## YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT OFFICE

## QUALITY ASSURANCE SURVEILLANCE REPORT

OF

# TECHNICAL AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT SERVICES

SURVEILLANCE NUMBER YMP-SR-90-036

CONDUCTED AUGUST 27 THROUGH 31, 1990

# ACTIVITIES SURVEILLED:

PROCUREMENT DOCUMENT CONTROL, CONTROL OF PURCHASED

ITEMS AND SERVICES, NONCONFORMING CONDITIONS, AND

QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS

Prepared by:	Richard L. Weeks Surveillance Team Leader Quality Assurance Engineer Yucca Mountain Project Office	Date: _	9/10/90
	Donald G. Horton, Director Quality Assurance Yucca Mountain Project Office	Date: _	9/6/90

9009210208 900917 PDR WASTE WM-11 PDC

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results of a surveillance conducted by the Yucca Mountain Project Office (Project Office) Quality Assurance (QA) Division of the Technical and Management Support Services (T&MSS) implementing procedures.

#### 2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this surveillance was to review the adequacy of implementation of selected T&MSS procedures. The following Standard Practice Procedures (SPs) and Operating Procedures (OPs) were reviewed during the surveillance:

- SP 1.23, Revision 0, Nonconformance Reporting
- SP 1.28, Revision 0, Control of Purchased Items and Services
- SP 1.36, Revision 0, Records Management
- OP 1.4, Revision 1, QA Review of Procurement Documents OP 1.7, Revision 2, Development and Maintenance of Qualified Suppliers

Checklists were developed for the following procedures; however, the checklists were not completed due to lack of implementation in the respective areas.

- SP 1.12, Revision 0, Possession, Procurement, Shipment and Receipt of Radioactive Material
- SP 1.25, Revision 1, Acceptance of Items and Services

#### 3.0 SURVEILLANCE PERSONNEL

- A. I. Arceo, QA Engineer, Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), Las Vegas, Nevada
- R. L. Weeks, QA Engineer (Lead), SAIC, Las Vegas, Nevada

#### 4.0 SUMMARY OF SURVEILLANCE REPORT

A number of deficient conditions were identified in the area of procurement and some minor deficiencies were identified in the area of nonconformances; however, all were corrected during the course of the surveillance. Identified and corrected deficiencies in the areas of procurement and nonconformances are listed below.

Three deficiency reports will be issued in the area of Records Management, two Standard Deficiency Reports (SDRs) will be issued by the Project Office, and one Quality Finding Report (QFR) will be issued by T&MSS.

TEMSS is issuing a deficiency report for a condition identified by the Project Office, due to the restriction placed on the Project Office that prohibits auditing to the Records Management Plan (RMP). TEMSS has committed to the requirements stated in the RMP and the Records Management Policies and Requirements (RMPR) (DOE/RW-0194, Revision 1) in their Quality Assurance Program Description (QAPD) (see TEMSS QAPD, Section 17.5.1). The deficiency identified was against the RMPR.

Additional findings that resulted from Surveillance YMP-SR-90-037 have been included in SDR No. 580, because the findings recognized identical procedural violations.

The following deficient conditions were identified and corrected during the course of the surveillance:

## Procurement

1. Item Identified: Purchase Requisition PR 5557079, Purchase Order PO 14-910065-65, ACE Glass, Attachment 4--Acceptance methods requirements and post-receipt testing did not identify acceptance criteria nor reference a procedure where the acceptance criteria are identified.

Resolution: G.H. Prowell added Work Instruction WI-AQ-006 to Attachment 4 on 8/29/90; K. Wolverton countersigned the addition on 8/29/90. QA copy updated.

2. Item Identified: PR 5581268, PO 14-910055-65, Amersham--PR identified Attachment 2 and Attachment 3 for QA requirements; however, attachments were not appropriately labeled as 2 or 3.

Resolution: K. Wolverton identified the attachments to make them traceable to the PO. This was completed on 8/29/90.

3. Item Identified: PR 5431265 was crossed out and replaced by PR 5581271, but was not initialed and dated.

Resolution: S. Woolfolk initialed and dated the document on 8/28/90.

4. Item Identified: PR 5557082, PO 14-910072, Esterline Angus--Blocks were not filled-in.

Resolution: "N/A" designations were added by K. Wolverton on 8/29/90.

- \*5. Item Identified: Billing address not on PR.
- \*6. Item Identified: Requestor did not sign the PO.

- \*7. Item Identified: Cost Account Manager (CAM) not defined.
- 8. Item Identified: PO 14-910068-65 was not logged-in.

Resolution: K. Wolverton added PO 14-910068-65 to PR 5581031 on 8/29/90 (corrected on the spot).

\* Note: Identified items 5, 6, and 7 have been corrected by the approval of SP 1.28, Revision 1 (9/7/90).

## Nonconformance Reporting

1. Item Identified: There were empty blanks on Nonconformance Report (NCR) form T&MSS-61-19 for NCRs 90-002/0 and 90-003/0.

Resolution: Appropriate information written into blanks or designated  $^*N/A.^*$ 

2. Item Identified: The revision number was not indicated in Block 5 for NCRs 90-002/0 and 90-003/0.

Resolution: Revision numbers were added to the original document.

## 5.0 PERSONNEL CONTACTED

- K. O. Gilkerson, QA Specialist, SAIC/T&MSS
- J. B. Harper, QA Manager, SAIC/T&MSS
- K. A. Hodges, QA Specialist, SAIC/T&MSS
- K. B. Johnson, QA Specialist, SAIC/T&MSS
- J. F. Ryan, Procurement, SAIC/T&MSS
- A. D. Tacelli, Supervisor, Local Records Center (LRC), SAIC/T&MSS
- C. T. Taylor, QA Specialist, SAIC/TEMSS
- J. D. Verden, Manager, Documents and Records Control Division, SAIC/T&MSS
- K. A. Walker, Accounts Payable Supervisor, SAIC/T&MSS
- K. M. Wolverton, QA Specialist, Harza/T&MSS

#### 6.0 SYNOPSIS OF DEFICIENCY DOCUMENTS

- SDR No. 580 Plans and Procedures Division (PPD) did not submit completed QA records to the T&MSS Local Records Center (LRC) within the required 10 days.
- SDR No. 581 The T&MSS LRC accepted and processed records that did not comply with procedural requirements.

QFR 90-007

SP 1.36 did not capture all of the requirements in the RMPR procedure, which is identified as a commitment in the Tamss QAPD.

## 7.0 REQUIRED ACTIONS

Tamss is requested to provide responses and effective dates for completion of corrective action to SDR Nos. 580 and 581 within 20 working days of the date of transmittal of the SDRs.

The response and corrective action to address QFR 90-007 will be completed in accordance with T&MSS procedures.

NFORMATION COPY

		YMPO STANDA	RD DEFICIENC	Y REPORT		N-QA-038 4/89		
	1 Date 8/31/90	2 Sev	verity Level   1	₩2 □3	Page	1 of 2		
Organization	3 Discovered During 3a Identified By YMP-SR-90-036 & R. L. Weeks				4 SDR No. 580	Rev0		
	5 Organization TEMSS	6 Person(s) Elaine L.			20 Worki	Due Date is ng Days from Fransmittal		
Originating OA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) SP 1.1, Revision 1, Section 7.0 states in part, * PPD submits the following to the LRC as QA records.							
£	9 Deficiency Contrary to the above requirements, completed record packages have not been submitted to the LRC for the following issued procedures within the required 10 days from closeout of the record packages:							
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): A Remedial Investigative  Corrective  Compile all QA records that have been generated as part of the identified deficient records and submit them to the LRC immediately.							
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor/	Date 12 Division 12 Divisi	on Manager/Date	13 Pr	pject Quality	Mgr./Date		
5	14 Remedial/Investigat				, ,	The state of the s		
Block				15 Effective	e Date	<del></del>		
	,	·						
드			•		t			
tjo								
Organization	16 Cause of the Con	dition & Corrective	Action to Prevent	Recurrence				
ğ			•	17 Effective	e Date			
8								
je G			<del></del>			<del></del>		
Completed by	18 Signature/Date							
Ġ.	19 Response Accepted	QAE/Lead Auditor	r/Date Division	Manager/Date	Project Q	uality Mgr./Date		
A Org.	20 Corrective Action Verif. Satisfactory	QAE/Lead Auditor	r/Date Division	Manager/Date	Project Q	uality Mgr./Date		
Comp. by Orig. QA	21 Remarks							
ë E	·	•	• .					
٥	22 QA CLOSURE QAE	/Lead Auditor/Date	Division Manag	er/Date PQI	W/Date	,		

# YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT **CONTINUATION SHEET**

**SDR No. 580** 

Page 2

of 2

8 Requirement (continued)

New Procedures/Revisions - Signed procedure, review sheets (Tamss-181), revised Table of Contents, revised index; plus new or revised forms and revised forms index (if applicable) ....\*

SP 1.36, Revision 0, Section 4.6, 2. states, \* Submit record packages within 10 working days after the closeout of the record package.

