

June 20, 2003

MEMORANDUM TO: Lydia Roche, Chief  
Fuel Manufacturing Section  
Fuel Cycle Licensing Branch, NMSS/FCSS

FROM: Claudia Craig, Chief /RA/  
Section B  
Decommissioning Branch, NMSS/DWM

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY 2003 SELF-  
GUARANTEE OF FUNDS FOR DECOMMISSIONING

As requested in your May 14, 2003, memorandum, we have reviewed the self-guarantee of funds for decommissioning submitted by General Electric Company (GE) on March 20, 2003. The self-guarantee addresses decommissioning for licenses DPR-1, R-33, TR-1, DR-10, SNM-960, and SNM-2500 issued under 10 CFR Parts 50, 70, and 72, for a total sum of \$87,300,000. Based on the requirements of 10 CFR 50.75, 70.25, and 72.30, the financial test criteria in 10 CFR Part 30, Appendix C, and the guidance provided in NUREG-1727, *NMSS Decommissioning Standard Review Plan*, the self-guarantee of funds provided by GE is adequate.

However, our recent review of the Decommissioning Plan (DP) for the Morris, Illinois independent spent fuel storage installation (GE Morris) pursuant to renewal of its License No. SNM-2500 identified an inconsistency between the cost estimates in the DP and the cost estimate reported in two previous GE self-guarantee documents. As a result of this and other findings during that review, a Request for Additional Information was prepared asking GE Morris for a revised cost estimate (see Attachment 1). Staff notes that the cost estimate in the DP for GE Morris is also inconsistent with the cost estimate reported for GE Morris in the March 20, 2003 self-guarantee. Therefore, we have included a comment consistent with the review of the GE Morris review and the Requests for Additional Information sent to GE Morris to ensure that the cost estimate provided by GE Morris and the amount of the self-guarantee provided by GE are consistent. If the revised cost estimate provided by GE Morris is different than the amount on the self-guarantee, then GE should submit revised self-guarantee documentation with the correct amount.

Our review also identified two typographical errors which should be corrected in the documentation. Our comments in the form of a Request for Additional Information are attached (Attachment 2).

Docket/Casework/TAC Nos: 70-754      13OS      L31750  
License No.    SNM-960      SNM-2500

Attachments:

1. September 6, 2002 Memorandum
2. Request for Additional Information

CONTACT: Derek Widmayer, NMSS/DWM/DCB  
(301)415-6677

MEMORANDUM TO: Lydia Roche, Chief  
Fuel Manufacturing Section  
Fuel Cycle Licensing Branch, NMSS/FCSS

FROM: Claudia Craig, Chief /RA/  
Section B  
Decommissioning Branch, NMSS/DWM

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY 2003 SELF-  
GUARANTEE OF FUNDS FOR DECOMMISSIONING

As requested in your May 14, 2003, memorandum, we have reviewed the self-guarantee of funds for decommissioning submitted by General Electric Company (GE) on March 20, 2003. The self-guarantee addresses decommissioning for licenses DPR-1, R-33, TR-1, DR-10, SNM-960, and SNM-2500 issued under 10 CFR Parts 50, 70, and 72, for a total sum of \$87,300,000. Based on the requirements of 10 CFR 50.75, 70.25, and 72.30, the financial test criteria in 10 CFR Part 30, Appendix C, and the guidance provided in NUREG-1727, *NMSS Decommissioning Standard Review Plan*, the self-guarantee of funds provided by GE is adequate.

However, our recent review of the Decommissioning Plan (DP) for the Morris, Illinois independent spent fuel storage installation (GE Morris) pursuant to renewal of its License No. SNM-2500 identified an inconsistency between the cost estimates in the DP and the cost estimate reported in two previous GE self-guarantee documents. As a result of this and other findings during that review, a Request for Additional Information was prepared asking GE Morris for a revised cost estimate (see Attachment 1). Staff notes that the cost estimate in the DP for GE Morris is also inconsistent with the cost estimate reported for GE Morris in the March 20, 2003 self-guarantee. Therefore, we have included a comment consistent with the review of the GE Morris review and the Requests for Additional Information sent to GE Morris to ensure that the cost estimate provided by GE Morris and the amount of the self-guarantee provided by GE are consistent. If the revised cost estimate provided by GE Morris is different than the amount on the self-guarantee, then GE should submit revised self-guarantee documentation with the correct amount.

Our review also identified two typographical errors which should be corrected in the documentation. Our comments in the form of a Request for Additional Information are attached (Attachment 2).

Docket/Casework/TAC Nos: 70-754 13OS L31750  
License No. SNM-960 SNM-2500

Attachments:

1. September 6, 2002 Memorandum
2. Request for Additional Information

CONTACT: Derek Widmayer, NMSS/DWM/DCB  
(301)415-6677

Ticket: DCB-0478 & DCB 0481

Distribution: DCB r/f C. Reamer C. Regan, SFPO D. Gillen

ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER:

OFFICE	DCB	DCB
NAME	D. Widmeyer*	C. Craig*
DATE	6/19/2003	6/20/2003

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY