

**DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE PR 20****68FR09595**

**From:** Stan Robinson <stanrob@world.oberlin.edu>  
**To:** <secy@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Jun 30, 2003 5:59 PM  
**Subject:** Comments on Scoping Process

2541

To the Secretary of the NRC:

Here are my comments as an affected citizen on NRC's so-called scoping process for deregulation of nuclear waste treatment (Federal Register: Feb. 28, 2003 Vol. 68 No. 40 Pp. 9595-9602).

I need more radiation in my environment like I need a hole in the head.

**STOP TRYING TO DEREGULATE TREATMENT OF NUCLEAR WASTE. STOP TRYING TO AUTHORIZE DISPERSAL OF NUCLEAR WASTE. STOP TRYING TO COMPROMISE MY HEALTH FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF NUCLEAR WASTE PRODUCERS. START CARING ABOUT HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT.**

NRC should concentrate on identifying and requiring isolation, monitoring and management for the hazardous life of all nuclear waste. The goal should be to keep track of and isolate radioactivity and all materials contaminated with it, generated by nuclear power and weapons fuel chain industries, from the environment, workers, and all members of the public.

NRC and Agreement States should stop granting exemptions and allowing nuclear wastes to be treated like regular trash or recycled into the marketplace. Current releases should be halted. All releases should be tracked and records kept available to the public. NRC should improve its ability and public knowledge of detection capabilities and practices so as to be able to detect and prevent releases of any contamination.

I've been made aware of six scoping options, five for deregulation and the last one for reregulation and enhanced regulation. Only the last one is acceptable.

Sincerely,

Stan Robinson  
Wayland, MA 01778

DOCKETED  
USNRC

July 1, 2003 (4:45PM)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF