maxwell B. Blanchard September 17, 1986 Page Two

- P. Prestholt believes that the presence of the calcite-silica deposits and their possible origins could be a major flaw in the Project's unsaturated zone considerations.
- o J. Szymanski thinks that these deposits may not be of pedogenic origin, but are the result of hot ascending solutions and thus may significantly alter the present understanding of ground-water flow within the NTS region, and that the NNWSI Project may need to develop alternative approaches to site characterization.
- o J. Szymanski expects that the External Peer Review group will review the scientific proposals, the available geologic information, and possible origins of these deposits; he anticipates that the results of the External Peer Review will be used to debate the origin with the NRC. The NRC, however, does not think it will be in a position to debate the origin; it would like to review the scientific proposals, and expects that a statement will be made by the Project concerning the origin and licensing implications of these deposits.
- R. Raup believes that the presence of the calcite-silica deposits may be causing more concern than is justified based upon the present geologic information and known hydrogeologic mechanisms that could have caused the deposits.
- o In response to a question from R. Raup regarding the ranking of individuals studies discussed in the scientific proposals, J. Stuckless indicated that it would be difficult to rank individual studies, as many of the studies are independent of each other and will be used to provide additional support to other activities.

If you have any questions concerning this meeting, please call me on 5-1741.

Sincerely,

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL COBPORATION

the tra

Michael D. Teubner Senior Geohydrologist

MDT:1ab

Enclosure: As stated