## MANUAL HARD COPY DISTRIBUTION DOCUMENT TRANSMITTAL 2003-29768

USER INFORMATION:

Name: GERLACH\*BOSE M

MPL#:28401 CA#:0363

Address: MUCCA2

Phone : 254-3194

TRANSMITTAL INFORMATION:

TO: 06/24/2003

LOCATION: DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK

FROM: NUCLEAR RECORDS DOCUMENT CONTROL CENTER (NUCSA-2)

THE FOLLOWING CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED TO THE HARDCOPY OR ELECTRONIC MANUAL ASSIGNED

TO YOU:

351 - 351 - NEWS MANAGER: EMERGENCY PLAN-POSITION SPECIFIC PROCEDURE

REMOVE MANUAL TABLE OF CONTENTS DATE: 04/16/2003

ADD MANUAL TABLE OF CONTENTS DATE: 06/23/2003

CATEGORY: PROCEDURES TYPE: EP

ID: EP-PS-351

ADD: PCAF 2003-1450 REV: N/A


UPDATES FOR HARD COPY MANUALS WILL BE DISTRIBUTED WITHIN 5 DAYS IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT PROCEDURES. PLEASE MAKE ALL CHANGES AND ACKNOWLEDGE COMPLETE IN YOUR NIMS INBOX UPON RECEIPT OF HARD COPY. FOR ELECTRONIC MANUAL USERS, ELECTRONICALLY REVIEW THE APPROPRIATE DOCUMENTS AND ACKNOWLEDGE COMPLETE IN YOUR NIMS INBOX.

Mons

#### PROCEDURE CHANGE PROCESS FORM

1. PCAF NO. 103-1450 2. PAGE 1 OF 3 3. PROC. NO. EP-PS 4. FORMS REVISED R , R	-351 REV. 9
PROCEDURE TITLE     MOC News Writer Emergency Plan Position Specific Instruction	
MOC News Writer Emergency Plan Position Specific Instruction	_R,R
	·
6. REQUESTED CHANGE PERIODIC REVIEW NO YES	
INCORPORATE PCAFS	#
REVISION PCAF DELETION (CHECK	ONE ONLY)
7. SUMMARY OF / REASON FOR CHANGE Periodic review Completed-no changes to body of procedure Revised cover sheet to make procedure review requirement every two years	
	Continued
8. DETERMINE COMMITTEE REVIEW REQUIREMENTS (Refer to Section 6.1.4) PORC REVIEW REQ'D?  9. PORC MTG	<b>5#</b>
BLOCKS 11 THRU 16 ARE ON PAGE 2 OF FORM	
17. T.C. Dalpiaz  PREPARER (Print or Type)  17. T.C. Dalpiaz  PREPARER (Print or Type)  18. COMMUNICATION OF Management of the print of	
19. O/ 6 / SIGNATURE ATTESTS THAT RESPO	AL REVIEW UNLESS OTHERWISE TACHED REVIEW FORMS. QUIRED) HAS BEEN COMPLETED
RESPONSIBLE SUPERVISOR  DATE  CONDUCTED QADR AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED QADR AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED QADR AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED QADR AND TECHNICATION  CROSS DISCIPLINE REVIEW (IF REVIEW (IF REVIEW AND TECHNICATION  CROSS DISCIPLINE REVIEW (IF REVIEW AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED QUARTER (IF AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED QUARTER (IF AND TECHNICATION  CROSS DISCIPLINE REVIEW (IF AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED QUARTER (IF AND TECHNICATION  CROSS DISCIPLINE REVIEW (IF AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED QUARTER (IF AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED (IF AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED (IF AND TECHNICATION  CONDUCTED (IF AN	
DESPONSIBLE SUBERVISOR  DATE  CONDUCTED GADR AND TECHNICA  6-9-03  DOCUMENTED IN BLOCK 16 OR AT  CROSS DISCIPLINE REVIEW (IF REV	
RESPONSIBLE SUPERVISOR  DATE  DATE  CONDUCTED GADR AND TECHNICA  DOCUMENTED IN BLOCK 16 OR AT  CROSS DISCIPLINE REVIEW (IF REC  BY SIGNATURE IN BLOCK 16 OR AT	ROVAL AUTHORITY

FORM NDAP-QA-0002-8, Rev. 8, Page 1 of 2 (Electronic Form)



### PROCEDURE CHANGE PROCESS FORM


1.	PCAF NO. 2005/450   2. PAGE 2 OF _3_	3. PROC. NO.	EP-PS-351	REV.	9		
11.	11. This question documents the outcome of the 50.59 and 72.48 Review required by NDAP-QA-0726. Either 11a, b, c or d <u>must</u> be checked "YES" and the appropriate form attached or referenced.						
	<ul> <li>This change is an Administrative Correction for which applicable.</li> </ul>	ch 50.59 and 72.48 a	are not	YES	⊠ N/A		
	<ul> <li>This change is a change to any surveillance, maintenance or administrative procedure for which 50.59 and 72.48 are not applicable.</li> </ul>			X YES	□ N/A		
	<ul> <li>This change is bounded by a 50.59/72.48 Screen/Evaluation, therefore, no new 50.59/72.48 Evaluation is required.</li> </ul>			YES	⊠ N/A		
[	Screen/Evaluation No.						
	<ul> <li>50.59 and/or 72.48 are applicable to this change and Screen/Evaluation is attached.</li> </ul>	d a 50.59/72.48		YES	⊠ N/A		
12.	2. This change is consistent with the FSAR or an FSAR change is required.			∑ YES			
13.	Change Request No.  Should this change be reviewed for potential effects on Training Needs or Material?  TYES NO NO NOTE: THE PROPERTY OF THE				⊠ ио		
14	Is a Surveillance Procedure Review Checklist required p		>	T YES	⊠ NO		
	·	•					
13.	15. Is a Special, Infrequent or Complex Test/Evolution Analysis Form required per YES NO NDAP-QA-0320? (SICT/E form does not need to be attached.)						
16. Reviews may be documented below or by attaching Document Review Forms NDAP-QA-0101-1.							
1			•				
RE	EVIEW	REVIEWED BY		DATE	<b>:</b>		
		·		DATE			
QA	DR	·		DATE	<b>!</b> 		
QA		·		DATE			
QA TE	DR	·		DATE			
QA TE	DR CHNICAL REVIEW	·		DATE			
QA TE RE	DR CHNICAL REVIEW ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *	·		DATE			
QA TE RE IST OP	DR CHNICAL REVIEW ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *	·		DATE			
QA TE- RE IST OP NU	DR CHNICAL REVIEW ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS * ** ERATIONS	·		DATE			
QA TE RE IST OP NU NU	DR CHNICAL REVIEW ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *  ** ERATIONS CLEAR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	·		DATE			
QA TE RE IST OP NU NU MA	DR CHNICAL REVIEW  ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *  ** ERATIONS CLEAR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CLEAR MODIFICATIONS	·		DATE			
QA TE RE IST OP NU NU MA HE	DR CHNICAL REVIEW  ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *  *** ERATIONS CLEAR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CLEAR MODIFICATIONS INTENANCE	·		DATE			
QA TE RE IST OP NU NU MA HE	DR CHNICAL REVIEW  ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *  ** ERATIONS CLEAR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CLEAR MODIFICATIONS INTENANCE ALTH PHYSICS	·		DATE			
QA TE RE IST OP NU NU MA HE NU CH	DR CHNICAL REVIEW  ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *  ** ERATIONS CLEAR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CLEAR MODIFICATIONS INTENANCE ALTH PHYSICS CLEAR TECHNOLOGY EMISTRY	·		5/30/			
QA TE RE IST OP NU NU MA HE NU CH	DR CHNICAL REVIEW  ACTOR ENGINEERING/NUCLEAR FUELS *  *** ERATIONS CLEAR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CLEAR MODIFICATIONS INTENANCE ALTH PHYSICS CLEAR TECHNOLOGY	TO COMMENTS  TO COMMENTS	S	5/34/			


PCAF # 2003-1450 PAGE 3 OF 3

#### PROCEDURE COVER SHEET

PPL SUSQUEHANNA, LLC   NUCLEAR DEPARTMENT PROCEDURE						
MOC News Manager: Emergency Plan Position Specific Instruction  EP-PS-351  Revision 9  Page 1 of 3						
QUALITY CLASSIFICATION:	APPROVAL CLASSIFICATION:					
☐ QA Program ☐ Non-QA Program	Plant □ Non-Plant □ Instruction					
EFFECTIVE	DATE: 12-13-2000					
PERIODIC REVIEW FREQU	JENCY: Two Year					
PERIODIC REVIEW PREQUENCY. 1wo Year  PERIODIC REVIEW DUE DATE: 1wo Year  1wo Year						
RECOMMENDED REVIEWS:						
All						
	•					
Procedure Owner: Nuclear Emergency Planning						
Responsible Supervisor: Prin	Responsible Supervisor: Primary Public Information manager					
Responsible FUM: Sup	Responsible FUM: Supervisor Nuclear Emergency Planning					
Responsible Approver:Ger	neral manager-Plant Support					

# EMERGENCY ORGANIZATION CONTROL ROOM





<sup>----</sup> Individuals may be located in the OSC, TSC, or Field.

<sup>\*</sup> Designates positions required for TSC activation.

#### **EOF ORGANIZATION**

