

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station

June 23, 2003 NMP1L 1742

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Nine Mile Point Unit 1 Docket No. 50-220 License No. DPR-63

> Licensee Event Report 03-001, "Technical Specification Cooldown Rate Exceeded During Required Cooldown for a Failed Solenoid Actuated Pressure Relief Valve"

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), we are submitting Licensee Event Report (LER) 03-001, "Technical Specification Cooldown Rate Exceeded During Required Cooldown for a Failed Solenoid Actuated Pressure Relief Valve."

Very truly yours,

Lawrence A. Hopkins Plant General Manager

LAH/KLE/jm Attachment

cc: Mr. H. J. Miller, NRC Regional Administrator, Region I Mr. G. K. Hunegs, NRC Senior Resident Inspector

(1-2001)							APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 7-31-2004								
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)						Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 E6), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to bjs1@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.									
FACILITY NAME (1) Nine Mile Point, Unit 1						DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000220				PAGE (3) 1 OF 4					
TITLE (4)								·····							
Technical S Relief Valve		ion Coc	oldown	Rate Exce	eded	During	Req	uired Co	old	lown for a F	ailed S	olenoid	Actuated	Pressure	
EVEN	T DATE (5)		LE	R NUMBER (6)		REPO	PORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					
MO	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	MO	DAY		FAI	CILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER		
04	22	2003	2003	- 001 -	00	06	23	2003	FA	CILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER 05000		<u> </u>	
OPERAT	ING			THIS REPORT	15 80	BMITTED	PURSI	IANT TO TH	IE R	EQUIREMENTS	OF 10 CF			DM) (11)	
MODE		[	[												
		1	20.2201(b) 20.2203(			(a)(3)(i	1)(3)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(b)(A)					
POWER LEV	POWER LEVEL (10)		20.2201(d) 20.2203(			(a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(x)					
000		023			50.36(c)	(1)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)		)(A)	73.71(a)(4)						
			20.2	203(a)(2)(i)		50.36(c)			50.73(a)(2)(V)(A)		(A)	73.71(a)(5)			
				203(a)(2)(ii) 50.36(c)						50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)		OTHER			
				203(a)(2)(iii)		50.46(a)			50.73(a)(2)(V)(C)			Specify in Abstract below or in			
				203(a)(2)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(V)(D)		NRC Form 366A			
				203(a)(2)(v)	X	50.73(a)			L	50.73(a)(2)(vi					
				203(a)(2)(vi)		50.73(a)			L_	50.73(a)(2)(vi					
			20.2	203(a)(3)(i)		50.73(a)				50.73(a)(2)(vii	i)(B)				
			<u></u>				ONT/	ACT FOR	_	S LER (12)		da			
NAME Kenneth L. Embry, Licensing Engineer									TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 315-349-1518						
		COMPL	ETE ON	E LINE FOR I	EACH	COMPO	NENT	FAILURE	DE	SCRIBED IN T			)		
CAUSE	SYSTEM		PONENT	MANU- FACTURER		PORTABLE CAUSE			SYSTEM COMPONI		ONENT	MANU- FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX		
D SB switch G080 Y															
	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)								EXPECT SUBMISS DATE (	SION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		
YES (If ye	YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					XN	0			-					
ABSTRACT (L	imit to 140	0 spaces	i.e., app	roximately 15	single	-spaced ty	pewrit	ten lines)	(16)					La <u></u> an	

On April 21, 2003, Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1), having recently ended a refueling outage, was at low power preparing for technical specification (TS) required testing of six solenoid-actuated pressure relief valves (also referred to as Electromatic Relief Valves or ERVs). At 2117 with power approximately 23 percent, solenoid-actuated pressure relief valve, ERV-111, failed to open during testing. TS 3.1.5.a requires that all six ERVs be operable whenever the reactor coolant pressure is greater than 110 psig. At 2117 the action statement of TS 3.1.5.b was entered. After the remaining five ERVs were satisfactorily tested, NMP1 began a shutdown at 2230. The reactor was subcritical at 0055 on April 22, 2003. NMP1 exited the action statement at 0250. During the cooldown, the TS cooldown limit of 100 degrees Fahrenheit (F) in one hour was marginally exceeded (101 degrees F in one hour) for approximately three minutes in two of four loops. An engineering evaluation of the cooldown concluded that Appendix G requirements were not violated and that the structural integrity of the reactor pressure vessel was not compromised.

The ERV-111 failure was due to high resistance in its associated solenoid cut-out switch contacts. An inadequate preventive maintenance (PM) procedure did not specify measuring the contact resistance, hence the contact resistance increased unnoticed until the failure. Exceeding the cooldown limit occurred because the shutdown procedure did not adequately identify steam loads that should be secured to prevent exceeding the cooldown limit when decay heat values are low.

Corrective actions for the ERV failure include replacing the solenoid valve for ERV-111, modifying the PM procedure, and testing the resistance of the cut-out switch contacts on the remaining five ERVs. The corrective actions to address exceeding the cooldown rate are modifying the shutdown procedure and providing training on the event.

The test failure of ERV-111 is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(a), in that the failure resulted in a TS required shutdown. Exceeding the TS cooldown limit of 100 degrees F in one hour is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as operation prohibited by the technical specifications.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)			
	Nine Mile Point, Unit 1	05000220	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF	4	
			2002	- 003 -	00			
JA	RRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copic	es of NRC Form 366A)	(17)					
	Description of Event		()					
	On April 21, 2003, Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (I preparing for technical specification (TS) re referred to as Electromatic Relief Valves o testing of the six ERVs began. At 2117 sol manual switch was taken to the open positi whenever the reactor coolant pressure is g saturation temperature, all six solenoid-act met, TS 3.1.5.b requires that "the reactor of to 110 psig or less and saturation temperative when ERV-111 failed to open. The testing 2212. At 2230, NMP1 began the TS require on April 22, 2003 NMP1 exited TS 3.1.5.b. cooldown rate, as determined by reactor of	equired testing of s r ERVs). At 2056 lenoid-actuated pr ion. TS 3.1.5.a rec reater than 110 ps uated pressure rel coolant pressure and ture or less, respect of the remaining le red shutdown. At During the coold	six solenoid with power essure relia sig and the lief valves and the read ctively, with ERVs conti 0055 the re own and de	d-actuated pres r approximately ef valve, ERV- "During power reactor coolan shall be operal tor coolant ten hin ten hours." inued and was eactor was brou epressurization	ssure relie y 23 perce 111, failed operating t temperat ole." If TS operature TS 3.1.5. satisfactor ught sub-c to less the	f valves (al: nt, TS requ to open wh condition ture is great 3.1.5.a is r shall be red b was enter rily complet ritical and a an 110 psig	so ired hen the ter than not uced ed ed at t 0250	
	exceeded 100 degrees Fahrenheit (F) per 1 NMP1 has 6 ERVs, 3 on each main steam (ADS). Each ERV discharges to the supprime ans for depressurizing the reactor coola The ERVs are pilot operated valves (Dress valve. For ERV-111 the solenoid operated General Electric). A red indicating light, why valve.	line. The ERVs a ression chamber. Int system, allowin ser Industries mod I pilot valve is SO hen illuminated, sl	In the ever Ig coolant i el 1525-VX V-01-102A hows that ti	nt of a small lin njection by the (). Energizing ( (model CR950 he solenoid ha	e break, ti core spra a solenoid 03-213C m s stroked t	ne ERVs pro y system. opens the nanufacture o open the	ovide a pilot d by pilot	
	The ERV test (N1-ST-C2) consists of manuresultant system conditions. When the swi did not illuminate, which indicated that the data collected downstream of ERV-111 did	itch for ERV-111 v SOV did not strok	vas taken t e. Additio	o the open pos nally, temperat	ition, the r	ed Indicatin	ig light	
<b></b>	The solenoid contains two operating coils, switch bypasses the high resistance coil w opens the cut-out switch and places the hig Troubleshooting identified that high resista actuating.	hen the solenoid is gh resistance coil i	s not energ In series wi	ized. Moverne th the low resis	ent of the s stance coil	olenoid am	nature	
	During cooldown and depressurization, coo the allowed maximum cooldown rate of 10 loops was 101 degrees F in one hour and t two operating loops the cooldown rates we cooldown rate was reduced to less than 10	0 degrees F in one his cooldown rate re 99 degrees F in	e hour. The lasted for a one hour a	e largest coold approximately and 100 degree	own in eitl three minu es F in one	her of these utes. In the e hour. The	two other	
1.	Cause of Event							
	The cause of the ERV failure to open was which limited coil current and prevented th inadequate preventive maintenance proce cleaning the contacts, a measurement of c	e SOV from opera dure. Although the	ating. The e preventiv	cause of the hive his the his of	gh resista	nce was an	102A	

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)										
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)				
Nine Mil	e Point, Unit 1	05000220	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3	OF	4			
			2002	- 003 -	00						

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

## II. Cause of Event

The cause of exceeding the maximum allowed cooldown of 100 degrees in one hour, in two of four recirculation loops was due to procedural inadequacy. The shutdown procedure, N1-OP-43C, did not provide sufficient guidance to promptly secure steam loads to prevent exceeding a cooldown of 100 degrees F, when cooling down with low decay heat loads. A contributing cause was ineffective corrective action. A similar event occurred in May 1997, immediately following a refueling outage. A planned scram from 18 percent power resulted in a cooldown of 86 degrees F in a one hour period. Since the scram was from low power following a refueling outage, the decay heat load was low. An evaluation concluded that the plant response was to be expected for the operating conditions. The previous corrective action was not adequate to preclude recurrence.

