LV004:PTP/Browning, 9 ablein080185

Scar

Reply to: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Suite #319 1050 Flamingo Road Las Vegas, NV 89119 WM Record TR Will Project //
LO2 Will Project //
PORV
Distribution:

Stablein * REB

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

August 1, 1985

FOR:

Robert E. Browning, Director

King Stablein, WMRP

FROM:

Paul T. Prestholt, Sr. OR-NNWSI

SUBJECT: Attached documents for your review and information:

1. Letter DOE, Don Vieth to P.T.Prestholt dated 7-10-85

2. DOE weekly report 7-9-85.

PTP/brm

enc.

CENTER

1

B508140141 B50801 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR 102/8/11



Department of Energy

Nevada Operations Office P. O. Box 14100 Las Vegas, NV 89114-4100

JUL 1 0 1985

P. T. Prestholt NRC Site Representative 1050 East Flamingo Road Suite 319 Las Vegas, NV 89119

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC) COMMENTS ON NEVADA NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE INVESTIGATION PROJECT GEOLOGY DATA REVIEW -

Enclosed, for your information, is a copy of a letter, dated June 25, 1985, to me from the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The letter addresses comments made by the NRC staff as a result of the September 17-21, 1984 Geology Data Review.

Please call J. S. Szymanski if you have any questions regarding this matter.

WMP0:JSS-1249

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl:

N. K. Stablein, NRC, Washington, DC

M. A. Glora, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

R. B. Raup, Jr., USGS, Denver, CO

T. O. Hunter, SNL, 6310, Albuquerque, NM

L. D. Ramspott, LLNL, Livermore, CA

W. W. Dudley, Jr., USGS, Denver, CO D. T. Oakley, LANL, Los Alamos, NM

J. B. Wright, \underline{W} , Mercury, NV

M. E. Spaeth, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV

July 22 0801000°

Donald L. Vieth, Director

Waste Management Project Office



United States Department of the Interior

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
BOX 25046 M.S. 913
DENVER FEDERAL CENTER
DENVER, COLORADO 80225

IN REPLY REFER TO

June 25, 1985

ACTION TAMPA

Dr. Donald L. Vieth, Director	INFO
Waste Management Project Office U.S. Department of Energy	R.F
P.O. Box 14100	AMA
Las Vegas, Nevada 89114-4100	AME&S U
RE: NRC COMMENTS ON NNWSI GEOLOGY DATA REVIEW	AMO

We appreciate the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's staff comments on the NNWSI review of geologic data. Some modifications of procedures have grown out of their comments—to the betterment, we think, of the program.

All comments in the covering letter and comment summary are discussed below in relation to specific comments except concerns expressed about Quality Assurance. Since the NRC data review, the Geologic Division of the USGS has added to the program a staff member whose principal duties are to 1) expedite preparation of QA procedures, 2) assure that all necessary procedures are in place, and 3) work closely with principal investigators and their staffs to ensure that they fully understand the rationale, objectives, and importance of the QA program. Some individual scientists originally were wary of the QA process, mainly because of misunderstandings and uncertainties about how QA is applied to scientific investigations. Significant progress has been made by relating QA to "good (and defensible) professional scientific practice," a concept widely understood. Many QA requirements are covered by documenting such "good practices"; the remainder still require education and effort—but the scope of the problem is much smaller.

Specific Comments

Core logging practices.—A number of changes in practices at the Core Library have been made by DOE in conjunction with the USGS staff at the Library. Most changes relate to the use of existing materials, but consideration also has been given to the handling of core between the drill site and the Core Library. In lieu of detailed logging at the drill site, a major logistics problem, the plan is to systematically photograph the core at the drill site. Comparison of photographs with final boxed core at the Library would ensure that if errors occur during handling they would be identified and corrected. Other drilling-related data recommended by the NRC staff have been gathered regularly as part of the drilling record in the form of paper strips from continuous records on the rig. The records are kept by DOE as part of their drilling contract reporting but are available for consultation.

CC: VIZL
CC: Blacket

RECORD COPY -- --

CC: Clarton/Blassfock

Boreholes in Paleozoic rocks.--Another drill hole that would intersect Paleozoic rocks is among the holes proposed for FY 1987. Data from this hole certainly would be valuable, but experience at the Nevada Test Site suggests that hope for unequivocal structural data must be tempered with realism. Problems include uncertain core recovery, particularly at structurally complex intervals, and the difficulty of distinguishing between evidence of structural processes and the effects of other geologic processes on the basis of the small sample available from core.

G-Tunnel

Further studies of fractures in G-tunnel.--Studies in G-tunnel and at other analogous locations will continue as appropriate. Our main focus, however, is on the fractures of the repository block where observations are most directly applicable to characterization for hydrologic modeling, proposed tests in the exploratory shaft, and mining plans. Using "pavements," drill core, prototype pits, and eventually the underground workings, we believe we have the best chance to draw convincing and defensible conclusions about fracture origins, distribution, and effects on the repository.

