MAY 0 5 1994

 Mr. Dwight E. Shelor, Associate Director for Systems and Compliance
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
U. S. Department of Energy, RW-30
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585

Dear Mr. Shelor:

. :

SUBJECT: MINUTES FROM THE MARCH 30-31, 1994, BURNUP CREDIT TECHNICAL EXCHANGE

I am enclosing the minutes from the March 30-31, 1994 technical exchange on burnup credit. The purpose of the exchange was to discuss DOE's activities related to investigating burnup credit for spent fuel casks and multi-purpose canisters, and to discuss the questions that were raised by the NRC during the February 10, 1994, technical exchange.

At the close of the exchange, the NRC and DOE agreed to meet for future technical exchanges on burnup credit issues. DOE will contact the NRC to schedule the next technical exchange, which will focus on the content of a topical report on burnup credit for storage and transport casks containing spent pressurized water reactor fuel.

If you have any questions on the minutes, please contact Marissa Bailey of my staff at (301) 504-2181.

Sincerely,

Charles J. Haughney, Chief Storage and Transport Systems Branch Division of Industrial and Medical Nuclear Safety, NMSS

Enclosure: As stated

cc: Attached List

Distrib	ution:										
NRC FC/PDR MFe			1Federline, PAHP			CNWRA FBrown			() () 1		
LPDR		MKna	app, DWM		ACN	S	FSTUR	Z		102.	\wedge
NMSS r/f JGree			eves, DWM	135	155		100-11				
STSB r/f R			RCarlson, HLUR			CJWithee, NMSS			INM"		
IMNS c/f ME		MBe1	1, ENGB	BHWhite, NMSS			t dat				
HLUR r/f RWe			ller, ENGB	MLu	MLusardi, NMSS			NHIG			
On-Site Reps JThoma, ENGB				CJPaperiello, NMSS					1		
					BBr	ach,	NMSS				-
OFC	STSB NOD	E	STSB	N	HLUR	N,	STSB	C	STSB		
NAME	MGBailey		CRCheppe	н	JJHoloni	BU	Chlaughr	al ley			
DATE	4/26/	′ 94	41281	/94	514	/94	615	/94	1	/94	
C = Cove	er E=(Cover	* & Enclosu	ire	N = No	Copy	Ó	FFICI	AL RECO	RD COPY	7
									\$:\B	UC3.MIN	l
9402 PDP	MASTE 9	405(22								
WM-1		F	PDR								

Mr. Dwight E. Shelor, Associate Director

cc:

:

- R. Loux, State of Nevada T. J. Hickey, Nevada Legislative Committee J. Meder, Nevada Legislative Counsel Bureau

- R. Nelson, YMPO M. Murphy, Nye County, NV M. Baughman, Lincoln County, NV D. Bechtel, Clark County, NV D. Weigel, GAO

- D. Weigel, GAO P. Niedzielski-Eichner, Nye County, NV B. Mettam, Inyo County, CA V. Poe, Mineral County, NV F. Mariani, White Pine County, NV R. Williams, Lander County, NV L. Fiorenzi, Eureka County, NV J. Hoffman, Esmeralda County, NV C. Schank, Churchill County, NV L. Bradshaw, Nye County, NV

Minutes from the March 30-31, 1994, Technical Exchange #3 on Burnup Credit

.:

. .

On March 30 and 31, 1994, staff from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM), Department of Energy (DOE), met to discuss burnup credit for spent fuel casks and containers. In particular, the discussions focused on the comments and questions raised by the NRC during the February 10, 1994, technical exchange. The March technical exchange was conducted in two parts. The first day focused on burnup credit for storage and transportation. The second day focused on burnup credit for disposal. Attachment 1 is a list of the attendees, Attachment 2 is the technical exchange agenda, and Attachment 3 is a copy of the presentation slides.

The first day of the exchange began with a brief introduction during which DOE stated that this technical exchange was not a formal meeting. DOE also acknowledged that NRC's comments would not be considered as complete, as part of an NRC review, or as programmatic direction. NRC stated that it viewed this technical exchange as a pre-application meeting.

After the introduction, DOE gave an overview of the burnup credit topics in storage and transportation. DOE stated that burnup credit will increase the capacity of storage and transport casks, thus reducing the number of shipments required. DOE also stated that burnup credit may be necessary for demonstrating the criticality safety of spent fuel during long-term disposal.

