EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM:

DUE: 07/29/03

EDO CONTROL: G20030410

DOC DT: 07/10/03

FINAL REPLY:

Representative Shelley Berkley

TO:

Chairman Diaz

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** PRI **

CRC NO: 03-0459

Chairman Diaz

DESC:

DOE's Recent Plans to Group the Outstanding Concerns Regarding Yucca Mountain into 14

Technical BAsis Process Groups

ROUTING:

Travers Paperiello

Kane Norry

Dean
Burns/Cyr
Gwynn, RIV

DATE: 07/18/03

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NMSS

Virgilio

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

E-RIDS: SECY-01

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Jul 17, 2003 15:24

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-03-0459

LOGGING DATE: 07/17/2003

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

AUTHOR:

Shelley Berkley

AFFILIATION:

REP

ADDRESSEE:

Nils Diaz

SUBJECT:

Concerns DOE's recent plans to group the outstanding concerns regarding Yucca Mountain into

14 technical basis process groups...

ACTION:

Signature of Chairman

DISTRIBUTION:

RF, OCA to Ack

LETTER DATE:

07/10/2003

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

Commission Correspondence

FILE LOCATION:

Adams

DATE DUE:

07/31/2003

DATE SIGNED:

SHELLEY-BERKLEY
1ST DISTRICT, NEVADA

439 CANNON BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 202-225-5965

2340 PASEO DEL PRADO SUITE D106 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102 702-220-9823

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-2801

COMMITTEES:
TRANSPORTATION
AND INFRASTRUCTURE
VETERANS' AFFAIRS
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

CAUCUS TASK FORCES: EDUCATION HEALTHCARE

July 10, 2003

The Honorable Nils J. Diaz, Chairman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Dear Chairman Diaz:

I am writing in response to the DOE's recent plan to "bundle" or group the outstanding concerns regarding Yucca Mountain into 14 technical basis process groups. This change would leave only 13 of the 194 key technical issues to be addressed individually, greatly reducing the necessary amount of scientific attention needed to adequately resolve these issues.

Last year, the General Accounting Office informed Congress that there were 293 unresolved technical issues related to the Department of Energy's questionable selection of Yucca Mountain as the site for a high-level nuclear waste repository. The Department now claims that 78 of these original issues have been closed, leaving an alarming 215 unresolved issues outstanding, including 194 cases still requiring documentation or additional information. The Department of Energy has previously agreed that a license application should not be submitted unless each of these issues can be resolved.

Therefore, I am greatly concerned that the Department has reversed its previous position and is proposing to reduce 181 of the remaining 194 unresolved issues to just 14 bundles with the apparent purpose of expediting a license application. I urge the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to reject this proposal and not allow the Department to renege on previous commitments to science and safety.

I am similarly concerned with the way that NRC staff have ranked outstanding key technical issues according to relative "risk significance." This risk information should not be misused as an excuse to abandon certain technical agreements arbitrarily defined as "low risk significance." In addition, DOE continues to assume that key technical issues will be resolved in favor of Yucca Mountain, failing to recognize that these issues could very well result in findings that disqualify the site based on sound science.

Finally, I understand that the Department of Energy has submitted to the Commission a

timeline for anticipated completion of technical-issue documentation. According to this framework, ten issues would still be incomplete when the Department intends to submit a license application (December 2004). This is unacceptable. Considering the magnitude of the unprecedented Yucca Mountain project and the potential impacts on public health and safety, the NRC should not accept an incomplete or technically indefensible license application.

I hope the Commission will insist that each of the originally identified 293 technical issues are thoroughly investigated and resolved prior to a potential license application. To do so, protects the health and safety of Nevadans and is in keeping with the Department of Energy's past commitments and the original legislative intent of Congress.

Sincerely,

SHELLEY BERKLEY U.S. Representative