Yucca Mountain

Fault investigations.--Study is continuing on the detailed structural framework of the repository block but with the clear recognition that the block is an integral part of a larger framework. Therefore both site specific and regional investigations are moving forward in concert. Additional discussion of northwest-trending faults at Yucca Mountain is in USGS Open-file report 84-567.

Stronger communication among USGS projects.—This observation is well taken and we are stressing better integration of various studies, including those not directly in the NNWSI program. Steps include more overview of planning details, better documentation of interactions (more were happening than could be demonstrated), and systematic group reviews of program elements.

Crater Flat studies.--Additional work is planned in FY 1986 and beyond for Crater Flat and for the more general question of regional volcanism as it relates to Yucca Mountain. Specific elements include additional drilling, application of higher-resolution dating techniques, and close integration with evolving concepts of regional tectonics.

More attention to surface study of joints and fractures.—A close and effective working relation exists between Scott and Barton and also between the geologists and hydrologists. We are confident that fracture mapping, "pavement" studies, core hole fracture studies, and hydrologic modeling are well integrated—but much is yet to be done. We appreciate the NRC staff's observations about the significance of these studies and agree completely.

Trenches

Fracture/fault fillings. -- A focused study of this matter is underway.

Evidence of Quaternary offsets.--Continuing detailed study not only of trenches but also of surface exposures along observed lineaments at and near Yucca Mountain is providing much additional information of fault ages, motion, and recurrence. Refinement of these data is underway even as new observations are being made. The relation between new neotectonics data and regional tectonics concepts is the subject of an in-house program review this fall. Implications for the proposed repository are among the items to be discussed with DOE at a meetings in late June and July 1985.

Menlo Park

Regional studies.—In a terrane as geologically complex as southern Nevada, a site-scale study is too specific to provide answers or even defensible guidance for predictions of the tectonic behavior of the site during repository lifetime. Generalizations about the whole candidate area, on the other hand, are too broad to give a thoughtful and fair appraisal of the site. Therefore, a careful blending of the two will be most effective. We believe that the 1:100,000 scale is ideal for portraying the regional tectonic framework of the site and for demonstrating the basis for conclusions about future tectonic behavior. Also, additional geologic mapping and compilation in the region are underway as part of projects funded by direct appropriation to the USGS. This work is at a scale of 1:100,000. The common scale helps with integration of results, thereby enhancing the NNMSI-sponsored effect. Upcoming workshops, interagency discussions, and the Site Characterization Plan will further document the evolving direction of regional studies and their role in the selection and licensing process.

Petrographic studies.--Studies of thin sections from P-1 drill core will be reported systematically in the final report. The NRC staff's observation about more systematic annotation at the time of study is well taken. Additional petrographic studies of Paleozoic rocks, if pursued, will follow the lead of similar studies of Tertiary volcanic rocks, which have adhered to a stringent system of annotation for some time under the direction of Rick Spengler in Denver.

Denver

Uranium-trend dating technique. -- We are well aware that the uranium-trend dating technique is theoretically sound but still is very experimental in application. Interpretations involving uranium-trend dates must be carefully presented so that the levels of uncertainty are unequivocally clear. We are instituting a modified review procedure for reports to ensure that technical uncertainties, for example, are expressed clearly enough for a more general audience than the usual readership of technical products. Properly used and reported, the uranium-trend dating technique is an important tool added to the other techniques available to Quaternary geologists.

Lineament analysis. -- We are sorry that the NRC team was unable to fully review the lineament studies at Yucca Mountain. Thorough analysis of these lineaments has been more effective than we had hoped. Although some linears are subtle vegetation lines with no clear geologic cause on the ground, others have guided field studies that have been remarkably informative about neotectonics.

Tectonic studies.--Added staff is building on the experience Will Carr has brought to tectonic studies of the Yucca Mountain area. The effect, we believe, will be an even better evaluation of the tectonic framework of the site.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Raup, Jr.

PoRaup

USGS, Geologic Division Coordinator,

NEASI

Copy to: J. F. Devine

W. W. Dudley, Jr.

E. H. Roseboom, Jr.



Department of Energy

Nevada Operations Office P. O. Box 14100 Las Vegas, NV 89114-4100

JUL 0 9 1985

W. J. Purcell, Director, Office of Geologic Repositories, DOE/HQ (RW-20), FORSTL

NNWSI PROJECT WEEKLY HIGHLIGHTS FOR WEEK ENDING JULY 4, 1985

- I. Issues Requiring Involvement of HQ or Other Projects
- A. New Issues:

The Generic Production Guide Manual (for the Environmental Assessment (EA) and Site Characterization Plan (SCP) that was to be issued by DOE/HQ on June 21 has not yet been received by the projects.

B. Previously Reported Issues:

None to report.

	Issue	Status	Date
1.	Regarding March 19 letter to E. S. Burton - EA Briefings and Hearings - requested copy of documents generated as a result of "Roles and Responsibilities at Briefings" memo.	a seven-volume set on 6/28/85.	5/14/85
2.	Regarding May 17 request for HQ to contact NRC for responses to NNWSI Project questions posed at the December DOE/NRC QA meeting.	NRC sent a direct response to WMPO on 6/25/85.	6/6/85
3.	Regarding June 6 request from Blanchard, when will Style Guides for EA and SCP be finalized? Need something in writing.	Open	6/20/85
II.	Major Internal Concerns		

III. Significant Accomplishments (SA)/Information Items (II)

SA

None to report.

