



Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

86 JUL -7 P11:13 JUL 02 1986

Mr. Robert E. Browning
Director, Division of Waste Management
Mail Stop 623-SS
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

WM Record File

109.1

WM Project

Docket No.

PDR

LPDR

Distribution:

REB MJB

JOB RDM

(Return to WM, 623-SS)

Boyle

CFR

DEM

Still

Linehan

GWKerr

Stablain

Lilley

Bilhorn

Stablain

Johnson

Johnson

Johnson

Dear Mr. Browning:

As confirmed in discussions with Mr. William Lilley and Mr. Timothy Johnson on June 20 and 26, 1986, the NRC-DOE meeting on Waste Acceptance and Waste Acceptance Preliminary Specifications (WAPS) for Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) and West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) will be held on July 31, 1986. The meeting will convene at 8:30 a.m. in Room 1E-245 of the Forrestal Building, located at 1000 Independence Avenue, Washington, DC. The topics to be discussed at the meeting are shown on the attached agenda which was discussed with and agreed upon with your staff. The purpose of the meeting is to:

- 1) provide an opportunity for DOE to present the waste acceptance process and WAPS for DWPF and WVDP,
2) provide an opportunity for NRC, States, and Indian Tribes to discuss their comments with DOE, and
3) to identify possible future interactions with NRC on waste acceptance.

We will be providing to our State and Indian Tribe contacts a copy of this letter, the attachments to Ralph Stein's April 16, 1986, letter to you, and the NRC comments transmitted to us by letter on December 16, 1985 and by fax on June 30, 1986.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me or Ralph Stein on 252-5355.

Sincerely,

[Handwritten signature of James P. Knight]

James P. Knight, Director
Siting, Licensing and Quality Assurance Division
Office of Geologic Repositories
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

8607110329 860702
PDR WASTE
WM-1 PDR

Attachment

AGENDA
July 31, 1986

NRC - DOE MEETING ON WASTE ACCEPTANCE

Forrestal Building
Room 1E-245

- | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|
| o Introduction | DOE, NRC | 8:30 a.m. |
| o Presentation on the DOE
Waste Acceptance Process (WAP) | DOE | 8:45 a.m. |
| o Comments on the DOE WAP | NRC
States
Indian Tribes | 9:15 a.m. |
| o Discussion/Resolution of
Comments | All | 9:45 a.m. |
| o Presentation on the Waste
Acceptance Preliminary
Specifications (WAPS) | DOE | 10:30 a.m. |
| o Comments on WAPS | NRC
States
Indian Tribes | 11:15 a.m. |
| o LUNCH | | 12:00 noon |
| o Comments on WAPS (cont'd.) | NRC
States
Indian Tribes | 1:00 p.m. |
| o Discussion/Resolution of
Comments | All | 1:30 p.m. |
| o Future DOE/NRC Interactions | DOE, NRC | 3:00 p.m. |
| o Agreements | DOE, NRC | 3:30 p.m. |

2 packet 1 for encl.

WM Record File 109.1 WM Project 1
 Docket No. _____
 PDR
 LPDR
 Distribution: _____

 (Return to WM, 623-SS)

MAY 80 1986

109.1/EAW/5/20/86

- 1 -

MEMORANDUM FOR: John J. Linehan, Acting Chief
 Repository Projects Branch

FROM: John T. Greeves, Chief
 Engineering Branch

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO DOE ON WAPS for WVDP AND DWPF

This is in reply to WMRP's request that we review DOE's Draft Waste Acceptance Preliminary Specifications (WAPS) for the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) High Level Waste Form (OGR/B-9), April 1986 and Waste Acceptance Preliminary Specifications for the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) High-Level Waste Form (OGR/B-8), April 1986.

We have reviewed these two documents and our three main comments are presented below.

Our first main comment is that these specifications are very general rather than specific. The specifications do not address any key issues regarding the durability of the waste form. The Draft Waste Acceptance Preliminary Specifications (WAPS), therefore, appear to be primarily an outline of information that is to be supplied at a later, unspecified date by the waste form producer and/or by the repository project. In this sense WAP is an administrative document that identifies topics or attributes that must be addressed by the waste form producer in the Waste Form Compliance Plan (WCP) and in the Waste Form Qualification Report (WQR). For example, the WAPS state that at the time of publication the test procedures and acceptance criteria for Specification 1.3, Radionuclide Release Properties, were not available (these procedures are being developed along with each project's Site Characterization Plan and depend upon site-specific performance allocations for the waste form. These procedures and acceptance criteria will be added to the specifications when they become available). We feel that these procedures and criteria are the most important parts of the description of the waste form. We, therefore, do not understand how DOE can proceed meaningfully without them.

We continue to encourage DOE to complete the six activity items recommended in our letter of December 16, 1985, before producing the WVDP and DWPF high-level waste forms. We believe that such a course of action would minimize the risk of producing waste forms that could not be accepted by a repository for disposal.

8607010119 860530
 PDR WASTE PDR
 WM-1

OFC	:WMEG	:WMEG	:WMEG	:WMEG	:	:	:
NAME	:EAWick:gh	:CHPeterson	:TCJohnson	:JTGreeves	:	:	:
DATE	:05/ /86	:05/ /86	:05/ /86	:05/ /86	:	:	:

MAY 30 1986

109.1/EAW/5/20/86

- 2 -

Our second main comment is that DOE should plan to interact with NRC on the Waste Form Compliance Plan, the Waste Form Testing Programs and the Specific Waste Form Qualification Reports (Steps 9, 10 and 11 of the Waste Acceptance Process).

Our third main comment is that each of the specifications should contain a section on references. The documents that support the various sections of the WAPS should be cited.

Detailed comments are presented in the enclosure.

We propose that after DOE has reviewed our comments a meeting be arranged with them to discuss the WAPS for DWPF and WVDP and possible future interactions on this subject.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss this further, please contact Everett Wick or Tim Johnson at x74111 and 74088, respectively.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Timothy C. Johnson for

John T. Greeves, Chief
Engineering Branch

Enclosure:
As stated

OFC	:WMEG <i>EAW</i>	:WMEG <i>CP</i>	:WMEG <i>TD</i>	:WMEG <i>JK</i>	:	:	:
NAME	:EAWick:gh	:CHPeterson	:TCJohnson	:JTGreeves	:	:	:
DATE	:05/21/86	:05/27/86	:05/29/86	:05/29/86	:	:	:

MAY 30 1986

109.1/EAW/5/20/86

- 3 -

Distribution:

WM FILE: 109.1 ✓
WMEG
NMSS
REBrowning
MJBell
JTGreeves
TCJohnson
MNataraja
MTokar
EAWick
CHPeterson
Materials Section
JOBunting
MRKnapp
JJLinehan
PJustus

OFC	:WMEG	:WMEG	:WMEG	:WMEG	:	:	:
NAME	:EAWick:gh	:CHPeterson	:TCJohnson	:JTGreeves	:	:	:
DATE	:05/ /86	:05/ /86	:05/ /86	:05/ /86	:	:	:

FROM SML		DATE OF DOCUMENT 5/10/86	DATE RECEIVED 5/11/86	NO MM-86462
TO Swastler		LTR XX	MEMO	REPORT
		ORIG.	CC	OTHER
		XX		
CLASSIF		ACTION NECESSARY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE <input type="checkbox"/>	DATE ANSWERED
POST OFFICE		NO ACTION NECESSARY <input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/>	5/2
REG. NO.		FILE CODE: 426.1		
DESCRIPTION (Must Be Unclassified) Apr 86 Report for FIN A1165		REFERRED TO	DATE	RECEIVED BY
		JLinehan	5/19	
ENCLOSURES		Swastler		
REMARKS <i>Closed 6-5-86 by letter to Dr. Rbt. Aronwell</i>				