

104/RJW/82/09/21/0

- 1 -

SEP 21 1982

**WM-10**  
**PDR**  
 (Return to WM, 623-SS)

DISTRIBUTION

WMHT r/f  
 NMSS r/f  
 CF  
 JBMARTIN  
 REBROWNING  
 MBELL  
 PALTOMARE  
 HJMILLER  
 RWRIGHT & r/f  
 PDR

WMHT: ~~3104~~ 101

MEMORANDUM FOR: Topic Coordinators

Regis Boyle, WMHL  
 Robert Cook, WMHL  
 John Greeves, WMHT  
 Philip Justus, WMHT  
 Paul Prestholt, WMHT  
 Tilak Verma, WMHT

FROM: Robert J. Wright  
 High-Level Waste Technical  
 Development Branch  
 Division of Waste Management

SUBJECT: BWIP DOCUMENTS

In an effort to gather together the documents referenced in the SCR for BWIP, we are planning for Nancy Still and Jay Rhoderick to visit Richland next week.

Your help is needed in the following fashion: provide to Rhoderick, by COB Thursday September 23, a mark-up of the reference list for the chapter(s) assigned to you. The mark-up needs to identify the following:

- (a) Cited references that are available in offices on the fourth and sixth floors, excluding Room 623 which houses the BWIP library.
- (b) References that are generally available - e.g., textbooks, 10CFR60, etc.
- (c) Number of copies of references needed by your review group and your contractors.

Copies of the reference list are available from Paul Prestholt, if needed.

00031

OFC	: WMHT	: WMHT	:	:	:	:	:
NAME	: RWright:dm	: HJMiller	:	:	:	:	:
DATE	: 9/ /82	: 9/ /82	:	:	:	:	:

(F) (H)  
 86100 224

B210120359 B20921  
 PDR WASTE  
 WM-10 PDR

SEP 21 1982

Chapter responsibilities are shown on the attachment.

*Robert J. Wright*

Robert J. Wright  
High-Level Waste Technical  
Development Branch  
Division of Waste Management

cc: Michael Bell  
Hubert Miller  
Joseph Bunting  
Nancy Still  
Jay Rhoderick

OFC	:	WMHT	:	WMHT	:	:	:	:	:
NAME	:	RWright:dm	:	HJMiller	:	:	:	:	:
DATE	:	9/2/82	:	9/2/82	:	:	:	:	:

*Wright for*

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Responsibility</u>
1	Introduction	Project Manager (Wright)
2	Decision process for choosing an RRL	Institutional (Boyle)
3	Geologic description	Geologic stability (Prestholt)
4	Geoengineering	Design (Greeves)
5	Hydrogeology	Groundwater (Verma)
6	Geochemistry	Retardation (Justus)
<del>_____</del>		
<del>_____</del>		
7	Surface hydrology	Groundwater (Verma)
8	Climatology, meteorology and air quality	Institutional (Boyle)
9	Environmental, land use, socio-economic concerns	Institutional (Boyle)
10	Design	Design (Greeves)
11	Waste package	Waste package (Cook)
12	Performance assessment	Project Manager and Topic Coordinators
13, 14, 15	Issues, site character-	All
16, 17	ization plans and program, schedules	

---

OFC :	:	:	:	:	:	:
NAME :	:	:	:	:	:	:
DATE : 82/09/07	:	:	:	:	:	:

---

**Defense Communications Agency  
Defense Communications Agency  
Scientific Advisory Group**

**National Security Agency  
National Security Agency Advisory  
Board  
Public Cryptography Advisory  
Committee (PCAC)**

September 9, 1982.

M. S. Healy,

*OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer,  
Washington Headquarters Services,  
Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 82-25296 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-01-M

**Department of the Army**

**Bonus/Awards Schedule for Senior  
Executive Service (SES)**

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of the schedule of bonuses to be awarded senior executives in Department of the Army.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 17, 1982.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carol D. Smith, Chief, Senior Executive Service Office, Directorate of Civilian Personnel, Headquarters, Department of the Army, the Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310, (202) 697-2204.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with the general recommendations provided in the Office of Personnel Management's memo of 21 July 1980, the Department of the Army bonuses/are scheduled for payment prior to 31 October, 1982.

Carol D. Smith,  
Chief, SES Office.

[FR Doc. 82-25576 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

**Office of the Secretary**

**Armed Forces Epidemiological Board;  
Open Meeting**

1. In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Armed Forces  
Epidemiological Board

Date of Meeting: 5-8 October 1982

Time: 0830-1600—5 October 1982, 0830-  
1300—6 October 1982

Place: McCormick Facility, Parsons Island,  
Kent Island, Maryland

Proposed Agenda: Agenda items for the meeting include discussions concerning an overview of medical research and development, the Army chemical defense programs, schistosomiasis and leptospirosis chemoprophylaxis, venereal disease update, immune deficiency

syndromes in association with hepatitis B immunization, immunization schedules for select recruit populations, select subcommittee and respective military preventive medicine officer reports.

2. This meeting will be open to the public, but limited by space accommodations. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee. Interested persons wishing to participate should advise the Executive Secretary, DASG-AFEB, Room 2D455 Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20310.

Dated: September 3, 1982.

Robert F. Nikolewski,  
Col., USAF, BSC, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 82-25579 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

**Armed Forces Epidemiological Board,  
Subcommittee on Epidemiological  
Methods in Clinical Health Care  
Delivery Systems; Open Meeting**

1. In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Armed Forces  
Epidemiological Board Subcommittee on  
Epidemiological Methods in Clinical Health  
Care Delivery Systems

Date of Meeting: 4 October 1982

Time: 1300

Place: McCormick Facility, Parsons Island,  
Kent Island, Maryland.

Proposed Agenda: Epidemiological methods  
in clinical health care-delivery systems.

2. This meeting will be open to public, but limited by space accommodations. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee. Interested persons wishing to participate should advise the Executive Secretary, DASG-AFEB, Room 2D455 Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310.

Dated: September 3, 1982.

Robert F. Nikolewski,  
Col., USAF, BSC, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 82-25577 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

**Armed Forces Epidemiological Board,  
Subcommittee on Disease Control;  
Open Meeting**

1. In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Armed Forces  
Epidemiological Board Subcommittee on  
Disease Control

Date of Meeting: 4 October 1982

Time: 1300

Place: McCormick Facility, Parsons Island,  
Kent Island, Maryland

Proposed Agenda: Current immunization requirements for recruit populations and other active duty personnel; recent trends in surveillance and control penicillinase producing *Neisseria Gonorrhoeae* (PPNG).

2. This meeting will be open to the public, but limited by space accommodations. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee. Interested persons wishing to participate should advise the Executive Secretary, DASG-AFEB, Room 2D455 Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310.

Dated: September 3, 1982.

Robert F. Nikolewski,  
Col., USAF, BSC, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 82-25578 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Finding of No Significant Impact and  
Notice of Availability of Environmental  
Assessment for the Conduct of  
Detailed Site Through the  
Construction of an Exploratory Shaft  
on the Hanford Site**

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Finding of no significant impact and notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy has prepared an Environmental Assessment on the construction of an exploratory shaft on its Hanford Site in Benton County, Washington. Based on the findings presented in the Environmental Assessment, which is available to the public on request, the Department of Energy has determined that the proposed action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and, therefore, no environmental impact statement is required.

The proposed action is to conduct detailed site studies on the Hanford Site through the construction of an exploratory shaft. The purpose of this action is to gather additional, more detailed data about the site to aid in the evaluation of the suitability of the site for use as a nuclear waste repository.

Four basic alternatives to this proposed action were considered: (1) Take no action, i.e., cessation of all activities leading to the selection of a site on the Hanford Site for detailed

00030

Tuesday, October 19, 1982, 7:30-10:30 p.m.,  
Carson Auditorium, Adams State College,  
Alamosa, Colorado  
Wednesday, October 20, 1982, 7:30-10:30 p.m.,  
Salida High School Auditorium,  
Salida, Colorado  
Thursday, October 21, 1982, 7:30-10:30 p.m.,  
Centennial III Room, Holiday Inn North,  
Colorado Springs, Colorado

A brochure containing a map and information on each of the proposals for the Wilderness Study Areas may be obtained from the Forest Supervisor, Pike and San Isabel National Forests, 1920 Valley Drive, Pueblo, Colorado 81008.

Individuals and organizations may express their views by appearing at any of these hearings or may submit written comments for inclusion in the official record to the Forest Supervisor at the above address. To be included in the official record, written comments must be received by November 20, 1982.

F. Dale Robertson,  
*Associate Chief.*

September 13, 1982.

[FR Doc. 82-25502 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 40962]

### Lone Star Certificate Amendment and Transfer Case; Assignment of Proceeding

This proceeding has been assigned to Administrative Law Judge William A. Kane, Jr. Future communications should be addressed to him.

Dated at Washington, D.C., September 10, 1982.

Elias C. Rodriguez,  
*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc. 82-25567 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Docket 40948]

### Northwest Airlines, Inc. Enforcement Proceeding; Assignment of Proceeding

This proceeding has been assigned to Administrative Law Judge Ronnie A. Yoder. Future communications should be addressed to him.

Dated at Washington, D.C., September 13, 1982.

Elias C. Rodriguez,  
*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc. 82-25568 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council and its Shrimp Subpanel; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, established by Section 302 of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Pub. L. 94-265), has established a Shrimp Subpanel. The Council and its Shrimp Subpanel will hold separate public meetings as follows:

#### AGENDAS:

*Council*—Review status reports on the development of fishery management plans; consider foreign fishing applications, if any, as well as discuss other fishery management business.  
*Shrimp Subpanel*—Discuss the proposed amendment to the Shrimp Fishery Management Plan to allow for a flexible opening of the Tortugas shrimp sanctuary.

DATES: The Council meeting will convene on Thursday, October 7, 1982, at approximately 1:30 p.m., and will adjourn at 5 p.m.; reconvene on Friday, October 8, 1982, at approximately 8:30 a.m., and adjourn at noon. The Shrimp Subpanel meeting will convene on Wednesday, October 6, 1981, at approximately 8 a.m., and will adjourn at approximately noon. The public meetings will take place at the Barclay Airport Inn, 5303 West Kennedy Boulevard, Tampa, Florida.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, Lincoln Center, Suite 881, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Tampa, Florida 33609, Telephone: (813) 228-2815.

Dated: September 13, 1982.

Jack L. Falls,

*Chief, Administrative Support Staff, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 82-25568 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Renewal of Advisory Committees

Under the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463, Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that the Department of Defense Advisory Committees listed below have been found to be in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of Defense by law.

### Office of the Secretary of Defense

Advisory Group on Electron Devices  
Board of Visitors, Defense Systems Management College  
Defense Advisory Committee on Military Personnel Testing  
Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services  
Defense Science Board  
DoD Wage Committee  
Defense Policy Advisory Committee for Trade Policy Matters (DPAC)

### Organization of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

Board of Visitors, National Defense University, and Defense Intelligence School  
Scientific Advisory Group for the Joint Strategic Target Planning Staff

### Army

Armed Forces Epidemiological Board  
Army Advisory Panel on ROTC Affairs  
Army Science Board  
U.S. Military Academy Board of Visitors  
Command and General Staff College Advisory Board  
Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee  
Environmental Advisory Board, Chief of Engineers  
National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice  
Scientific Advisory Board, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology  
U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Board  
U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Advisory Committee

### Navy

U.S. Naval Academy Board of Visitors  
Chief of Naval Operations Executive Panel Advisory Committee  
Naval Research Advisory Committee  
Naval Resale System Advisory Committee  
Secretary of the Navy's Advisory Board on Education and Training  
Secretary of the Navy's Advisory Committee on Naval History

### Air Force

Advisory Committee on the Air Force Historical Program  
Air University Board of Visitors  
U.S. Air Force Academy Board of Visitors  
Community College of the Air Force Advisory Committee  
USAF Scientific Advisory Board  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
Scientific Advisory Group on Effects  
Defense Intelligence Agency  
Defense Intelligence Agency Advisory Committee

study; (2) use alternative site characterization methods, i.e., attempt to characterize the site using methods other than an exploratory shaft; (3) delay the action, and (4) select an alternative site.

The impact of the proposed action are primarily due to the disturbance of the land surface in the immediate vicinity of the site. The major impact, as noted in the environmental assessment, will be the selective clearing and grading of eight hectares (20 acres) of land. Over half of the plants in this area will be destroyed and all the animals will be displaced. Biological monitoring has shown that no threatened or endangered species are resident on the site. Other impacts include blowing dust due to wind erosion of disturbed soil; wet suppression of dust during construction of roadways and parking lots will be followed by surfacing with gravel. Another effect which will result from the accumulation of drill cuttings and mined rock are spoil piles. Possible mechanisms by which spoil piles can cause environmental damage are by dust emissions, chemical leaching, and mechanical slip or collapse. Existing dust-suppression techniques, which have proven effective, will be used to keep emissions from the spoil piles within applicable limits. Measures are also planned to mitigate the effects of chemical leaching and mechanical slip or collapse.

Based on its evaluation of the impacts of the proposed actions, which are summarized above and presented in detail in the Environmental Assessment, the Department of Energy concludes that the proposed action is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.

**AVAILABILITY:** Copies of the Environmental Assessment are available from: U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, P.O. Box 550, Richland, Washington 99352, Telephone 509-376-720.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
On the project: Mr David Squires, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, P.O. Box 500, Richland, Washington 99352.  
Telephone: 509-376-7240

On the NEPA Process: Dr. Robert J. Stern, Office of Environmental Compliance, U.S. Department of

Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585.

Telephone: 202-252-4600

Issue date: September 7, 1981.

William A. Vaughan,

Assistant Secretary, Environmental Protection, Safety and Emergency Preparedness.

[FR Doc. 82-25339 Filed 9-15-82; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

### Economic Regulatory Administration

[Docket No. ERA-FC-82-020; OFP Case No. 61044-9222-21-22]

#### Acceptance of Petition for Exemption and Availability of Certification by Augusta Kraft Company

AGENCY: Economic Regulatory Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On July 21, 1982 Augusta Kraft Company, hereinafter referred to as petitioner, filed a petition with the Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) requesting a permanent exemption for certain fuel mixtures from the prohibitions of Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.) ("FUA" or "the Act"). Title II of FUA prohibits the use of petroleum and natural gas as a primary energy source in certain new major fuel burning installations (MFBI's). Final rules setting forth criteria and procedures for petitioning for exemptions from the prohibitions of Title II of FUA were published in the Federal Register at 46 FR 59872 (December 7, 1981). Eligibility and evidentiary requirements governing the permanent fuel mixtures exemption are contained in 10 CFR 503.38.

The proposed MFBI for which the petition is filed is a boiler (designated as Unit #1), burning a mixture of woodwaste and No. 6 fuel oil and/or natural gas to be installed at the petitioner's proposed pulp and paper mill near Augusta, Georgia.

ERA has determined that the petition appears to include sufficient evidence to support an ERA determination, and is therefore accepted pursuant to 10 CFR § 501.3. Pursuant to this section, ERA retains the right to request additional information from the petitioner at any time during the course of these proceedings. A review of the petition is provided in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below.

As provided for in sections 701(c) and (d) of FUA and 10 CFR 501.31 and 501.33, interested persons are invited to submit written comments in regard to

this petition and any interested person may submit a written request that ERA convene a public hearing.

The public file containing a copy of this Notice of Acceptance and Availability of Certification as well as other documents and supporting material on this proceeding are available upon request through the DOE Freedom of Information Reading Room, Room 1E-190, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ERA will issue a final order granting or denying the petition for exemption from the prohibitions of the Act within six months after the end of the period for public comment and hearing, unless ERA extends such period. Notice of any such extension, together with a statement of reasons therefor, would be published in the Federal Register.

**DATE:** Written comments or a request for public hearing concerning this petition are due on or before November 1, 1982.

**ADDRESS:** Fifteen copies of written comments or a request for a public hearing shall be submitted to: Department of Energy, Economic Regulatory Administration, Office of Fuels Programs, Case Control Unit, Room GA-093, Forrestal Bldg., 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585.

Docket No. ERA-FC-82-020 should be printed on the outside of the envelope and the document contained therein.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William H. Freeman, Office of Fuels Programs, Economic Regulatory Administration, Forrestal Bldg., Room GA-093, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585, Phone (202) 252-2993

Allan J. Stein, Esq., Office of General Counsel, Department of Energy, Forrestal Bldg., Room 6B-178, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585, Phone (202) 252-2967

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title II of the Act prohibits the use of natural gas and petroleum as a primary energy source in certain new MFBI's unless an exemption from the prohibitions has been granted by ERA. The petitioner proposes to install a boiler at its proposed pulp and paper mill near Augusta, Georgia. Unit #1 will produce 240,000 pounds of steam per hour at 875 PSI pressure and 825 degrees Fahrenheit, and will have a designed heat input rate of  $383.88 \times 10^6$  Btu per hour while output will be  $378.38 \times 10^6$  Btu per hour. The primary purpose of the

boiler is to supply steam to operate a turbine-generator and to be used in the pulp and paper-making process. The primary energy source will be a mixture of woodwaste and natural gas and/or No. 6 fuel oil, the amounts of the latter fuels not to exceed twenty-five percent (25%) of the total Btu input of the installation on an annual basis.

Section 212(d) of the Act provides for a permanent exemption for certain fuel mixtures. In accordance with 10 CFR 503.38(d) of the final rule, the petitioner has provided the following:

(1) A duly executed certification stating that the amount of petroleum or natural gas proposed to be used as a primary energy source in the mixture will not exceed twenty-five percent (25%) of the total annual Btu heat input of the installation; and (2) the environmental certifications as required under 10 CFR 503.13(b).

#### NEPA Compliance

In processing this exemption, ERA will comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Council on Environmental Quality's implementing regulations 40 CFR 1500 *et seq.*, and DOE guidelines implementing those regulations (45 FR 20694, March 28, 1980). NEPA compliance may involve the preparation of (1) an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS); (2) an Environmental Assessment; or (3) a memorandum to the file finding that the grant of the requested exemption would not be considered a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the environment. If an EIS is determined to be required, ERA will publish a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS in the Federal Register as soon as practicable. No final action will be taken on the exemption petition until NEPA compliance has been completed.

The acceptance of the petition by ERA does not constitute a determination that the petitioner is entitled to the exemption requested. That determination will be based on the entire record of these proceedings, including any comments received during the public comment period provided for in this notice.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on September 10, 1982.

James W. Workman,  
Director, Office of Fuels Programs, Economic  
Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 82-25500 Filed 9-15-82; 8:48 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP82-478-000]

**ANR Michigan Storage Co.; Application**  
September 10, 1982.

Take notice that on August 10, 1982, ANR Michigan Storage Company (Applicant), One Woodward Avenue, Detroit, Michigan 48226, filed in Docket No. CP82-478-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing a gas storage service for ANR Storage Company (ANR) for the account of Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), and to develop and operate certain gas storage fields and appurtenant facilities, to drill and operate certain wells, and to construct and operate certain pipeline facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to enter into a gas storage agreement with ANR providing that during the 1984 and subsequent Summer Periods (April 1 through October 31), ANR would deliver to Applicant for storage for the account of Transco up to 20,000,000 Mcf of natural gas at rates up to 150,000 Mcf of gas per day at a proposed interconnection of the facilities of ANR and Applicant in Grand Traverse County, Michigan (Whitewater Delivery Point). The storage agreement would further provide that during the 1984-85 and subsequent Winter Periods (November 1 through March 31), Applicant would redeliver to ANR at the Whitewater Delivery Point thermally equivalent volumes of gas at daily rates up to 300,000 Mcf reduced by a volume of gas for compressor fuel usage equal to 0.3 percent of the volumes so redelivered. Applicant indicates that the term of the storage agreement with ANR would be for twenty years commencing on April 1, 1984, or such other date as specified in the storage agreement.

In order to render this gas storage service, Applicant proposes to develop and operate the Whitewater 36/36A Gas Storage Field in Whitewater Township and the Union 8/8A Gas Storage Field in Union Township, principally in Grand Traverse County, Michigan. It is stated that these fields are presently under production for natural gas, but are substantially depleted and would be acquired by Applicant prior to conversion to gas storage. Applicant states that it would acquire all necessary oil and gas leases, property

interests, storage and mineral rights and the gas producing properties in these fields. It is further stated that when developed, these fields would have a total working storage capacity of 20,000,000 Mcf.

In order to develop and operate the storage fields described above, Applicant proposes, at the Whitewater 36/36A Field, to rehabilitate two existing wells, to drill and complete ten new gas wells, and to construct a gathering system and appurtenances, including a 3.0-mile 16-inch pipeline. At the Union 8/8A Field, Applicant proposes to rehabilitate two existing wells, to drill and complete nine new gas wells, and to construct a gathering system and appurtenances, including a 3.5-mile 16-inch pipeline. Finally, Applicant proposes to construct and operate a 12,000 horsepower compressor station, along with gas heating and regulating facilities and facilities for the removal of water and liquid hydrocarbons which may be present in the gas during withdrawal operations.

The total cost of the proposed facilities is estimated at \$53,845,000 to be financed initially through equity contributions and short-term loans, such loans to be repaid with the proceeds from long-term debt securities of Applicant.

Applicant asserts the instant application is a companion filing to the one made by ANR in Docket No. CP82-420-000, and to filings made by Transco in Docket No. CP82-125-000, as amended, and Docket No. CP82-385-000. It is stated that there are also applications by Transco before the Canadian National Energy Board to export and the Department of Energy's Economic Regulatory Administration to import, certain volumes of gas, which include the storage volumes herein discussed. It is further stated that an application has been filed by Great Lakes Gas Transmission Company in Docket No. CP82-428-000 to transport gas for Transco and one would be filed by Michigan Consolidated Gas Company to transport gas for ANR for the account of Transco.

Applicant submits that the proposed storage service is and would be required by the present and future public convenience and necessity in that Transco needs additional storage service to meet the peak day and winter period requirements of its customers during the 1984-1985 and subsequent heating seasons.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before October 1, 1982, file with the Federal Energy