

APR 27 1992

Mr. John P. Roberts, Acting Director
 for Systems and Compliance
 Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
 U.S. Department of Energy
 1000 Independence Avenue, SW
 Washington, DC 20585

Dear Mr. Roberts:

SUBJECT: PHASE I REVIEW OF U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) STUDY PLAN,
 HISTORY OF MINERALOGIC AND GEOCHEMICAL ALTERATION OF YUCCA MOUNTAIN

On January 31, 1992, DOE transmitted the study plan, "History of Mineralogic and Geochemical Alteration of Yucca Mountain, Nevada" (Study Plan 8.3.1.3.2.2), to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission for review and comment. NRC has completed its Phase I Review of this document using the Review Plan for NRC Staff Review of DOE Study Plans, Revision 1 (December 6, 1990).

The material submitted in the study plan was considered to be consistent, to the extent possible at this time, with the NRC-DOE agreement on content of study plans made at the May 7-8, 1986, meeting on Level of Detail for Site Characterization Plans and Study Plans. The NRC staff recognizes that some of the information required in the agreement, especially many of the technical procedures for field and laboratory tests, cannot be provided until the prototype testing described in the study plan is completed. The staff did not consider that the absence of such information compromised its ability to conduct its Phase I Review of the material provided. However, the NRC staff requests that the procedures, methods, and other relevant details be provided to NRC for its review as soon as that information is available.

Among the references listed for this study plan are several which have not been provided to NRC and are not readily available in the public domain. We therefore request that DOE provide the NRC with the documents which are listed in the Enclosure.

A major purpose of the Phase I Review is to identify concerns with studies, tests, or analyses that, if started, could cause significant and irreparable adverse effects on the site, the site characterization program, or the eventual usability of the data for licensing. Such concerns would constitute objections, as that term has been used in earlier NRC staff reviews of DOE's documents related to site characterization (Consultation Draft Site Characterization Plan and the Site Characterization Plan for the Yucca Mountain Site.) It does not appear that the conduct of the activities described in this study plan will have significant adverse impacts on repository performance and the Phase I Review of this study plan identified no objections with any of the activities proposed.

000001
 9204300263 920427
 PDR WASTE PDR
 WM-11

NH06/1
 WM-11
 102.8

Mr. John P. Roberts

- 2 -

After completion of the Phase I Review, selected study plans are to receive a second level of review, called a Detailed Technical Review, based on the relationship of a given study plan to key site-specific issues or NRC open items, or its reliance on unique, state-of-the-art test or analysis methods. We have decided not to proceed with a Detailed Technical Review of this study plan at this time, in part because technical details on the electron spin resonance dating technique are still being developed.

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact Charlotte Abrams, of my staff at (301)504-3403/FTS 964-3403.

Sincerely,

JS

Joseph J. Holonich, Director
Repository Licensing and Quality
Assurance Project Directorate
Division of High-Level Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

Enclosure: As stated

- cc: C. Gertz, DOE/OCRWM
- R. Loux, State of Nevada
- S. Bradhurst, Nye County, NV
- P. Niedzielski-Eichner, Nye County, NV
- C. Thistlethwaite, Inyo County, CA
- M. Baughman, Lincoln County, NV
- D. Bechtel, Clark County, NV
- D. Weigel, GAO
- V. Poe, Mineral County, NV
- D. Sperry, White Pine County, NV
- R. Williams, Lander County, NV
- P. Goicoechea, Eureka County, NV
- L. Vaughan II, Esmeralda County, NV
- C. Schank, Churchill County, NV
- T. J. Hickey, Nevada Legislative Committee

DISTRIBUTION

CNWRA	NMSS R/F	HLPD R/F	LSS
LPDR	ACNW	PDR	Central File
JYoungblood, HLWM	JLinehan, HLWM	MFederline, HLHP	RBallard, HLGE
JHolonich, HLPD	CAbrams, HLPD	DBrooks, HLHP	JBradbury, HLHP
On-Site Reps			

OFC :HLPD	:HLHP	:HLHP	:HLHP	:HLHP	:
NAME:CAbrams/jh	:JBradbury	:DBrooks	:MFederline	:JHolonich	:
Date:04/21/92	:04/24/92	:04/24/92	:04/24/92	:04/24/92	:

CA/SPLET5

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

REFERENCES:

Ballou, L., October 1984. "Extent and Duration of Thermal Effects of Decay Heat in a Tuff Repository," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Memorandum WP:74-84/5548N.

Gertz, C., 12 January 1988. "Use of Existing Geologic Samples and Related Data" Letter to multiple addressees, 2pp.

Knausa, K., September 1985. Written communication to David Vaniman.

LANL (Los Alamos National Laboratory) compilers, May 1988. "Prototype Test Plans," v.1.

Levy, S., January 1987, personal communication with D. Cowan, TWS-EES-1-10/82-19, p. 129.

Levy, S., 29 June 1988. "Essential Drill Core and Cutting Samples." Letter TWS-ESS-1-6/88-29 to Uel Clanton, WMPO, 4 pp.

Schweitzer, D., November 1987. Written communication to R. Stein.

ENCLOSURE