During the overview, DOE stated that it plans to submit up to three topical reports on burnup credit. The first topical report will be on burnup credit for storage and transport casks containing spent pressurized water reactor (PWR) fuel. DOE expects to submit the first topical report in September 1994. The second topical report will be on burnup credit for disposal of spent PWR and boiling water reactor (BWR) fuel. DOE plans to submit the second report during the 1995-1996 time-frame. The third topical report, which will discuss burnup credit for storage and transport of spent BWR fuel, will be developed if needed. Currently, however, DOE does not expect to take burnup credit for storage and transport of spent BWR fuel. DOE stated that the intent of the topical reports is to provide the basis for approval of specific spent fuel cask designs requiring burnup credit. The topical reports will include the method for evaluating burnup credit and reduced stored energy, and an example of how the method is to be applied. Back-up reports on the detailed technical/scientific data supporting the individual sub-processes will also be provided. NRC stated that the topical reports should be clear and detailed enough that it can be referenced in the specific applications. NRC and DOE agreed that the next technical exchange should focus on the content of the first topical report.

Enclosure

Following the storage/transportation overview, a Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) representative gave a presentation on the code validation approach. The SNL representative also gave a presentation on burnup dependent factors, which included a discussion on issues related to fission product poisons and the axial burnup distribution. Then, the SNL representative and a Duke Engineering representative gave presentations on code benchmarking using reactor and other critical experiments. This included a discussion on the applicability of reactor criticals to spent fuel casks. DOE then gave a brief discussion on a possible loading process for burnup credit casks. The loading procedures include the use of a burnup credit curve that is cask-design specific. Also, utility records will be used to identify the burnup, initial enrichment, and minimum cooling time of a fuel assembly. The records would be verified with assembly measurements. The Duke Engineering representative then gave a presentation on the utilities' burnup accountability system. Then, another SNL representative gave a presentation on assembly burnup measurements using the FORK system. During this presentation, NRC suggested that the criticality analyses for burnup credit casks consider inadvertently loading one fresh fuel assembly in the cask. DOE stated that it would explore this issue.

The first day of the exchange was closed with a summary of the storage and transportation topics. DOE suggested the following topics be discussed in future meetings: benchmarking and the need to quantify the differences between casks conditions and existing criticality experiments; verification of reactor records; designing against possible misloading of one fresh fuel assembly into a burnup credit cask; and the content of the first topical report.

The second day of the meeting began with an overview, given by the DOE, of the burnup credit topics in disposal. A B&W Fuel Company (BWFC) representative then gave a presentation on DOE's time-phased approach for criticality control. Then, another BWFC representative gave a presentation on the criticality evaluations for disposal. The criticality evaluation would be based on a design basis fuel assembly and spent fuel assembly isotopics. The evaluation would also consider the change in fuel assembly reactivity over time. The first BWFC representative also discussed the type of materials that are being considered for the waste package system. Finally, a third BWFC representative gave a summary of the disposal topics. During the summary, the BWFC representative stated that burnup credit may be essential to show the criticality safety of spent fuel during long-term disposal. During the presentations on disposal, the NRC stated that burnup credit for disposal will be a performance assessment factor.

The technical exchange was concluded with a meeting wrap-up. During the meeting wrap-up, DOE presented direct responses to some of the questions raised by the NRC during the February 10, 1994, technical exchange. NRC stated that many of its questions on burnup credit may be eliminated with an appropriate benchmark experiment. NRC suggested that DOE should consider performing benchmark experiments for conditions that closely resemble spent fuel casks. DOE stated that it would first qualitatively and quantitatively

.:

. .

assess the available benchmark experiments before it determines whether new benchmark experiments are needed. DOE then recounted the topics that should be discussed in future technical exchanges, including the storage/transport topical report. DOE stated that it would present an outline of the topical report to the NRC in approximately one month. DOE and the NRC agreed that the next technical exchange would be scheduled after the NRC has received the outline.

Cars K. Chappell

. . .

.:

. .

