

June 1, 1998

Mr. Michael J. Kelly, Managing Editor
Las Vegas Sun
P.O. Box 4275
Las Vegas, Nevada 89127

Dear Mr. Kelly:

I am writing in regard to two recent articles by Mary Manning, of your staff. A May 15, 1998, article provided a well-balanced and fair representation of the information from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) public meeting of May 13, 1998. Unfortunately, the previous May 7, 1998, article by this reporter entitled "Missing Yucca Information Bothers Licensing Agency" contained some erroneous information and quotations that I would like to correct for your readers in the following paragraphs.

The article indicated that, at a meeting on the Department of Energy's (DOE) Quality Assurance Program that took place on March 6, 1998, Dr. Carl Paperiello from the NRC headquarters said, "...the extensive list of missing scientific evidence usually kept in bound notebooks left his view of licensing Yucca Mountain 'not as rosy as some around this table....'" In fact, Dr. Paperiello, Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, NRC, was in attendance only as an observer during the latter part of the meeting; at no time did he make any statements or participate. Secondly, at no time did anyone indicate that scientific evidence was missing. Rather, DOE Quality Assurance personnel have identified some cases where scientists contracted by DOE are not following all detailed procedures for recording scientific data in notebooks.

In a later paragraph, the article stated that Bill Belke, NRC On-site Representative, reported to the Nevada Legislative Committee in March that "...the project could not receive a license because too much data was missing or invalid." Transcripts of the Committee meeting indicate that Mr. Belke made no such comment. The Commission's decision whether to grant DOE authorization to construct the Yucca Mountain repository will be based on a review and consideration of DOE's license application and environmental impact statement in light of all the information introduced in NRC's formal licensing process. At the present time, it is our understanding that DOE plans to submit the license application for the repository in fiscal year 2002.

Lastly, your reporter indicated that "...the licensing agency stopped work at Yucca Mountain in the late '80s. It took more than a year for DOE to meet the NRC's concerns to resume its studies." In fact, it was DOE, not NRC (the licensing agency), that issued the stop work order. Since DOE is not yet an applicant or licensee, NRC generally does not have authority to stop the work of DOE or its contractors.

Sincerely,

John T. Greeves, Director
Division of Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

40025

DISTRIBUTION:	File Center	DWM r/f t/f	NMSS r/f	PAHL r/f	CPaperiello
JGreeves	RJohnson	KStablein	RWeller	DBrooks	JHolonich
JHickey	TJohnson	LBell	RNelson	JThoma	On-site Reps
CNWRA	OPA	<i>Mr. Krapp</i>			

TICKET: DWM-980086

DOCUMENT NAME: S:IDWMPAHLISLWLVLSUN.EDT

*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

OFC	PAHL*	PAHL*	DWM				
NAME	SWastler/jcg	MBell	JGreeves				
DATE	5/21/98	5/21/98	5/10/98				

1/0
102 NHT

9806040359 980601
NMSS SUBJ
102 CF

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

980112

FILE CENTER COPY

Mr. Michael J. Kelly, Managing Editor
 Las Vegas Sun
 P.O. Box 4275
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89127

Dear Mr. Kelly:

I am writing in regard to two recent articles by Mary Manning, of your staff. A May 15, 1998, article provided a well-balanced and fair representation of the information from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) public meeting of May 13, 1998. Unfortunately, the previous May 7, 1998, article by this reporter entitled "Missing Yucca Information Bothers Licensing Agency" contained some erroneous information and quotations that I would like to correct for your readers in the following paragraphs.

The article indicated that Carl Paperiello from the NRC headquarters said "...the extensive list of missing scientific evidence usually kept in bound notebooks left his view of licensing Yucca Mountain 'not as rosy as some around this table....'" In fact, Dr. Paperiello, Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, NRC, was in attendance only as an observer during the latter part of the meeting; at no time did he make any statements or participate. Secondly, at no time did anyone indicate that scientific evidence was missing. Rather, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Quality Assurance personnel have identified some cases where scientists contracted by DOE are not following all detailed procedures for recording scientific data in notebooks.

In a later paragraph, the article stated that Bill Belke, NRC On-site Representative, reported to the Nevada Legislative Committee in March that "...the project could not receive a license because too much data was missing or invalid." Transcripts of the Committee meeting indicate that Mr. Belke made no such comment. The Commission's decision whether to grant DOE authorization to construct the Yucca Mountain repository will be based on a review and consideration of DOE's license application and environmental impact statement in light of all the information introduced in NRC's formal licensing process. At the present time, it is our understanding that DOE plans to submit the license application for the repository in fiscal year 2002.

Lastly, your reporter indicated that "...the licensing agency stopped work at Yucca Mountain in the late '80s. It took more than a year for DOE to meet the NRC's concerns to resume its studies." In fact, it was DOE, not NRC (the licensing agency), that issued the stop work order. Since DOE is not yet an applicant or licensee, NRC generally does not have authority to stop the work of DOE or its contractors.

