

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

November 16, 1992

Mr. Robert M. Bernero
Director
Office of Nuclear Material
Safety and Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Bernero:

This is in response to your letter dated August 31, 1992, in which you transmitted a copy of SECY-92-275, the Quarterly Progress Report on the Pre-Licensing Phase of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Civilian Radioactive Waste Management Program. In your cover letter, you expressed two areas of concern: the first summarized those issues addressed in your May 26, 1992, letter to DOE regarding the completeness and timeliness of DOE submittals; the second dealt with DOE's proposal not to submit the Management and Operating Quality Assurance program to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for review and acceptance. The latter concern is being addressed under separate cover. DOE's response to the first set of concerns is provided below.

In your May 26, 1992, letter, you requested that DOE provide a schedule with dates for planned DOE submittal of study plans and other site characterization documents for review. This information was provided to you on August 17, 1992. It is probable that, as of August 31, 1992, due to mailing delays, you had not yet received DOE's response to your request.

You also indicated the need for DOE to transmit only those study plans that are complete and have been approved by DOE's Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM). DOE has not transmitted incomplete study plans to NRC. However, we have sent grouped or individual activities from multi-activity study plans that may be performed by different participants or might be nearterm activities relative to other study plan activities. DOE feels that it is not necessary to hold back approval of individual or grouped activities relevant to near-term work simply because out-year activities are still in preparation.

Your May 26, 1992, letter, on pages 2 and 3, expressed concern that DOE keep NRC informed of the status of study plans so that the NRC staff does not conduct a review of study plans that are

240031

9212010179 921116 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDR 02.8 11 WM-11 out of date. In order to keep you continually apprised of the study plan status, and to enable you to plan for the review of DOE study plans, DOE will provide you, on a monthly basis, an update on the status of DOE study plans. Enclosure 1 contains the Study Plan Status report for September 1992. These reports will be transmitted to you from OCRWM.

We do not understand your criticism in the August 31, 1992, letter, that the DOE's Exploratory Studies Facility Alternatives Study (ESFAS) transmittal letter contained no explanation of how the document related to Site Characterization Analysis (SCA) open items. At the time the ESFAS was delivered to NRC, this was true. However, you made a specific request for the information in a September 4, 1991, letter to DOE and DOE provided this information in a March 3, 1992, letter to NRC.

With respect to ongoing correspondence regarding SCA open items, some of the material in your August 31, 1992, letter, has been overtaken by events. Beyond the closure of 59 comments in NRC's evaluation of DOE's December 1991 SCA responses (Bernero to Bartlett letter, dated 7/31/91) DOE has, to date, submitted documentation to NRC to resolve 24 additional objections, comments, and questions. These include:

- Objection 1 Roberts to Linehan letter, dated 11/26/91, and Roberts to Holonich letter, dated 3/3/92
- Comments 12, 16, 34, 35, 57, 72, 127, 128, 130, and 132 Roberts to Holonich letter, dated 3/3/92
- Comments 100, 104, 106, 108, 110, 112, and 113 Roberts to Holonich letter, dated 8/31/92
- Comments 42 (partial closure, as stated, DOE's topical report on erosion will resolve Comment 42) and 43 Roberts to Holonich letter, dated 7/23/92
- Question 1 Roberts to Linehan letter, dated 12/16/91
- Question 31 Roberts to Holonich letter, dated 10/16/92
- Questions 28 and 61 Roberts to Holonich letter, dated 3/3/92

DOE regards all of the above objections, comments, and questions as resolved and is focusing on the remaining open items from the NRC July 31, 1991, letter. DOE does note that NRC lifted SCA objection 2 (Bernero to Bartlett letter, dated 3/2/92). In addition, NRC lifted objection 1, and closed open item comments 12, 16, 35, 57, 72, 127, 128 and 132, and question 61 (Bernero to Bartlett letter, dated 11/2/92). As DOE has previously informed NRC, as site characterization planning and data acquisition continue, DOE will address the remaining open items and provide documentation for their resolution in a letter.

Regarding your statement that DOE has not been responsive to your June 25, 1990, letter, stating concerns with the first Site Characterization Progress Report (PR), please note that DOE did provide a response to you in a June 17, 1991, letter from Shelor to Linehan (Enclosure 2). In its reply, DOE responded to what seemed to be NRC's four major concerns: (1) the relevance of the information in the PR to site characterization issues; (2) the completeness of data reported in the PR; (3) the level of detail in the PR; and (4) the status of NRC open items with regard to the Site Characterization Plan or study plans.

If you have any questions, please contact John Roberts of my staff at (202) 586-9896.

Sincerely,

John W. Bartlett, Director Office of Civilian Radioactive

Waste Management

Enclosures: As Stated

cc:

- C. Abrams, NRC
- D. Moeller, ACNW
- R. Loux, State of Nevada
- T. Hickey, Nevada Legislative Commission
- M. Baughman, Lincoln County, NV
- J. Bingham, Clark County, NV
- B. Raper, Nye County, NV
- P. Niedzielski-Eichner, Nye County, NV
- G. Derby, Lander County, NV
- P. Goicoechea, Eureka, NV
- C. Schank, Churchill County, NV
- F. Mariani, White Pine County, NV
- V. Poe, Mineral County, NV
- E. Wright, Lincoln County, NV
- J. Pitts, Lincoln County, NV
- R. Williams, Lander County, NV
- J. Hayes, Esmeralda County, NV
- M. Hayes, Esmeralda County, NV
- B. Mettam, Inyo County, CA

MONTHLY STUDY PLAN STATUS FOR SEPTEMBER 1992

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The following report summarizes the status of review and approval of Site Characterization Plan (SCP) study plans for the Yucca Mountain Project. Table 1 provides a summary status of all study plans that are currently planned or in review at the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office (Project Office), the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM), and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

Section 2 of this report provides the major accomplishments in the process of developing study plans for the month of SEPTEMBER 1992. Section 3 summarizes the status of all study plans in the review process at the Project Office. Section 4 summarizes the status of study plan reviews that have been completed by the NRC and the State of Nevada.

2.0 STUDY PLAN HIGHLIGHTS FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER, 1992

2.1 NEW STUDY PLAN SUBMITTALS

No new study plans were recieved by the Project Office in September.

2.2 REVIEW COMMENTS COMPLETED

Project Office reviews were completed for one Study Plan, 8.3.1.17.4.4 Quaternary Faulting Proximal to the Site within NE Trending Fault Zones, in September.

2.3 COMMENT RESOLUTION MEETINGS

No comment resolution meetings were held in September.

2.4 STUDY PLAN REVISIONS

Two minor revisions of existing study plans, 8.3.1.5.1.3 Climatic Implications of Terrestrial Paleoecology and 8.3.1.17.3.4 Effect of Local Site Geology on Surface and Subsurface Motion, were received and approved by the Project Office in September.

2.5 COMMENT VERIFICATION

One Study Plan, 8.3.1.17.4.3 Quaternary Faulting within 100km of Yucca Mountain, including the Walker Lane, was returned to reviewers to verify the resolution of their comments and two Study Plans, 8.3.1.4.3.1 Systematic Acquisition of Site Specific

Subsurface Information and 8.3.1.9.2.1 Natural Resource Assessment of Yucca Mountain. Nye County, completed verification of comment resolution in September.

2.6 STUDY PLANS APPROVALS

No study plans were approved by the Project Office in September.

2.7 COMPLETED NRC REVIEWS

One study plan phase I review was completed during September, ie. 8.3.1.5.3.1 Climatic Implications of Terrestrial Paleoecology.

3.0 CURRENT STATUS OF ALL STUDY PLANS

3.1 PLANS IN REVIEW

The following study plans have not yet completed the screening and technical reviews by the Project Office.

Identification/Organization

Date Draft Transmitted

None

3.2 STUDY PLANS AWAITING COMMENT RESOLUTION MEETINGS

Comments have been transmitted to the authors, and the Project Office is awaiting notification that the author is ready for a comment resolution meeting or notification that proposed resolutions have been agreed upon between the PI and reviewers without the need for a meeting.

Identification/Organization	Date Comments Sent to PI
8.3.4.2.4.1: Characterization of Chemical and Mineral Changes in the Postemplacement Environment/LLNL	03/13/90
8.3.4.2.4.2: Hydrologic Properties of the Waste Package Environment/LLNL	03/23/90

3.3 STUDY PLANS IN REVISION

3.3.1 Comment Resolution Meetings have been held, and the following study plans are being revised by the authors in response to comments.

Title/Organization	Comment Resolution Meeting Date				
8.3.1.3.3.2/8.3.1.3.3.3: Kinetics and Thermodymanics of Mineral Evolution/Conceptual Model of Mineral Evolution/LANL	03/14/90				
8.3.1.3.4.1/8.3.1.3.4.3: Batch Sorption Studies/ Development of Sorption Models/LANL	02/14/90				
8.3.1.3.6.1: Dynamic Transport Column Experiments/LANL	08/29/90				
8.3.1.3.6.2: Diffusion/LANL	08/30/90				

3.3.2 No Comment Resolution meeting is required, and the following study plans are being revised by the authors in response to comments.

Title/Organization	Comments Transmitted to PI
8.3.1.2.2.2 R1: Water Movement Test/LANL	5/12/92
8.3.1.3.1.1: Groundwater Chemistry Model/LANL	5/6/92
8.3.1.3.5.1/2: Dissolved Species Concentration Limits and Colloid Behavior/LANL	11/08/91
8.3.1.5.1.6: Characterization of Future Regional and Environment	01/30/92
8.3.1.12.2.1 R1: Meteorological Data Collection at the Yucca Mountain Site/SAIC	6/17/92
8.3.1.15.1.4: Laboratory Determination of the Mechanicall Properties of Fractures/SNL	5/12/92

8.3.1.15.1.8: In Situ Design Verification	10/10/91					
8.3.1.2.2.9: Site Unsaturated Zone Modeling and Synthesis/USGS	02/27/92					
8.3.1.17.3.3.2: Ground Motion from Regional Earthquakes and UNEs/SNL	02/27/92					
8.3.1.17.4.4: Quaternary Faulting Proximal to 09/25/92 the Site within NE Trending Fault Zones/USGS						
3.4 STUDY PLANS IN VERIFICATION AUD	DIT					
The following study plans are being audited by satisfactorily responded to.	reviewers to verify that comments have been					
Identification/Organization	Date Draft Transmitted					
8.3.1.2.3.3: Site Saturated Zone Hydrologic Synthesis and Modeling/USGS	03/31/92					
8.3.1.17.3.5: Ground Motion at the Site from Controlling Seismic Events/USGS	04/14/92					
8.3.1.17.4.3: Quaternary Faulting within 100 kr Yucca Mountain, including the Walker Lan						
8.3.4.2.4.3: Mechanical Properties of the Waste Package Environment/LLNL	10/08/91					
3.5 BEING PREPARED FOR PROJECT OFFI	CE APPROVAL					
8.3.1.3.4.2: Biological Sorption and Transport/I	LANL 12/07/91					
8.3.1.4.3.1: Systematic Acquisition of Site-Spec Subsurface Information/SNL	ific 09/04/92					
8.3.1.5.2.1.1: Characterization of Quaternary Regional Hydrology/USGS	08/10/92					

07/14/92

8.3.1.5.2.2: Characterization of Future Regional

Hydrology due to Climate Change

8.3.1.8.2.1: Analysis of Waste Package Rupture due to Tectonic Processes and Events/SAIC	08/11/91
8.3.1.9.2.1: Natural Resource Assessment of Yucca Mountain, Nye County/USGS	09/28/92

3.6 APPROVED STUDY PLANS

None

4.0 STUDY PLANS IN REVIEW AT NRC AND THE STATE OF NEVADA

4.1 NRC ACCEPTANCE REVIEW

The following study plans are in Phase 1 review at the NRC.

Identification/Organization	Transmittal Date
8.3.1.2.2.1 R1: Characterization of Unsaturated Zone Infiltration/USGS	06/1/92
8.3.1.2.2.2: Water Movement Tracer Tests/LANL	02/09/89
8.3.1.2.2.4(.4, .5, .7, .8, .9): Characterization of the Yucca Mountain Unsaturated Zone Percolation-Exploratory Shaft Facility Study/USGS	02/09/89
8.3.1.2.2.5: Diffusion Tests in the ESF/LANL	6/8/92
8.3.1.2.2.8: Fluid Flow in Unsaturated, Fractured Rock	9/01/92
8.3.1.2.3.2: Characterization of the Saturated Zone Hydrochemistry	6/5/92
8.3.1.3.7.1: Retardation Sensitivity Analysis/LANL	9/01/92
8.3.1.4.2.1: Characterization of Vertical and Lateral Distribution of Stratigraphic Units in the Site Area/USGS	6/22/92

8.3.1.4.2.2(except .3 & .5): Characterization of Structural Features within the Site Area/USGS	02/09/89
S.3.1.4.2.2(3. & .5): Characterization of Structural Features within the Site Area/USGS	6/15/92
8.3.1.15.1.1: Laboratory Thermal Properties	01/25/91
8.3.1.15.1.2: Laboratory Thermal Expansion Testing/SNL	10/04/90
8.3.1.15.1.3: Laboratory Determination of the Mechanical Properties of Intact rock	06/21/91
8.3.1.15.1.5: Excavation Investigations/SNL	02/09/89
8.3.1.15.2.1.2: Characterization of Site Ambient Stress Conditions/USGS	02/09/89
8.3.1.17.4.5: Detachment Faults at or Proximal to Yucca Mountain/USGS	08/12/92

4.2 STUDY PLANS ACCEPTED BY THE NRC

The following study plans have been accepted by the NRC.

Identification/Organization	Acceptance Date
8.3.1.2.1.1: Characterization of the Meteorology for Regional Hydrology and Meteorological Monitoring/USGS	10/21/91
8.3.1.2.1.2: Characterization of Run-off and Streamflow USGS	08/14/92
8.3.1.2.1.3: Characterization of the Regional Ground-Water Flow System/USGS	10/4/91
8.3.1.2.1.4: Regional Hydrologic Synthesis and Modelin	g 5/6/92
8.3.1.2.2.1: Characterization of Unsaturated Zone Infiltration/USGS	5/31/91
8.3.1.2.2.3: Characterization of Percolation in the Unsaturated ZoneSurface Based Study/USGS	3/26/92
8.3.1.2.2.6: Characterization of Gaseous-Phase Movement in the Unsaturated Zone	nt 10/07/91
8.3.1.2.2.7: Hydrochemical Characterization of the Unsaturated Zone/USGS	05/01/92
8.3.1.2.3.1.1-6: Characterization of the Site Saturated Ground-Water Flow System	12/06/91
8.3.1.2.3.1.7: Testing of the C-Hole Site with Reactive Tracers	12/06/91
8.3.1.3.2.1: Mineralogy, Petrology, and Chemistry of Transport Pathways/LANL	03/13/91
8.3.1.3.2.2: History of Mineralogic and Geochemical Alteration of Yucca Mountain	04/27/92

8.3.1.5.1.2: Paleoclimate Study: Lake, Playa, and Marsh Deposits	04/27/92
8.3.1.5.1.3: Climatic Implications of Terrestrial Paleoecology/USGS	09/03/92
8.3.1.5.1.4: Analysis of the Paleoenvironmental History of the Yucca Mountain Site	12/06/91
8.3.1.5.2.1: Characterization of the Quaternary Regional Hydrology/USGS	1 06/08/90
8.3.1.8.1.1: Probability of Magmatic Disruption of the Repository/LANL	10/5/91
8.3.1.8.5.1: Characterization of Volcanic Features/LAN	L 08/20/90
8.3.1.9.2.2: Water Resource Assessment for Yucca Mountain/SAIC	5/4/92
8.3.1.12.2.1: Meteorological Data Collection at the Yucca Mountain Site	11/12/91
8.3.1.14.2: Studies to Provide Soil and Rock Properties of Potential Locations of Surface and Subsurface Facilities	01/24/92
8.3.1.16.1.1: Characterization of Flood Potential of the Yucca Mountain Site/USGS	05/08/91
8.3.1.17.3.1: Relevant Earthquake Sources	5/18/92
8.3.1.17.3.4: Effects of Local Site Geology on Surface and Subsurface Ground Motions/USGS	6/8/92
8.3.1.17.4.1: Historical and Current Seismicity/USGS	05/14/91
8.3.1.17.4.2: Evaluating the Location and Recency of Faulting Near Prospective Surface Facilities/SNL	03/16/90
8.3.1.17.4.6: Quaternary Faulting Within the Site Area/USGS	10/3/91
8.3.1.17.4.10: Geodetic Leveling/USGS	10/4/91

4.3 COMMENTS ON APPROVED STUDY PLANS

The following approved study plans have been the subject of written comments by the NRC and the State of Nevada. The status of the responses to these comments is given below.

Study Plan	Source & of C	k No. comments	Status
8.3.1.2.1.1: Characterization of the Meteorology for Regional Hydrology and Meteorological Monitoring	NV	10	Response sent 7/13/92
8.3,1.2.1.2: Regional Surface Water Run-Off and Streamflow	NV NRC	7 2	Response sent 8/20/91 In preparation
8.3.1.2.1.4: Regional Hydrologic Synthesis and Modeling	NRC	1	Response sent 7/10/92
8.3.1.2.2.6: Characterization of Gas Phase Movement in the Unsaturated Zone	NRC NV	1 16	Response sent 7/23/92 Response sent 7/27/92
8.3.1.2.3.1.1-6: Characterization of the Site Saturated Zone Ground Water Flow System	NRC	2	In preparation
8.3.1.2.3.1.7: Testing of the C-Hole Site with Reactive Tracers	NRC	2	In preparation
8.3.1.3.2.1: Mineralogy, Petrology and Chemistry Along Transport Pathways	NRC	6	Response sent 10/29/91
8.3.1.5.1.4: Analysis of the Paleoenvironmental History of the Yucca Mountain Site	NRC	1	In preparation
8.3.1.5.2.1: Quaternary Regional Hydrology	NRC NV	9 10	Response sent 12/19/90 Response sent 9/19/91

Study Plan	Source of Com		Status
8.3.1.8.1.1: Probability of Volcanic Eruption Penetrating the Repository	NV NRC	24 13	Response sent 7/19/92 In preparation
8.3.1.8.5.1: Characterization of Volcanic Features	NV NRC	5 3	Response sent 8/23/91 Response sent 7/24/91
8.3.1.9.2.2: Water Resource Assessment of Yucca Mountain	NRC	1	Response sent 6/29/92
8.3.1.12.2.1: Meteorological Data Collection at the Yucca Mountain Site	NV 1	5	In preparation
8.3.1.14.2: Studies to Provide Soil and Rock Properties for Potential Locations of Surface Facilities	NRC NV	1 10	Response sent 8/07/92 Response sent 8/21/92
8.3.1.16.1.1: Characterization of Flood Potential	NV NRC	9 1	Response sent 6/6/91 Telecon resolution 8/15/92
8.3.1.17.3.1: Relevant Earthquake Sources	NRC	1	In preparation
8.3.1.17.3.4: Effect of Local Site Geology on Surface and Subsurface Motion	NRC	1	In preparation
8.3.1.17.4.1: Historic and Current Seismicity	NRC	3	Telecon resolution 8/15/92
8.3.1.17.4.2: Location and Recency of Faulting Near Prospective Surface Facilities	NRC NV	16 3	Response sent 12/19/90 Response sent 10/15/90
8.3.1.17.4.6: Quaternary Faulting	NRC	1	Response sent 12/16/91

LEGEND FOR TABLE 1

Submit to YMP Gives the actual date of the submission of the draft study plan.

Screening Review Complete

Date that screening review was recieved by the Project Office.

Screening reviews were not done for some study plans submitted early in the process. These are indicated by the word "None". Revs. 2 & 3 of AP-1.10Q did not require a screening review. Plans reviewed under Rev. 2 & 3 are indicated by "NA".

Comments to PI

Date that review comments were transmitted to the Principal Investigator for review.

Comment Resol. Meeting

Date that comment resolution meeting to agree on proposed comment resolutions was completed. An "N/A" indicated that a comment resolution meeting was not required.

PI Revision Complete

Date that revised study plan that responds to Project Office and Headquarters comments is submitted to the Project Office.

Verify Comm. Res.

Date that the verification of actual dispositions of comments is completed by reviewers.

PO Approve

Date that Project Office approves the plan.

Submit To NRC

The date that the study plan is submitted to the NRC for review.

NRC Phase 1 Review

Date that NRC Acceptance and Start-Work Reviews are completed.

NRC Phase 2 Review

Date that NRC Detailed Technical Reviews are completed or note that none will be completed or the review will be deferred.

Study Plan Tracking Sheet

5 .	SP NAMES/CODE	SP	SUBMIT	SCREENING	COMMENTS	COMMENT	l ei	VERIEY	1 100	SUBSIN	NRC.	. Skt i
1		NUMBER	TO YMP	REVIEW	TOPI	RESOL	REVISION	COMMENT	APPROVE	IO NEC	PHASE	DIASE II
ł		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	11111	COMPLETE		MEETING	COMPLETE	RESOL.		1	REVIEW	RIVIIW
1	· · · · · ·			**************************************	anda mender makenda erre		To a section of the s	1	Ĭ	1	1	
	HAR OF MET FOR REG HYDRO AND MET MONITOR/USGS	8.3.1.2.1.1	6/26/90	6/27/90	10/1/90	11/9/90	11/9/90	2/25/91	3/13/91	6/21/01	10/21/91	5081
1 2	TIAR RUNOLF AND STREAMH LOW/USGS	8.3.1.2.1.2	3/27/89	NA	10/17/89	2/28/90	1/22/90	7/17/90	8/21/H3	10/4/80	5/14/91	R/11/02
١,	CHAR OF REG GRD W HOW SYSTEMUSES	8 3.1.2.1.3	3/1/90	3/14/90	7/3/90	8/23/90	9/17/90	10/19/90	1/18/91	2/15/91	10/1/91	NON
1	REG HYDRO SYS SYN AND MODELING/USGS	8 1.1.2.1.4	6/6/90	6/29/90	3/14/91	NA	5/8/91	10/2/91	12/18/91	1/15/92	5/6/92	i
5	CHAR UNSAT ZONE INFILTRATION/USGS	8.3.1.2.2.1	3/9/90	3/14/90	7/3/90	9/12/90	9/17/90	10/15/90	1/18/91	3/1/11	5/31/21	NON
6	WATER MOVEMENT TEST/LANL, REV O	8.3.1.2.2.2	9/23/87	N/A	COMPLETE	10/23/67	10/30/87	1/9/89	11/9/89	2/9/89	DELEKKED	
6 R	WATER MOVEMENT TEST/LANE, REVI	8.3.1.2.2.2	10/17/91	NA	5/12/92				· ·	{	j	
7	CHAR PERCOL IN UZ-SURFACE BASED STUDY/USGS	8.3.1.2.2.3	8/12/88	NA	1/23/89	1/30-31/89	2/20/90	1/9/91	04/08/2)	5/10/91	3/26/92	İ
8	CHAR YUC MIN PERCO UNSAT ZONE ESFINVESTAUSGS	8.1.1.2.2.4						l	l] 	{	
1	(.4,5	,7.1(.9)	9/9/87	NA	12/7/88	10/19/87	1/4/89	1/9/89	1,09/89	20001	DELERKLD	
x R		8.3.1.2.2.4							İ			
1	(1,2,	3,6, (0)		l .				1]		ł	
٠,	DIFFUSION TESTS IN ESE/LANL	8.3.1.2.2.5	11/1/88	11/18/88	2/28/90	8/28/90	6/11/21	1/24/92	4/22/92	6/8/92	ĺ	
10	CHAR GAS PHASE MOVEMENT IN UZZUSGS	8.3.1.2.2.6	6/12/89	7/14/89	3/9/90	5/24/90	5/24/90	04/03/91	6/11/01	6/24/91	100/201	NON
1 11	HYDROCHEM CHAR OF UZAUSGS	8.3.1.2.2.7	10/24/88	11/4/88	3/8/89	3/29-31/89	6/27/89	4/10/90	9/18/90	5/8/91	5/1/92	DELERRED
12	FLUID FLOW IN UNSAT, FRAC ROCK/USGS	8.3.1.2.2.8	9/7/90	10/3/90	5/01/91	NA	11/26/91	5/28/92	8/12/92	9/1/92	l	
1 13	SHE UZ MODELING & SYNTHESIS/USGS	8 3.1.2.2.9	1/25/91	NA	2/27/92]	1	ł .	ł			
1 14	THAR SHE SAT ZONE GRD WIFLOW SYS/LANL (7)	8 3 1.2.3.1	2/8/88	NA	7/15/89	9/1-2/89	11/16/89	17-790	2/22/90	4/6/20	12/6/91	DELEKKED
15	TIAR SHE SAT ZONE GRD WITLOW SYSUSGS (11-6)	8 3.1.2.3.1	5/31/89	6/15/89	11/1/89	4/4 17/90	5/18/90	10/15/90	2/13/91	3//291	12/6/91	DITIKKID
1118	I CHAR SITE SAT ZONE GRD W FLOW SYS/LANL (8)]			ļ		
16	HAR SAT ZONE HYDROCHEM/USGS	8.3.1.2.3.2	3/28/90	5/9/90	11/27/90	5/21 22/91	11/27/91	3/11/92	4/22/92	6/5/92		1
17	SAT ZONE HYDRO SYSTEM SYNTH AND MODELING/USGS	# 3 1.2.3.3	9/4/90	10/12/90	8/30/91	N/A	1/11/92	1	ļ	1		
18	GROUND WATER CHEMISTRY MODEL/LANL	8.3.1.3.1.1	3/15/91	NA NA	5/6/92					[i
19	MIN, PET, CHEM OF TRANSPORT PATHWAYST AND.	8.3.1.3.2.1	1/22/88	5/24/88	7/13/88	8/4-5/88	12/23/88	9/12/89	6/13/89	11/30/89	8/20/90	मध्य
20	HIST OF MIN AND GEOCHEM ALT OF YUCCA MTN/LANL	8.3.1.3.2.2	3/28/88	NA .	5/25/89	6/1/89	6/13/91	9/23/91	12/18/91	1/11/92	4/27/92	DEFERRID
21	NAT ANLOG HYDROTHERM SYS IN TUFFALNI.	8 3.1.3.3.1								ļ		
22	KINETICS AND THERMODYN OF MIN EVOLALANI.	8.3.1.3.3.2	2/23/89	3/1/89	11/1/85	3/14/90	ļ					
22	CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF MINERAL EVOLUAND.	8.3.1.3.3.3	2/23/89	3/1/89	11/1/89	3/14/90		1	1			
24	BATCH SORPT STUDIES AND DEVEL SORPT MODELS/LANL	8.3.1.3.4.1/3	1/4/89	1/18/89	i0/13/89	2/14/90	1	l	1			
25	BIOLOGICAL SORPTION & TRANSPORTA AND	8.3.1.3.4.2	12/12/88	1/5/89	3/12/90	6/21/90	8/28/91	12/7/11				,
26	DISSOLVED SPECIES CONCLIMITS AND COLLOID BEITLAND.	8.3.1.3.5.1/2	9/7/90	NA .	11/8/91	NA						اسدا
27	DYNAMIC FRANSPORT COLUMN EXPERIMENTS/LANL	8.3 [.3.6.]	7/24/89	8/9/89	5/24/90	8/29/90						
2×	DIFFUSION/LANL	8 1.1.3.6.2	7/24/89	8/9/89	5/10/20	8/30/90	ł	1				•
29	RETARDATION SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS <u>/LANI.</u>	8.3.1.3.7.1	12/14/88	2/8/89	3/12/90	7/31/90	6/18/91	7/28/92	8/11/92	9/1/92		İ
\ \ \mathref{m}	DEMON APPLIT AB DATA TO REPOS TRANS ÇALÇIJANI.	8.3 1.3.7.2				1 .	Į	1				
31	GASEOUS RAD TRANS CALCS AND MEASUREA.ANI.	8 3.1.3.8.1	1			[I	1				
12	THAR VERTA AT DIST STRAT UNITS SITE AREA/USGS (.1,.2,.4)	8.3.1 4.2.1	4/12/90	5/10/90	2/8/91	12/20/91	2/3/92	4/10/92	6/9/92	6/22/92		
Q.R	THAR VERTILAT DIST STRAT UNITS SITE AREA/USGS (.3,5)	8 3.1.4.2.1					1	1				
- 11	THAR STRUCTURAL TFATURES WAN SITE AREA/USGS	8 3 1.4 2.2	9/4/87	NA.	Done	10/22/87	4/4 3/88	2/3/89	2/03/89	2/9/89	DELEKKED	+

	SP SAMI SAODE	i se	SUBMIT	SCREENING	COMMENTS	COMMENT	14	VERIEY	100	SURME	NRI	sac I
		NUMBER	TO YMP	REVIEW	TO PI	RESOL	REVISION	COMMENT	APPROVE	TO SRC	PHASE	PHASE 0
	,			COMPLETE	2:27-	MEETING	COMPLETE	RESOL.			REVIEW	RIVIEW
1	in the state of th	***			haddel and redding - 1 a star o			* ***	'	i	1	
65 R1	METFOROLOGICAL DATA COLLECT YUCCA MEN SITE/SAIC, REV 1	8.3 1.12.2.1	3/31/92	4/21/92	6/17/92	l 						
66	STUDIES TO PROVIDE SOIL/ROCK PROPOF POTENTIAL	8.3.1.14.2	7/15/91	7/23/91	8/11/91	NA .	8/21/91	9/22/91	10/01/21	10.16/91	62192	
	OCATIONS OF SURFACE/SUBSURFACE FACILITIES/USGS	ł				l					1 1	
67	AB THERMAL PROPERTIES/SNL	8.3.1.15.1.1	3/17/88	NA	11/1/89	12/5/89	3/16/90	5/23/20	10/21/90	1/25/91	DETERMED	İ
68	AB HIERMAL EXPANSION TESTING/SNL	8 3.1.15.1.2	12/1/88	12/20/88	Dine	8/1/89	11/20/89	5/23/90	8/21/90	E0/4/90	DEH KRED	
69	AB DETERMIN MECH PROPINTACT ROCK/SNL	8.3.1.15.1.3	2/8/88	NA	7/6/88	7/7/88	11/29/88		5/21/91	6/21/91	DEFERRED	1
70	AB DETERMIN MECH PROP FRACTURES/SNI.	8.3 1.15.1.4	10/23/91	2/5/92	5/12/92							i (
11	XCAVATION INVESTIGATIONS/SNL	8.3.1.15.1.5	3/26/87	NA	Done	6/9/87	9/1/87	5/27/88	£/HP/89	2,939	DELLERED	
72	IN STEU THERMOMECH PROPANI.	8.3.1.15.1.6					i		,			İ
73	IN STEU MECHANICAL PROPANI.	8.3.1.15.1.7	l <u>-</u>								ļ į	ا ــ ا
71	IN SETU DESIGN VERIFICATION/SNL	\$.3.1.15.E.B	3/20/90	4/12/90	10/10/91	NA.				ļ	1 1	(
15	HAR SHE AMBIENT STRESS CONDITIONS/05G5 (2)	8.3.1.15.2.1	9/22/87	ŅA	Done	12/4/87	2/23/88	2/9/89	1/11/89	2/9/89	DITIKKLD	\ \ \
75 R L	CHAR SILE AMBIENT STRESS CONDITIONS/USGS (1)	8.3.1.15.2.1					ł			İ	ļ ,	
76	TIAR SITE AMBIENT THERMAL COND/USGS	8.3.1.15.2.2										
77	HAR OF FLOOD POTENTIAL YUCCA MIN SITE/USGS	8.3.1.1 <u>6.1.1</u>	1/30/89	5/2/89	10/13/89	4/18/90	4/27/20	8/6/141	9/17/90	10/11/90	16.85	NON
7K	OC OF ADEQ WATER SUPPLY CONSTOPER, CLOS, DECOMM	\$.3.1.1 <u>6.2.1</u>					l					
	DE A MODS AT YUCCA MINSARC		1			,	ŀ					
79	DEFERMINE PRECLOS HYDRO COND UZ YUÇCA MINJUSGS WRD	8.3.1 16.3.1	ļ				ļ				į i	
80	POTENTIAL FOR ASH FALL AT STIEVLAND	8.3.1.17.1.1					ł			}	1	
8 i	AULTING POTENTIAL AT REPOSITORYSAIC	8.3.1.17.2.1					ł <u> </u>					1
82	RELEVANT EARTHQUAKE SOURCES/USGS	8.3.1.17.3.1	1V1/ <u>20</u>	8/22/90	12/28/90	NA.	5/1/91	11/15/91	12/18/91	1/16/92	7/18/92	
8.3	UNDERGROUND NUCLEAR EXPLOSION SOURCES/SNI.	8.3.1.17.3.2					ł			1	1	
84	FRD MOTION FROM REG EARTHQUAKE AND UNESUSGS	8 3.1.17.3.3.1					ŀ			ł	1	!
85	FRD MOTION FROM REG EARTHQUAKE AND UNESSNL	8.3.1.17.3.3.2	8/1/90	8/10/90	2/27/92	NĀ						
86	FFFCT LOCAL STIE GEOLON SREASUBSRE MOTION/USGS	8.3.1.17.3.4	7/6/90	7/23/90	1/23/91	NA.	4/16/91	N/26/V1	11/14/91	12/3/91	6,8,92	NON
87	GRD MOTION AT SHE FROM CONTROL SEIS EVENTAUSGS	8.3.1 17.3.5	10/4/90	<u>NA</u>	2/27/92	NA.	4/14/92			ł		
8×	PROBABILISTIC SEISMIC HAZARD ANALYSES/USGS	8.3 1.17.3.6] _::	414	41.47.5	1403.22		SOSI
89	HISTORICAL AND CURRENT SEISMICTY/USGS	8.3.1.17.4.1	10/17/89	10/25/89	4/30/90	6/20/90	7/6/90	9/4/90	9/17/90	10/22/90	5/11/91	1
90	LOC & RECENCY OF FAULT NEAR PROSPEC SUR FACILIUSGS	8.3.1.17.4.2	12/6/88	12/14/88	Done	1/19/89	2/16/89	6/21/89	5/22/89	7/10/89	11/23/89	1/6/20
91	RULL HOKM YUCCA MIN, INCL WALKER LANEAUSGS	8.3.1.17.4.3	3/25/92	4/6/92	6/17/92	N/A	9/22/92	·		1	1	
92	QUAT FAULT PROXIMAL TO SITE WAN NE TREND	8.3 1.17.4.4	8/3/92	8/5/92	9/25/92		ł			1		
	FAULT ZONES/USGS	1		5000		2602	3/25/02	6/9/92	7/20/92	8/12/92	<u> </u>	
93	DEFACIFACITE AT OR PROX TO YUCCA MTN/USGS	8.3.1.17.4.5	5/1/20	5/9/90	5/21/91	2/6/92	3/25/92 5/25/89	10/11/90	1/23/91	2/19/91	10/3.91	SOSI
94	QUAL FAULTING WAN SITE AREA/USGS	831.17.46	10/14/88	<u>NA</u>	Danc	4/13/89	3/23/69	in i iva	1/2 1/71	4,134,71	""	"""
95	SUBSUR GFOMET AND CONCEALED EXTEN OF QUAT FAULTS	8.3.1.17.4.7			ł					ł		
	AT YUCCA MINAUSGS	.,,,,,	1		ł	1	1					
96	STRESS FIELD WITHIN/PROX TO SITE AREA/USGS	8.3.1.17.4.8			ł	1	t]	
97	HECT GFOMORPH YOCCA MEN REG/USGS	8 3.1.17.4.9	2/2000	1/1000	8/8/90	9/20/90	9/21/90	10/15/90	1/18/91	2/1191	10 101	NON
***	GFODE TIC LEVELING/USGS	8 3 1 17.4.10	3/30/90	4/19/90	1 8/8/41	i attation	1 4/21/-41	10712970	1/40/71	1 -///	100 7 11 1	

Study Plan Tracking Sheet

							•						•.	
	•	SP NAMES/CODE	SP. NUMBER	SUBMIT TO YMP	SCREENING REVIEW COMPLETE	COMMENTS TO PI	COMMENT RESOL MEETING	PI REVISION COMPLETE	VERIFY COMMENT RESOL	PO APPROVE	SUBMIT TO NRC	NRC PHASE I RI VIEW	NRC PHASE II REVIEW	
- 1		No. 180 a. a. a. a. g. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s. s. p. s.										j !	!	ĺ
-	99	LHAR REG LATERAL CRUSTAL MOVEMENTASOS	8.3.1.17.4.11											
	100	H-CTONIC MODELS AND SYSTHESIS/USGS	8.3.1.17.4.12						1			į l	l '	1
		SEAL MATERIAL PROPERTIES DEVELOPMENT/SNL	8.7.3.2.2.1			.		 -	1			, ,	,	ĺ
l	102	LITAR CHEM AND MIN CHANGES POSTEMPLAC ENVIRONANT.	8.3.4.2.4.1	11/6/87	NA_	3/13/90		ļ				1 1	! !	
ı	103	HYDRO PROP OF WASTE PKG ENVIROZIANI.	8.3.4.2.4.2	R/2/88	NA	3/23/90						. !	!	
ı	104	MECH ATTRIBUTES OF WASTE PKG ENVIRO/LENI.	8.3.4.2.4.3	6/28/89	7/11/89	3/13/90	7/8/91	9/27/91	ļ		ļ	i '	į ,	
ı	105	ENG BARRIER SYSTEM FIELD TESTS/LINL	8.3.4.2.4.4					}	ļ			!	! !	ł

	SP NAMESA ODE	SP	SUBMIT	SCREENING	COMMENTS	COMMENT	11	VERIFY	10	SUBMIT	SRC	SRC
Į		NUMBER	TO YMP	REVIEW	TOP	RESOL	REVISION	COMMENT	APPROVE	TO SEC	PHASE I	PHASPII
į .	a to Some Some Some Some Some		1	COMPLETE		MEETING	COMPLETE	FEZOI-	;	ļ	REVIEW	REVIEW
											<u> </u>	,
11 K1	2 MORE ACTIVITIES (1,5)/USGS	8 3.1.4.2.2	2/27/90	3/22/90	8/21/90	6/13/91	7/29/91	2/10/92	4/22/92	6/15/92		1
15	THREE DIMENSIONAL GEOLOGIC MODEL/USGS	8 3.1.4.2.3					-		ł	ł	ŀ	1
16	SYST ACQ SITE SPEC SUBSURF INFO/SNI.	8.3.1.4.3.1	3/27/90	4/13/90	10/2/90	7/1/92	7/28/92	9/4/92	j	1		1
17	THREE DIMENSIONAL ROCK CHAR MODELS/SNL	8 3.1.4.3.2					ļ			i		ļ
אי	CHAR MODERN REGIONAL CLIMATE/USGS	8.3.1.5.1.1						.				1
39	PALEOCLIM STUDY: LAKE, PLAYA, MARSH DEPS/USGS	8.3.1.5.1.2	10/25/90	. <u>NA</u>	3/27/91	NA.	5/9/91	10/25/91	10/11/91	12/6/91	4/27/92	(14)
40	CLIMATIC IMPLICATION TERREST PALEOECOLOGYAUSGS	8.3.1.5.1.3	2/11/91	<u>NA</u>	6/25/91	NA	IXINE	12/11/91	1/17/92	6/5/92	9/1	
41	ANALYSIS PALEOENVI HIST YUCCA MTN REGION/USGS	8.3.1.5.1.4	3/30/90	4/13/90	8/13/90	10/9-11/90	10/24/90	1/9/91	5/29/91	6/23/91	12/06/91	10 FERRID
42	PALEOCLIM PALEOENVIRO SYNTHESIS/USGS	8.3.1.5.1.5					ļ	ļ	ļ	ŀ	1	}
li ii	CHAR FUTURE REG CLIMATES AND ENVIRONSANI.	8.3 1.5.1.6	6/7/91	7/10/91	1/30/92	N/A	ļ	ļ		1	1	1
41	CHAR OF THE QUATERNARY REG HYDROAUSGS (3, 4, 5)	8.3 1.5.2.1	1/26/88	NA	12/9/88	12/20/88	5/15/89	6/8/89	6/08/89	1/10/30	11/24.89	6.087
HR2	CHAR OF THE QUATERNARY REG HYDROAUSGS (1)	8.3 1.5.2.1	9/25/90	NA .	8/8/91	NA .	3/11/92	8/10/92		l	1	
45	CHAR FUTURE REG HYDRO DUE TO CLI CHANGES/USGS	8.3.1.5.2.2	1/16/91	NA	\$/7 <u>/</u> 91	NA NA	5/1/92	7/14/92	1	İ		
46	DISTRIB AND CHAR PRESENT AND PAST EROSION/USGS	8.3.1.6.1.1					ļ	1	1			
47	NELU FUT CLI COND ON LOC & RATES OF ERO/USGS	8.3.1.6.2.1	l				ļ		i			
48	EVAL EFFECT OF FUT TECT ON ERO AT YUC MTN/USGS	8.3.1.6.3.1					į					
49	DEV TOPICAL RPT EFFECTS OF EROON THE HYDRO,	8.3.1.6.4.1	ļ		ļ	į		}	ļ	ļ.		
	GEOCHEM, AND ROCK CHAR A FYUCCA MTN/USGS						ļ			ĺ	ŀ	1
50	PROB MAGMATIC ERUPT PENETRATE THE REPOSITANT.	8.3.1.8.1.1	3/29/89	4/5/89	9/12/89	9/28/89	6/1a/so	9/10/90	9/19/90	3 5,94	10:554	118
51	FIFECTS OF VOLERUPT PENTRATE REPOS/LANL	8.3.1.8.1.2	1						į.	1		ł
52	ANAL WST PKG RUP DUE TO TECT PROC & EVENTASAIC	8 1.1.8.2.1	12/15/89	1/31/90	10/30/50	11/20/91	12/10/91	8/11/92	1			1
53	ANAL EFFECTS OF IFCT PROC & EVENT ON AVE PERC FLUX	8.3 1.8.3.1	1]		1	Į.			-
	RATES OVER REPOS/USGS	1	1 .	l			1		l .	1		İ
54	ANAL EFF OF TECT PROCAFVENT CHGS WTR TBLE ELVAUSGS	8.3.1.8.3.2		I			ļ		1	1		
55	ANALERFORTECT PROC & EVENT ON LOCAL FRAC PERM	8.3 1.8.3.3					ļ	ł				1
1	AND FLIFCTIVE POROSITY/PSGS	1	}	I .]		į		i	l		1
56	ANALED TECT PROC&EVENT ON RK GEOCHEM PROPUSGS	8 3 1 8 4 1			_			Į.		i		
57	CHAR OF VOLCANIC FEATURES/LANL	8.3 1.8.5.1	12/14/88	1/17/89	6/20/89	7/12/89	1/2/90	3/15/90	4/18/90	5/15/90	8/20/280	118.01
58	CHAR IGNEOUS INTRUSIVE FEATURES/USGS-GD	8.3.1.8.5.2	I		I		ļ.	l		1		
59	INVEST FOLDS IN MIOCENE AND YNG RKS OF REG/USGS-GD	8.3.1.8.5.3			I		}	1]			1
60	EVAL NAT PROCTHAT COULD ATTECT LG TERM SURVIVABIL	8 3 1.9.1.1			l		İ]		ł		1 4
1	SURFACE MARKER SYS AT YUCCA MIN/MAO	I			l			1				(
61	NAT RES ASSESS YUCCA MIN, NYE COUNTY/USGS	8.3.1 9.2.1	7/13/90	8/16/90	1/7/91	NA.	1/13/92	9/28/92				
62	WATER RES ASSESS YUCCA MIN, NV/SAIC	8 3.1 9.2.2	10/6/89	1/30/90	8/15/90	5/9/01	12/26/90	6/13/91	8/26/91	9/20/91	5/1/92	1
61	EVAL DATA NEEDED TO SUPPASSESS LIKLIHOOD FUT	8 3 1 9.3.1		I	[[1	1			
1.	INADVER HUMAN INTRU YUC MIN EXPLOZEXT NAT RES/M&O	1		Ī								
61	EVAL POTENTIAL FEFECT OF EXPLOIT NATIRES ON HYDRO	8.3.1 9.3.2		Ī								
"	CHAR AT YUCCA MIN/M&O	1 77	1	i	1							
65	MITHOROLOGICAL DATA COLLECT YUCCA MEN SITE/SAIC	8 3 1.12 2.1	9/28/90	NA.	2/1/91	2/25/91	2/21/91	2/26/91	3/20/94	5/16/91	41/12/91	NOW



Department of Energy Washington, DC 20585 JUN 17 1991

Mr. John J. Linehan, Acting Director
Repository Licensing and Quality Assurance
Project Directorate
Division of High-Level Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Linehan:

Enclosed is the third progress report entitled, "Site Characterization Progress Report: Yucca Mountain, Nevada, Number 3," for April 1, 1990, through September 30, 1990. This report is transmitted for your information to show the reformatting of the document and for information on the status of the study plans.

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) comments on the first progress report (PR) (reference NRC letter to DOE; Bernero to Bartlett, dated June 25, 1990) centered around four major concerns, summarized as follows: (1) the relevance of the information in the PR to site characterization issues; (2) the completeness of data reported in the PR; (3) the level of detail in the PR; and (4) the status of NRC open items with regard to the Site Characterization Plan (SCP) or study plans.

When the NRC comments were received in July 1990, it was too late in the production cycle of the second PR to permit significant modification. However, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) believes that NRC concerns 2, 3, and 4 have been addressed in the third PR. The report was recently released to the public.

With respect to NRC's first concern, DOE agrees that the PR should report the significance of the work reported. However, please note that information reported in the PR includes the data, analyses, and conclusions that reside in the primary literature produced by project scientists. For such information, some discussion of important conclusions is desirable, but detailed discussion is deferred to the original reference. In addition, the eventual programmatic importance of such technical support documentation is not always immediately apparent, as the regulatory implications of technical data cannot be evaluated until after the studies have been completed. The PR role is an informational reference that is in addition to, and not a

9106260232 3P.

substitute for, other programmatic documents where the relationship of primary data to technical or licensing issues is dealt with. Similarly, the PR is not the mechanism for controlling changes to technical baselines, only to report when a change has been made.

With respect to NRC concerns 2 and 3, the level of detail of the PR has been expanded significantly to include a separate heading for each SCP study, activity, information need, and performance and design activity. In essence, the manner in which data were reported in the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office (YMPO) Technical Status Report (previously published separately) has been adopted for the PR.

With respect to NRC concern 4, PR tables 2.1 and 2.2 provide a status for NRC open items from comments on the SCP/Consultation Draft; the statutory SCP; the schedule for preparation, review, and approval of study plans; and various Exploratory Studies Facility open items. The tables provide a suggestion for a possible means of resolution for each of the items NRC has not indicated as closed. These suggestions are the means to continue a dialogue toward resolution and are not meant to indicate a committed DOE course of action.

An additional concern was expressed during a DOE/NRC management meeting held on January 24, 1991, with respect to the role of the PR in light of the DOE responses to comments in the Site Characterization Analysis (SCA). At issue was the relationship of the PR annotated bibliography with three management plans referenced in the DOE SCA responses and cover letter to the NRC.

The PR bibliography section included publications of recent research and various studies carried out by scientists working for the U.S. Geological Survey, the national laboratories, and other DOE contractors that are supported by the Nuclear Waste Fund. These references are not part of the DOE document hierarchy of management plans. The bibliography is directed primarily at those in the technical community and the public who wish to be informed of recent work carried out by the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM), and not exclusively at the NRC. This draft PR does not include a bibliography section, but instead references the Yucca Mountain Project (YMP) Bibliography, updates of which are published semiannually.

With respect to the reference and bibliography section of the PR, the NRC receives copies of all technical publications produced by YMPO participants at the following stations in Washington, DC:
(1) Document Control Desk, (2) Senior Project Manager for Yucca Mountain Repository Project Branch, and (3) Repository Licensing and Quality Assurance Project Directorate, as well as the NRC

site representative in Las Vegas. Additional addresses could be added at NRC's request. Copies of all publications sponsored by the OCRWM are also available to the NRC through the Uniform Category (UC-800) standard distribution system. Additional copies of the items referenced in the PR and the bibliography can be obtained through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) in Springfield, Virginia. The YMP Bibliography contains directions for acquiring all references contained in it through NTIS. DOE will forward copies to the NRC of any reference in the PR that is not available through the open literature, published symposia proceedings, or the standard channels for distribution of Government reports identified above. Such items would include, for example, uncontrolled copies of YMPO controlled documents.

Should you have any further questions in this regard, please contact Linda Desell of my office at (202) 586-1462.

Sincerely,

Dwight É. Shelor

Acting Associate Director for Systems and Compliance

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

Enclosure:

"Site Characterization Progress Report: Yucca Mountain, Nevada, Number 3"