QMP-17-01, Revision 1, Paragraph 5.5.4.3 states in part \* Upon completion of the transmittal form, the completed record package, along with the transmittal form and the table of contents, shall be transmitted to the LRC within 10 working days after the closeout of the record package."

9 Deficiency (continued)

Tamss Procedure: SP 1.22, Revision 0

YMP Administrative Procedures:

AP 5.3Q, Revision 1

AP 5.90, Revision 0

AP 5.90, Revision 1

10 Recommended Actions (continued)

Determine if other document packages violate the identified requirements and take corrective action.

INFORMATION COFY

	•	YMPO STANDARD	DEFICIENCY	REPORT	r	N-QA-038 4/89	
	1 Date 8/31/90	2 Severit	y Level 1	<b>⊠</b> 2 □3	3 Page	1 of 2	
√ Organization	s Discovered During YMP-SR-90-036	3a identified By R. L. Weeks			4 SDR No. 581	Rev. <u>0</u>	
	5 Organization TEMSS	6 Person(s) Co Lena Tacelli	ntacted	·	7 Response 20 Worki Date of	Due Date is ing Days from Transmittal	
Originating QA	8 Requirement (Audit Checklist Reference, if Applicable) SP 1.36, Revision 0, Section 4.8, Para. 4 states in part, " Review record(s) and verify that requirements previously stated in Section 4.1 of this procedure have been adhered to"						
£	9 Deficiency Contrary to the above requirements, the following submitted record packages were accepted by the LRC even though they did not comply with procedural requirements. Listed below are examples of non-compliance						
Completed	10 Recommended Action(s): ☑ Remedial ☐ Investigative ☒ Corrective  Review document packages and make necessary corrections as-soon-as- possible.						
Aprvl.	11 QAE/Lead Auditor/D		Manager/Date	13	roject Quality	Mgr./Date	
$\vdash$	14 Remedial/Investigation	9/6/90 ve Action(s)	) <i>[</i> 4		DHU TY	when for 968C	
송 5	14 UettiegighittaeanAgn	ve Accoma	1	15 Effecti	ve Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Block							
드							
zatlo	to Cours of the Cond	litian & Compating Act	ion to Brought	Pogurona		·	
Organization	16 Cause of the Condition & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence 17 Effective Date						
	1						
ete l							
Completed by	18 Signature/Date						
ć	19 Response Accepted	QAE/Lead Auditor/Dat	te Division M	lanager/Date	e Project Q	uality Mgr./Date	
QA Org.	20 Corrective Action Verif. Satisfactory	QAE/Lead Auditor/Da	te Division M	lanager/Date	e Project Q	uality MgrJDate	
	21 Remarks			_			
Comp. by Orig.					· <del></del>		
	QA CLOSURE QAE/L	Lead Auditor/Date D	ivision Manager	/Date PC	QM/Date		

NEORMATION CORY

# YMPO STANDARD DEFICIENCY REPORT CONTINUATION SHEET

N-QA-038 2/89

SDR No. 581

Page 2

of 2

# 8 Requirement ( continued )

SP 1.36, Revision 0, Section 4.1, Para. 1 states, " Clearly mark DRAFT on the first page of Draft documents."

SP 1.36, Revision 0, Section 4.1, Para. 7b states, \* Individual page counts for those records or groups of records, \*

SP 1.36, Revision 0, Section 4.1, Para. 13 states, \* Fill in all blocks on QA records including signature, or enter \*NA\*.\*

SP 1.36, Revision 0, Section 4.2, Para. 1 states in part "...and initialing and dating the correction...."

9 Deficiency ( continued )
with procedural requirements:

WI-MET-005 and WI-AQ-013:

- 1. Draft copies not stamped "DRAFT".
- 2. Individual record page counts not correct.
- 3. Blocks not filled in on Review Form (T&MSS-181)

NNA. 900628.0367, WI's for Meteorology and Air Quality, WI-MET-001 and 002 and WI-AQ-001 through WI-AQ-005:

- 1. Review Form (T&MSS-181) submitted with empty blanks.
- Draft copies not stamped \*DRAFT\*.
- 3. Cross-outs not initialed and dated on Review Form for WI-AQ-004, Revision 0.
- 10 Recommended Actions (continued)