

DOCKETED  
USNRC

165

From: Daal <daalone@yahoo.com>  
To: <secy@nrc.gov>  
Date: Mon, Jun 9, 2003 11:34 AM  
Subject: nuke waste suggestions

June 9, 2003 (11:47AM)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Dear NRC representative:

**DOCKET NUMBER**  
**PROPOSED RULE PR 20**  
**(68FR09595)**

My suggestions:

1 ) continue releasing nuclear waste like is now being done--letting contaminated materials go into unrestricted commerce (consumer goods and more) based on detection levels set in 1974 for decommissioning contamaminated rooms or

2) continue releasing nuclear waste but allow more out by using "dose estimates" that the NRC thinks are "trivial doses" or negligible risks (dose-based release standards cannot be physically measured, verified or enforced-- they are a like a blank check to the nuclear industry to let wastes out) or

3) let nuclear waste be released into commerce, based on dose estimates like in option 2, but try to limit where it ends up like in roads or bridges or piping-- Someone would place conditions on the uses of the waste even though it would not be tracked or

4) treat the nuclear waste like regular trash so it can go to regular garbage dumps or incinerators (referred to as RCRA Title D facilities) or treat it like hazardous waste and dump it in hazardous landfills or facilities (called RCRA Title C facilities) or

5) send the waste licensed radioactive waste dumps or

6) Report on and recapture all the nuclear wastes you have already deregulated over the years, since your organization says that no harm has come from it.

Thank you.

Daal Praderas  
Lakewood, CA

---

Do you Yahoo!?Yahoo! Calendar - Free online calendar with sync to Outlook(TM).  
<http://calendar.yahoo.com>

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02