Sincerely,

John T. Greeves, Director
 Division of Waste Management
 Office of Nuclear Material Safety
 and Safeguards

DISTRIBUTION: File Center DWM r/f NMSS r/f PAHL r/f CPaperiello
 JGreeves RJohnson KStablein RWeller DBrooks JHolonich
 JHickey TJohnson LBell RNelson JThoma On-site Reps
 CNWRA OPA

TICKET: DWM-980086

DOCUMENT NAME: S:\DWM\PAHL\SLWLV\SUN.EDT *SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

OFC	PAHL	PAHL	DWM						
NAME	SWastler	MBell	JGreeves						
DATE	5/21/98	5/21/98	5/ /98						

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mr. Michael J. Kelly, Managing Editor
 Las Vegas Sun
 P.O. Box 4275
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89127

Dear Mr. Kelly:

I am writing in regard to two recent articles by Mary Manning, of your staff. A May 15, 1998, article provided a well-balanced and fair representation of the information from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) public meeting of May 13, 1998. Unfortunately, the previous May 7, 1998, article by this reporter entitled "Missing Yucca Information Bothers Licensing Agency" contained some erroneous information and quotations that I would like to correct for your readers in the following paragraphs.

The article indicated that Carl Paperiello from the NRC headquarters said "...the extensive list of missing scientific evidence usually kept in bound notebooks left his view of licensing Yucca Mountain 'not as rosy as some around this table....'" In fact, Dr. Paperiello, Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, NRC, was in attendance only as an observer during the latter part of the meeting; at no time did he make any statements or participate. Secondly, at no time did anyone indicate that scientific evidence was missing. Scientific notebooks are an issue because U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) scientists are not following appropriate procedures for recording scientific data in the scientific notebooks so that the development of the scientific data can be traced.

In a later paragraph, the article stated that Bill Belke, NRC On-site Representative, reported to the Nevada Legislative Committee in March that "...the project could not receive a license because too much data was missing or invalid." Transcripts of the Committee meeting indicate that Mr. Belke made no such comment. The Commission's decision whether to grant DOE a license to operate the Yucca Mountain repository will be based on NRC staff evaluation of DOE's license application and appropriate adjudicatory hearings. At the present time, it is our understanding that DOE plans to submit the license application for the repository in fiscal year 2002.

Lastly, your reporter indicated that "...the licensing agency stopped work at Yucca Mountain in the late '80s. It took more than a year for DOE to meet the NRC's concerns to resume its studies." In fact, it was DOE, not NRC (the licensing agency), that issued the stop work order. Since DOE is not yet an applicant or licensee, NRC has no authority to stop the work of DOE or its contractors.

Sincerely,

John T. Greeves, Director
 Division of Waste Management
 Office of Nuclear Material Safety
 and Safeguards

DISTRIBUTION: File Center DWM r/f -tf NMSS r/f PAHL r/f CPaperiello
 JGreeves RJohnson KStablein RWeller DBrooks JHolonich
 JHickey TJohnson LBell RNelson JThoma On-site Reps
 CNWRA OPA

TICKET: DWM-980086

DOCUMENT NAME: S:\DWM\PAHL\SLWLVSUN.EDT

***SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE**

OFC	PAHL*		PAHL		DWM					
NAME	SWastler/jcg		MBell		JGreeves					
DATE	5/21/98		5/21/98		5/ /98					

BP
5/22

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mr. Michael J. Kelly, Managing Editor
 Las Vegas Sun
 P.O. Box 4275
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89127

Dear Mr. Kelly:

I am writing in regard to two recent articles by Mary Manning, of your staff. A May 15, 1998, article provided a well-balanced and fair representation of the information from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) public meeting of May 13, 1998. Unfortunately, the previous May 7, 1998, article by this reporter entitled "Missing Yucca Information Bothers Licensing Agency" contained some erroneous information and quotations that I would like to correct for your readers in the following paragraphs.

The article indicated that Carl Paperiello from the NRC headquarters said "...the extensive list of missing scientific evidence usually kept in bound notebooks left his view of licensing Yucca Mountain 'not as rosy as some around this table....'" In fact, Dr. Paperiello, Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, NRC, was in attendance only as an observer during the latter part of the meeting; at no time did he make any statements or participate. Secondly, at no time did anyone indicate that scientific evidence was missing. Scientific notebooks are an issue because U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) scientists are not following appropriate procedures for recording scientific data in the scientific notebooks so that the development of the scientific data can be traced.

In a later paragraph, the article stated that Bill Belke, NRC On-site Representative, reported to the Nevada Legislative Committee in March that "...the project could not receive a license because too much data was missing or invalid." Transcripts of the Committee meeting indicate that Mr. Belke made no such comment. The Commission's decision whether to grant DOE a license to operate the Yucca Mountain repository will be based on NRC staff evaluation of DOE's license application and appropriate adjudicatory hearings. At the present time, it is our understanding that DOE plans to submit the license application for the repository in fiscal year 2002.

Lastly, your reporter indicated that "...the licensing agency stopped work at Yucca Mountain in the late '80s. It took more than a year for DOE to meet the NRC's concerns to resume its studies." In fact, it was DOE, not NRC (the licensing agency), that issued the stop work order. Since DOE is not yet an applicant or licensee, NRC has no authority to stop the work of DOE or its contractors.

Sincerely,

Margaret V. Federline, Deputy Director
 Division of Waste Management
 Office of Nuclear Material Safety
 and Safeguards

DISTRIBUTION: File Center DWM r/f NMSS r/f PAHL r/f CPaperiello
 JGreeves RJohnson KStablein RWeller DBrooks JHolonich
 JHickey TJohnson LBell RNelson JThoma On-site Reps
 CNWRA OPA

TICKET: DWM-980086

DOCUMENT NAME: S:\DWM\PAHL\SLWLVSUN.EDT

OFC	PAHL	Tech Ed	PAHL	DWM			
NAME	SWastler/jcg	EKraus	MBell	MFederline			
DATE	5/21/98	5/20/98	5/ /98	5/ /98			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY