


GANE believes it is important for Dr. Lyman to be present at the oral argument, because both DCS's motion and GANE's opposition rely to some extent on factual assertions regarding technical aspects of material control and accounting ("MC&A") and physical security. The parties' reliance on factual information is especially strong with respect to Contention 1, MC&A. GANE believes that it would be at a substantial disadvantage without assistance from Dr. Lyman in presenting its arguments. GANE's counsel is not a technical expert in these matters, and wishes to have Dr. Lyman's assistance in listening to and responding to arguments made by DCS and the Staff. In addition, by having Dr. Lyman present at the argument, GANE will better ensure that any factual statements or interpretations of factual statements made by GANE's counsel are accurate.

GANE has attempted to find an alternative date during the summer when all parties can be present at the oral argument, but there is no date in July or August when all parties are available. Therefore, GANE requests that the argument be scheduled for sometime in September.

In the alternative, if the ASLB rejects GANE's request to postpone the oral argument until September, then GANE requests that it postpone the oral argument by two days, until July 25. This will allow GANE's counsel to attend most of her family reunion/vacation.

Counsel for GANE has consulted counsel for DCS and the Staff regarding this motion. Neither DCS nor the Staff would agree to postpone the oral argument until September, but they would not oppose rescheduling it until July 25.

Respectfully submitted,



Diane Curran

Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, L.L.P.

1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20036

202/328-3500

FAX 202/328-6918

dcurran@harmoncurran.com

July 7, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 7, 2003, copies of the foregoing GEORGIANS AGAINST NUCLEAR ENERGY'S MOTION TO RESCHEDULE ORAL ARGUMENT ON DUKE COGEMA STONE & WEBSTER'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPOSITION OF CONTENTIONS 1 AND 2 were served on the following by e-mail and/or first-class mail:

Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
hearingdocket@nrc.gov

Administrative Judge Thomas S. Moore
Chairman
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
tsm2@nrc.gov

Administrative Judge Charles N. Kelber
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
cnk@nrc.gov

Administrative Judge Peter S. Lam
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
psl@nrc.gov

Office of Commission Appellate
Adjudication
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555
hrb@nrc.gov

Donald J. Silverman, Esq.
Alex S. Polonsky, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
dsilverman@morganlewis.com
apolonsky@morganlewis.com

Louis A. Zeller
Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League
P.O. Box 88
Glendale Springs, NC 28629
bredl@skybest.com

Glenn Carroll
139 Kings Highway
Decatur, GA 30030
atom.girl@mindspring.com

John T. Hull, Esq.
Cassie E. Bray, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 205552
jth@nrc.gov
ceb4@nrc.gov



Diane Curran