## III. Analysis of Event

The TS required shutdown of NMP1 resulting from the failure of ERV-111 is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) as a shutdown required by technical specifications. Additionally, the cooldown rate in excess of the TS allowed maximum of 100 degrees F per hour in two of the four operating recirculation loops, is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as operation prohibited by Technical Specifications. TS 3.2.2, Minimum Reactor Vessel Temperature For Pressurization, specifies that during reactor vessel heatup and cooldown when the reactor is critical, the reactor vessel temperature and pressure shall satisfy the requirements of Figures 3.2.2.c and 3.2.2.d. Figure 3.2.2.d, Cooldown – Core Critical, is a plot of maximum reactor pressure versus reactor vessel beltline downcomer water temperature and is based upon cooling rates of less than or equal to 100 degrees F in one hour. Figure 3.2.2.d specifies that temperature is measured at the recirculation loop suction. Since the cooling rate measured at the recirculation suction for two of the recirculation loops marginally exceeded 100 degrees in one hour, the basis of Figure 3.2.2.d was not met.

Operation of three ERVs is sufficient to depressurize the primary system to 110 psig, which will permit full flow of the core spray system within required time limits. Five of the six ERVs satisfactorily passed their surveillance test. Therefore five ERVs were operable, providing sufficient depressurization capability.

A qualitative risk evaluation concluded that, based on the risk achievement worth, ERV-111 failing to open was of low risk significance.

Engineering evaluated the impact of the cooldown with the following considerations:

- 1. Reactor coolant temperature is used to define vessel inner diameter (ID) temperature.
- 2. The thermal analysis assumes adiabatic conditions on the vessel outer diameter (OD) and very high heat transfer coefficient on the vessel ID.
- 3. Realistic vessel heat transfer coefficients will create a lag time between vessel coolant and vessel ID surface conditions.

A review of the heat transfer coefficients assumed in the analysis compared to realistic values indicated that sufficient lag time exists such that the vessel inner surface would not exceed the cooldown limit of 100 degrees F in one hour, given that the coolant cooldown rate reached 101 degrees F in a one hour period for a maximum of 3 minutes. Additionally, vessel OD surface thermal couple data confirmed that the vessel OD surface temperature change was approximately 50 degrees F coincident with the recirculation suction temperature change of 100 degrees F in one hour. The OD temperature data confirmed that significant margin relative to the assumed 100-degree through-wall thermal gradient remained. The Engineering evaluation concluded that the cooldown of the vessel Inner surface did not exceed 100 degrees F in one hour, 10 CFR 50 Appendix G requirements were not violated, and the overall structural integrity of the reactor vessel was not compromised.

Based on the above, the failure of ERV-111 and subsequent cooldown did not pose a threat to the health and safety of plant personnel or the public.

NECTORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)						
Nine Mile Point, Unit 1	NUMBER (2) 05000220	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	4 OF 4						
		2002 003 00							
NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copie	s of NRC Form 366A)	(17)							
IV. <u>Corrective Actions</u>									
1. Replaced the SOV for ERV-111.									
<ol> <li>Measured cut-out switch contact resistance and inspected contact coating for the remaining five ERVs and cleaned contacts as necessary.</li> </ol>									
3. The ERV preventive maintenance procedure was revised to include contact resistance measurement.									
4. Revised procedure N1-OP-43C, Plant control cooldown rate.									
5. Operator training will be provided on the	5. Operator training will be provided on this event, including actions to address excessive cooldown								
6. Initiatives are underway to improve the effectiveness of the corrective action program, as a result of previously identified weaknesses in the corrective action program									
V. Additional Information									
1. Failed Components: SOV-01-102A Model CR9503-213C									
2. Previous similar events: Licensee Event Report (LER) 00-005 discusses a loss of secondary containment due to an inadequate procedure for checking track bay doors closed. The corrective actions are specific to the event. LER 00- 002 discusses an instance in which a service water check valve failed a surveillance test due to inadequate preventive maintenance. The corrective actions were specific to check valves. The corrective actions for the events discussed above would not have prevented the ERV-111 failure or exceeding the TS allowed maximum cooldown of 100 degrees F in one hour.									
3. Identification of components referred to in this Licensee Event Report:									
<u>Components</u>	EEE 805 System	ID IEEE 803A Fur	oction						
Core Spray Automatic Depressurization System Reactor Coolant System Main Steam System Vessel Valve Solenoid Coil Switch Contacts	BM SB AD SB AD SB SB SB SB SB	N/A N/A N/A N/A RPV V, R' SOL CL N/A N/A							

\_\_\_\_

ž