Cass R. Chappell, Section Leader Cask Certification Section Storage and Transport Systems Branch Division of Industrial and Medical Nuclear Safety, NMSS

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

- ll Benter 4/26/94

Priscilla Bunton Regulatory Integration Branch Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management U. S. Department of Energy

3

JOINT NRC/DOE BURNUP CREDIT TECHNICAL EXCHANGE #3 March 30-31, 1994

X

· · · ·

•

¢

-

List of Attendees

DOE BUNTON, PRISCILLA CARLSON, JIM GOMBERG, STEVE LAKE, BILL SMITH, MACKAYE	202-586-8365 202-586-5321 202-586-6497 202-586-2840 702-794-1933	NRC BAILEY, MARISSA CARLSON, ROBERT CHAPPELL, ROSS DANCER, DAVE HAUGHNEY, CHARLES WELLER, RICK WHITE, BERNIE WILSON, ROBERT WITHEE, CARL	301-504-2181 301-504-2435 301-504-2457 301-309-6445 301-504-3595 301-504-3458 301-504-2455 301-504-2126 301-504-2358
<u>CNWRA</u> TSCHOEPE, CHUCK NASIR, PRASAD	210-522-5470 210-522-5150	<u>NTWRB</u> CHU, WOODY DI BELLA, CARL	703-235-4473 703-235-4473
<u>DUKE POWER CO.</u> RASMUSSEN, BOB	704-382-4080	<u>NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTIT</u> WISENBURG, MARK	<u>UTE</u> 703-204-8548
<u>EAGLE RESEARCH GROUP</u> PRIMEAU, STEPHEN	301-601-9004	<u>NYE COUNTY, NY</u> SMITH, STEVE	703-514-2506
ENERGY RESOURCES INTER SUPKO, EILEEN	<u>INATIONAL</u> 202-785-8833	<u>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LA</u> DEHART, MARK	<u>BORATORY</u> 615-576-3468
EPRI LAMBERT, RAY LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NAT CARLSON, ROGER	415-855-2788 <u>Ional Lab</u> 510-422-1084	<u>SANDIA NATIONAL LABOR</u> BRADY, MICHAELE EWING, RONALD MAROTTA, CHARLES <i>(CONSULTANT)</i>	ATORIES 505-845-9099 505-845-9546 301-240-0025
M&O BWFC - BENTON, HUGH DOERING, THOMAS THOMAS, DANIEL	702-794-1891 702-794-1857 702-794-1984	<u>STATE OF NEVADA</u> FRISHMAN, STEVE <u>VECTRA</u> THOMAS, BRANDON	702-687-3744 408-281-6033
DUKE ENGINEERING - ASHE, KEN MORGAN, ROBERT THORNTON, JIM SAWYER, TONYA	702-794-7665 703-204-8863 704-382-3029 704-382-3139	<u>WESTON</u> DRESSER, R. DANIEL MOBASHERAN, AMIR	202-646-6781 202-646-6649
<i>E.R. JOHNSON -</i> RAHIMI, MERAJ	703-204-8928		

Attachment 1

AGENDA FOR JOINT NRC/OCRWM BURNUP CREDIT TECHNICAL EXCHANGE #3 MARCH 30-31, 1994 BETHESDA, HOLIDAY INN

March 30, 1994

• . •

ه . ۲۰۰۰ م

- 8:00 am 8:15 am WELCOME
- 8:15 am 8:45 am OVERVIEW OF STORAGE/TRANSPORTATION TOPICS
- 8:45 am 9:30 am VALIDATION APPROACH
- 9:30 am 9:45 am BREAK
- 9:45 am 10:30 am BENCHMARKING USING REACTOR RESTART CRITICALS
- 10:30 am 12:00 pm BURNUP DEPENDENT FACTORS
 - A Fission products
 - B End-effects
- 12:00 pm 1:30 pm LUNCH
- 1:30 pm 3:30 pm LOADING VERIFICATION PROCESS
 - A Procedure overview
 - B Burnup Verification Meter
- 3:30 pm 3:45 pm BREAK
- 3:45 pm 4:30 pm SUMMARY OF STORAGE/TRANSPORTATION TOPICS

AGENDA FOR JOINT NRC/OCRWM BURNUP CREDIT TECHNICAL EXCHANGE #3 MARCH 30-31, 1994 BETHESDA, HOLIDAY INN

March 31, 1994

- 8:30 am 9:00 am OVERVIEW OF DISPOSAL TOPICS
- 9:00 am 9:45 am TIME PHASED APPROACH FOR CRITICALITY CONTROL
- 9:45 am -10:00 am BREAK
- 10:00 am 11:30 am EVALUATIONS
 - A Design basis fuel
 - **B** Isotopics
 - C Materials
- 11:30 am 12:00 pm SUMMARY OF DISPOSAL TOPICS
- 12:00 pm 1:30 pm LUNCH
- 1:30 pm 2:30 pm MEETING WRAP-UP