

89001744

JAN 4 1993

Mr. John P. Roberts, Acting Associate Director
for Systems and Compliance
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
U. S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, D. C. 20585

Dear Mr. Roberts:

SUBJECT: PHASE I REVIEW OF U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) STUDY
PLAN "CHARACTERIZATION OF THE YUCCA MOUNTAIN SATURATED
ZONE HYDROCHEMISTRY"

On June 5, 1992, DOE transmitted the study plan, "Characterization of the Yucca Mountain Saturated-Zone Hydrochemistry" (Study Plan 8.3.1.2.3.2) to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission for review and comment. NRC has completed its Phase I Review of this document using the Review Plan for NRC Staff Review of DOE Study Plans, Revision 1 (December 6, 1990).

The material submitted in the study plan was considered to be consistent, to the extent possible at this time, with the NRC-DOE agreement on content of study plans made at the May 7-8, 1986, meeting on Level of Detail for Site Characterization Plans and Study Plans. The NRC staff recognizes that some of the information required in the agreement, especially information about many of the technical procedures for field and laboratory tests, cannot be provided until the prototype testing described in the study plan is completed. The staff did not consider that absence of such information compromised its ability to conduct its Phase I Review; however, the staff requests that the relevant information be provided to NRC as soon as prototype testing is complete and procedures are written.

Among the references listed for this study plan are several which have not been provided to NRC and are not readily available in the public domain. We therefore request that DOE provide the NRC with copies of those references that are listed in the Enclosure.

A major purpose of the Phase I Review is to identify concerns with studies, tests, or analyses that, if started, could cause significant and irreparable adverse effects on the site, the site characterization program, or the eventual usability of the data for licensing. Such concerns would constitute objections, as that term has been used in earlier NRC staff reviews of DOE's documents related to site characterization (Consultation Draft Site Characterization Plan and the Site Characterization Plan for the Yucca Mountain site). It does not appear that the conduct of the activities described in this study plan will have significant adverse impacts on repository performance and the Phase I Review of this study plan identified no objections with any of the activities proposed.

9301080244 930104
PDR WASTE PDR
WM-11

102.8
WM-11
NH16

Mr. John P. Roberts

2

After completion of the Phase I Review, selected study plans are to receive a second level of review, called a Detailed Technical Review, based on the relationship of a given study plan to key site-specific issues or NRC open items, or its reliance on unique, state-of-the-art test or analysis methods. We have decided not to proceed with a Detailed Technical Review of this study plan at this time, in part because all of the technical details required for such a review will not be available until the prototype studies are completed.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact Charlotte Abrams (301) 504-3403 of my staff.

Sincerely,

JS/

Joseph J. Holonich, Director
Repository Licensing and Quality
Assurance Project Directorate
Division of High-Level Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

Enclosure: As stated

- cc: R. Loux, State of Nevada
T. J. Hickey, Nevada Legislative Committee
C. Gertz, DOE/NV
S. Bradhurst, Nye County, NV
M. Baughman, Lincoln County, NV
D. Bechtel, Clark County, NV
D. Weigel, GAO
P. Niedzielski-Eichner, Nye County, NV
B. Mettam, Inyo County, CA
V. Poe, Mineral County, NV
F. Sperry, White Pine County, NV
R. Williams, Lander County, NV
P. Goicoechea, Eureka County, NV
L. Vaughan II, Esmeralda County, NV
C. Shank, Churchill County, NV
E. Holstein, Nye County, NV

OFC	HLPD	E	HLHP	E	HLHP	E	HLHP	E	HLPD	H
NAME	CAbrams <i>CA</i>		JBradbury <i>JWB</i>		DBrooks <i>DB</i>		MFederline <i>MF</i>		JHolonich <i>JH</i>	
DATE	12/16/92		12/16/92		12/17/92		12/17/92		12/14/92	

C = COVER E = COVER & ENCLOSURE N = NO COPY
g:\CAPHASE1 OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mr. John P. Roberts

3

DISTRIBUTION

CNWRA
LPDR
BJYoungblood, HLWM
JHolonich, HLPD
DBrooks, HLHP

NMSS R/F
ACNW
JLinehan, HLWM
On-Site Reps

HLPD R/F
PDR
RBallard, HLGE
CAbrams, HLPD

LSS
CENTRAL FILE
MFederline, HLHP
JBradbury, HLHP

Enclosure

Not-Readily-Available References

Iijima, A., 1975, Effect of pore water to clinoptilolite-analcime-albite reaction series: J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sec. II, v. 19, p. 133-147.

Jones, B.F., 1966, Geochemical evolution of closed basin water in the western Great Basin, in Rau, J.L., ed., Second symposium on salt, 1, Geology, geochemistry, mining: Cleveland, Ohio, Northern Ohio Geological Society, p. 181-200.

Naff, R.L., 1973, Hydrogeology of the southern part of Amargosa Desert in Nevada [M.S. Thesis]: Reno, Nevada, University of Nevada, 207 p.

U.S. Department of Energy, 1988b, Radiological Monitoring Plan for the NNWSI Project, DOE/NV-10576-6.

Wikberg, P., 1987, The chemistry of deep groundwaters in crystalline rocks: Stockholm, The Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Inorganic Chemistry, TRITA-00K-1078, 137 p.

Willis, R.D., Thonnard, N., Wright, M.C., Lehmann, B.E., and Rauber, D., 1988, Counting Kr atoms in groundwater using RISTOF (abst.): Fourth International Symposium on Resonance Ionization Spectroscopy and Its Applications, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 1988.