APR 2 7 1992

Mr. John P. Roberts, Acting Director for Systems and Compliance Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avneue, SW Washington, DC 20585

Dear Mr. Roberts:

SUBJECT: PHASE I REVIEW OF U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) STUDY PLAN,

PALEOCLIMATE STUDY: LAKE, PLAYA, AND MARSH DEPOSITS

On December 6, 1991, DOE transmitted the study plan, "Paleoclimate Study: Lake, Playa, and Marsh Deposits" (Study Plan 8.3.1.5.1.2), to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission for review and comment. NRC has completed its Phase I Review of this document using the Review Plan for NRC Staff Review of DOE Study Plans, Revision 1 (December 6, 1990).

The material submitted in the study plan was considered to be consistent, to the extent possible at this time, with the NRC-DOE agreement on content of study plans made at the May 7-8, 1986, meeting on Level of Detail for Site Characterization Plans and Study Plans. The NRC staff recognizes that some of the information required in the agreement, especially many of the technical procedures for field and laboratory tests, cannot be provided until the prototype testing described in the study plan is completed. The staff did not consider that the absence of such information compromised its ability to conduct its Phase I Review of the material provided. However, the NRC staff requests that the procedures, methods, and other relevant details be provided to NRC for its review as soon as that information is available.

A major purpose of the Phase I Review is to identify concerns with studies, tests, or analyses that, if started, could cause significant and irreparable adverse effects on the site, the site characterization program, or the eventual usability of the data for licensing. Such concerns would constitute objections, as that term has been used in earlier NRC staff reviews of DOE's documents related to site characterization (Consultation Draft Site Characterization Plan and the Site Characterization Plan for the Yucca Mountain Site.) It does not appear that the conduct of the activities described in this study plan will have adverse impacts on repository performance and the Phase I Review of this study plan identified no objections with any of the activities proposed.

After completion of the Phase I Review, selected study plans are to receive a second level of review, called a Detailed Technical Review, based on the relationship of a given study plan to key site-specific issues or NRC open items, or its reliance on unique, state-of-the-art test or analysis methods. Based on these criteria, we have decided not to proceed with a Detailed Technical Review of this study plan at this time, but, due to the importance of data to be collected under this study, a detailed technical review may be performed after all of the test procedures related to the study have been developed.

9204300390 920427 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDF 102.8 11416

If you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact Charlotte Abrams, of my staff, at (301)504-3403/FTS 964-3403.

Sincerely,

Joseph J. Holonich, Director Repository Licensing and Quality Assurance Project Directorate Division of High-Level Waste Management Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards

cc: C. Gertz, DOE/ONY SEA

S. Bradhurst, Nye County, NV

- P. Niedzielski-Eichner, Nye County, NV
- C. Thistlethwaite, Inyo County, CA
- M. Baughman, Lincoln County, NV
- D. Bechtel, Clark County, NV

D. Weigel, GAO

- V. Poe, Mineral County, NV
- D. Sperry, White Pine County, NV
- R. Williams, Lander County, NV
- P. Goicoechea, Eureka County, NV
- L. Vaughan II, Esmeralda County, NV
- C. Schank, Churchill County, NV
- T. J. Hickey, Nevada Legislative Committee

DISTRIBUTION **CNWRA** NMSS R/F HLPD R/F LSS LPDR **ACNW** PDR Central File JLinehan, HLWM JYoungblood, HLWM MFederline, HLHP JHolonich, HLPD RBallard, HLGE CAbrams, HLPD DBrooks, HLHP RWescott, HLHP On-Site Reps :MFederline :JHolonich NAME: CAbrams/jh : RWescott :DBrdoks :04/29/92 CA/SPLET2 OFFICIAL RECORD COPY