The NRC met to review the DOE/HQ Q-List strawman on July 1 in Washington. Two NRC concerns may impact the NNWSI Project. First, the DOE/HQ strawman didn't include activities such as data gathering. NRC wants activities to be included on the Q-List. Second, NRC felt that the methodology and analysis presented in the strawman was not discussed adequately and the projects will have to do implementation and analysis at that level. The Project is evaluating the impact of these NRC concerns on the NNWSI Project Q-List development.

Don Vieth was taken on a tour of the UNLY Environmental Research Center on June 28 by Dr. McNullus who is in charge of the center.

IV. Upcoming Events

1. Coordination Group Meetings

o Tuesday-Wednesday, July 30-31: QACG Meeting.

2. <u>HQ Meetings</u>

o Tuesday-Thursday, July 9-11: MRC Design Meeting at Bechtel, San Francisco.

3. Internal Project and DOE/NV Meetings

- o Tuesday, July 9: SOC Meeting, NTS.
- o Tuesday, July 9: ESF Status Meeting, NTS.
- o Tuesday-Thursday, July 9-11: Waste Package QA Audit, Livermore.
- o Thursday-Friday, July 11-12: ESTP Committee Meeting, Denver.
- o Monday-Wednesday, July 15-17: WMPO/USGS Meetings.
- o Monday-Thursday, July 15-18: SCP Chaper 7 Internal Review, Las Vegas.
- o Wednesday-Friday, July 17-19: Internal Review of SCP Chapter 3, Las Vegas.
- o Thursday, July 18: Network Planning Review, SAIC, Las Vegas.

- o Monday-Friday, July 22-26: Internal Review of SCP Chapter 3, continued, Las Vegas.
- o Monday-Tuesday, July 22-23: Internal Review of SCP Chapter 5, Las Vegas.
- o Monday, July 22: Tectonics Session, Las Vegas.
- o Monday-Thursday, July 22-25: WMPO QA Audit of WTSD, NTS.
- o Wednesday-Thursday, July 24-25: PM-TPO Meeting, Las Vegas.

4. State and Public Interaction

o Wednesday, July 10: Pine County Commissioners/Ely Town Meeting.

5. NRC Interaction

- o Thursday, July 18: Generic ES Meeting.
- .o Tuesday-Wednesday, July 23-24: NRC/DOE Waste Package Meeting.
- o Wednesday, July 31: Retrievability Position NRC Meeting Generic (Tentative).
- o Tuesday-Wednesday, August 20-21: Seismic/Tectonics NRC Meeting.
- o Tuesday-Wednesday, August 27-28: ESF Design NRC Meeting.
- o Tuesday-Wednesday, September 17-18: ESTP NRC Meeting.
- o Monday-Thursday, September 23-26: Hydrology/Geochemistry NRC Meeting.
- o Tuesday-Friday, October 1-4: Performance Assessment Plan NRC Meeting.

WMP0:DLV-1240

Donald L. Vieth, Director
Waste Management Project Office

cc: Allen Benson, DOE/HQ (RW-25), FORSTL R. J. Blaney, DOE/HQ (RW-22), FORSTL C. R. Cooley, DOE/HQ (RW-24), FORSTL M. W. Frei, DOE/HQ (RW-23), FORSTL V. J. Cassella, DOE/HQ (RW-22), FORSTL Ralph Stein, DOE/HQ (RW-23), FORSTL E. S. Burton, DOE/HQ (RW-25), FORSTL J. O. Neff, DOE/SRPO, Columbus, OH S. A. Mann, DOE/CRPO, Argonne, IL O. L. Olson, DOE/RL, Richland, WA R. W. Taft, AMES, DOE/NV L. E. Perrin, RMBD, DOE/NV A. J. Roberts, RMBD, DOE/NV T. O. Hunter, SNL, 6310, Albuquerque, NM R. W. Lynch, SNL, 6300, Albuquerque, NM W. W. Dudley, Jr., USGS, Denver, CO L. D. Ramspott, LLNL, Livermore, CA D. T. Oakley, LANL, Los Alamos, NM J. B. Wright, W/WTSD, Mercury, NTS M. E. Spaeth, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV J. R. LaRiviere, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV W. S. Twenhofel, SAIC, Lakewood, CO J. H. Fiore, SAIC, Las Vegas, NV R. R. Loux, NWPO, Carson City, NV C. H. Johnson, NWPO, Carson City, NV P. T. Prestholt, NRC/Las Vegas, NV David Siefken, Weston, Rockville, MD Robert Jackson, Weston, Rockville, MD William McClain, Weston, Rockville, MD Terrence Bates, Weston, Rockville, MD Curtiss Haymore, Weston, Rockville, MD Donald Schweitzer, Brookhaven National Laboratory, NY