

B6985

426.1/PB/86/11/24 ✓

- 2 -

OFFICIAL CONCURRENCE AND DISTRIBUTION RECORD

MEMORANDUM FOR: Marie Page, Contract Administrator
Contract Administration Branch
Division of Contracts

FROM: Pauline Brooks, Project Officer
WMRP

SUBJECT: CONTRACT NO. NRC-02-81-026 FIN B6985) WM-86919

DATE: December 8, 1986

DISTRIBUTION

WM/SF 3426.1	NMSS RF	RBrowning, WM	MBell, WM
JBunting, WMPC	PJustus, WMGT	MKnapp, WMLU	JLinehan, WMRP
JGreeves, WMEG	CF	WMRP r/f	RBoyle
SCoplan	JKennedy	RJohnson	PHildenbrand
KStablein	RCook	PPrestholt	GGiaratana
PBrooks & r/f	PBR wo/enc	LPDR (B,N,S)w/o enc	

CONCURRENCES

ORGANIZATION/CONCUREE	INITIALS	DATE CONCURRED
WM/RP PBrooks	PPB conur	86/12/5
SCoplan	<u>SC concur</u>	86/12/8

8905220536 861208
NMSS SUBJ
B-6985

CF

yes 87010002(5)H

REC 4 MAR 87

12-9-86 B-L

11:37 B-M

OFFICIAL CONCURRENCE AND DISTRIBUTION RECORD

MEMORANDUM FOR: Marie Page, Contract Administrator
Contract Administration Branch
Division of Contracts

FROM: Pauline Brooks, Project Officer
WMRP

SUBJECT: CONTRACT NO. NRC -02-81-026 WITH CORSTAR RESEARCH, INC.
FIN B6985 (WM-86919)

DATE: DEC 8 1986

DISTRIBUTION

WM/SF 3426.1	NMSS RF	RBrowning, WM	MBell, WM
JBunting, WMPC	PJustus, WMGT	MKnapp, WMLU	JLinehan, WMRP
JGreeves, WMEG	WMRP r/f	CF	RBoyle
SCoplan	JKennedy	RJohnson	PHildenbrand
KStablein	RCook	PPrestholt	JGiarratana
PBrooks & r/f	PDR w/o enc	LPDR (B,N,S) wo/enc	

CONCURRENCES

ORGANIZATION/CONCUREE	INITIALS	DATE CONCURRED
WMRP PBrooks	<u>ppl</u>	86/12/5
WMRP SCoplan	<u>sc</u>	86/12/8

OFC	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
NAME	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
DATE :86/12/05	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

426.1/PB/86/11/24

- 1 -

DEC 8 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: Marie Page, Contract Administrator
Contract Administrative Branch
Division of Contracts

FROM: Pauline Brooks, Project Officer
Repository Projects Branch
Division of Waste Management

SUBJECT: CONTRACT NO. NRC-02-81-026 WITH CORSTAR RESEARCH, INC.

The completed evaluation of the CorSTAR proposal requested in your memorandum of November 3, 1986 is enclosed. For this evaluation, I used the checkoff form you sent under separate cover. Please contact me at extension 74797 if you have any questions.

~~Original Signed By:~~

Pauline Brooks, Project Officer
Repository Projects Branch
Division of Waste Management

Enclosure:
As stated

Enclosure

Cost Considerations

	Yes	No, with explanation be- low	Not Appli- cable
1. Are the labor categories proposed reasonable?	✓		
2. Are the personnel proposed qualified for their proposed labor categories?	✓		
3. Are the labor rates and hours proposed by labor category reasonable?	✓		
4. Is the total number of labor hours proposed reasonable?	✓		
5. Are the proposed amounts and prices for direct materials reasonable?	✓		
6. Are the subcontract hours and rates proposed reasonable and necessary?			
GeoTrans	✓*		
Acres	✓		
Gram, Inc	✓		

* Contractor may be able to negotiate with Subcontractor.

	Yes	No, with explanation be- low	Not Appli- cable
7. Are the consultant hours and rates proposed reasonable and necessary?	✓		
8. Is special testing and/or special equipment proposed necessary (contractor needs these items for the NRC contract and they are not generally applicable for the contractor's business) and are the amount and prices specified reasonable?			✓
9. Are the travel amounts proposed reasonable?	✓		
10. Are the other direct cost amounts necessary and reasonable in price and quantity?	✓		
11. Are the total proposed costs reasonable?	✓		

Technical Considerations

	Yes	No, with explanation below	Not Applicable
12. Is the technical proposal in exact accordance with the Statement of Work?	✓		
13. Is the technical approach reasonable?	✓		
14. Is the performance schedule proposed reasonable?	✓		
15. Should the technical proposal be accepted as submitted?	✓*		

* Yes, in terms of tasks to be done. Suggest new target dates for product completion be given as part of proposal. The products have been described in previous proposals and the schedule can be inferred from the last page of the package

General Considerations

	Yes	No, with explanation be- low	Not Appli- cable
16. Should the entire proposal be accepted as submitted?	✓*		
17. Does the contract type proposed, i.e., cost, fixed-price, time-and-material, labor-hour, etc., appear reasonable?	✓		

* See previous notes.

Dec 5, 1986
(Date)

Pauline P. Brooke
Project Officer

FROM Marie Page		DATE OF DOCUMENT 11/12/86	DATE RECEIVED 11/14/86	NO 1486-919
TO Pauline Brooks		CTR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MEMO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	REPORT <input type="checkbox"/>
		ORIG. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>
		ACTION NECESSARY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE <input type="checkbox"/>	DATE ANSWERED 11/17/86
		NO ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/>	BY 11/17/86
CLASSIF	POST OFFICE	FILE CODE: 426.1		
	REG. NO.			
DESCRIPTION (Must Be Unclassified)		REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY
Contract No. NRC-02-81-026 with Corstar Research, Inc. FIN 86985		John Linehan, RP	11/14	
		P. BROOKS	11/17	
ENCLOSURES				
REMARKS Closed 12/8/86 by memo to Marie Page Contracts Branch				

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

MAIL CONTROL FORM

FORM NRC 326
(1-75)