


#3

UCRL-ID-127355

Electrochemical and Metallographic Evaluation of Alloys C-22 and 625

A.K. Roy
D.L. Fleming
B.Y. Lum

May 1997



This is an informal report intended primarily for internal or limited external distribution. The opinions and conclusions stated are those of the author and may or may not be those of the Laboratory.
Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-ENG-48.

9803040361 970531
PDR TOPRP EXILL
C PDR



102
wm-11
DF03
0/1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This report has been reproduced
directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401

Available to the public from the
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Rd.,
Springfield, VA 22161

Electrochemical and Metallographic Evaluation of Alloys C-22 and 625

**Ajit K. Roy
Dennis L. Fleming
Beverly Y. Lum**

May 1997

Electrochemical and Metallographic Evaluation of Alloys C-22 and 625

Ajit K. Roy
Framatome Cogema Fuels
c/o LLNL (On Assignment)
P.O.Box 808, M/S: L-369
Livermore, CA 94551

Dennis L. Fleming
Beverly Y. Lum
Lawrence Livermore
National Laboratory
P.O.Box 808
Livermore, CA 94551

Abstract

Electrochemical cyclic potentiodynamic polarization (CPP) experiments were performed on Alloys C-22 and 625 to evaluate their susceptibility to localized corrosion in acidic brines of various salt content at 90°C. The microstructures of both tested and untested specimens were evaluated by optical microscopy. This paper presents the results showing the effect of chloride ion (Cl⁻) concentration on the pitting and crevice corrosion behavior of these alloys, and the relationship of the observed microstructures to the resulting surface degradation modes.

Background

The results⁽¹⁾ of a recent electrochemical corrosion study performed on several candidate inner container waste package materials at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) have shown that nickel-chromium-molybdenum (Ni-Cr-Mo) Alloy C-22 was immune to localized attack in brines of various pHs at temperatures up to 90°C. More recently, Alloy 625, also a Ni-Cr-Mo alloy, was included in the electrochemical corrosion testing program to compare its localized corrosion resistance to that of Alloy C-22. This paper presents the results of CPP tests involving both these alloys in comparable environments. Furthermore, the results of metallographic evaluation of both tested and untested specimens are presented.

Materials and Environments

Materials tested include Ni-Cr-Mo Alloys C-22 and 625. Their chemical compositions are given in Table 1. Test specimens were fabricated from annealed materials by an outside vendor. Additional thermal treatments were not given to these specimens prior to their exposure to the test environments.

Tests were conducted in deaerated acidic brines containing 1 to 10 weight percent (wt%) NaCl at 90°C. Acidification was done by adding sulfuric acid to the brine. The pH of these solutions ranged between 2 and 3.

Experimental Procedure

A three-electrode technique was used to perform CPP experiments in a Pyrex cell using a cylindrical test specimen as the working electrode, two graphite counter electrodes, and a Luggin capillary connected to a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The test specimens were polished with 600-grit paper and cleaned with distilled water, acetone and ethanol prior to their exposure to the test environments.

Electrochemical potential was applied to the test specimens at a scan rate of 0.17 mV/sec using an EG&G Model 273 or 283 potentiostat, controlled by an IBM-compatible PC with EG&G corrosion software. Forward potential scans were done in the noble direction commencing at the stable corrosion potential (E_{corr}), and continuing to a potential sufficiently noble to either cause pitting or indicate pit-like behavior prior to reversing the potential scan at the same rate.

For alloys susceptible to pitting corrosion, a clockwise hysteresis loop is traced during reverse scan. Two pitting parameters, namely the critical pitting potential (E_{pit}), and the repassivation or protection potential (E_{prot}) can be determined from these tests. The value of E_{pit} denotes the potential at which current increases abruptly on the forward scan, indicating the possibility of pit initiation. E_{prot} indicates the potential at which current returns to passive values during the reverse scan, indicating repassivation of pits.

The pH of the test solution was measured at room temperature prior to initiation of each experiment. At the conclusion of each test, the specimen was cleaned with distilled water, acetone and ethanol. The cleaned specimen was visually examined, followed by an optical microscopic evaluation to detect the presence or absence of pitting. Furthermore, metallographic evaluation of both tested and untested specimens was performed using conventional techniques to characterize and compare the metallurgical microstructures of both alloys. The specimens were electrolytically etched in 4% nital (a mixture of nitric acid and ethanol) prior to their evaluation.

Results and Discussion

Electrochemical Study

Results indicate that Alloy C-22 was immune to pitting corrosion in all salt solutions tested. Furthermore, this alloy showed very little or no crevice corrosion tendency. In general, the specimen surface was unattacked. Contrary to this observation, Alloy 625 exhibited a tendency to surface degradation including crevice corrosion, pitting corrosion, and cracking. A comparison of specimen surface appearance of both alloys tested in acidified brine containing 10 wt% NaCl is illustrated in Figure 1.

The initiation of pitting in susceptible alloys is the result of localized breakdown of their passive films due to the presence of aggressive anions such as Cl^- . Two theories have been proposed^(2,3) to explain the concept of E_{pit} , at or above which passive metals and alloys may undergo pitting in the presence of halide ions. One of these theories, proposed by Hoar et al⁽²⁾, suggests that pitting corrosion occurs as a result of adsorption of aggressive anions such as Cl^- on an oxide film, followed by penetration of this film under the influence of an electrostatic field. Pits initiate when the field across the film-solution interface reaches a critical value.

An alternate model, proposed by Leckie and Uhlig⁽³⁾, is based on reversible competitive adsorption of Cl^- into the oxide/liquid interface (double layer) with oxygen for sites on the alloy surface. Oxygen normally has higher affinity than Cl^- for an adsorption site, but as the electrochemical potential of the alloy is driven in the noble direction, more Cl^- ions move into the double layer. At a sufficiently high concentration corresponding to E_{pit} , Cl^- ions succeed at favored sites in destroying passivity by displacing adsorbed oxygen ions.

The relationship between E_{pit} and Cl^- concentration for Alloys C-22 and 625 in acidic brines is illustrated in Figure 2. It appears that for Alloy 625, E_{pit} was gradually shifted to more active values with increasing Cl^- concentration. A similar effect of Cl^- concentration on E_{pit} has been reported elsewhere⁽⁴⁻⁷⁾ for alloys susceptible to pitting corrosion. For Alloy C-22, even though E_{pit} was shifted to a more active value due to an increase in NaCl concentration from 1 to 5 wt%, above 5 to 7 wt% NaCl E_{pit} was shifted to more noble values.

Except in 1 wt% NaCl solution, a clockwise hysteresis loop was traced for Alloy 625 during reverse potential scan in all environments tested, identifying E_{pit} . But E_{prot} could not be determined from these CPP diagrams

since the return polarization curve did not intersect the forward polarization curve at the passive current density (Figure 3), thus, showing no repassivation phenomenon in these tests.

For Alloy C-22, which did not exhibit any pitting susceptibility, the return polarization curve followed a counter-clockwise path upon reversal of the potential scan. The critical potential became sufficiently noble to overlap the transpassive region, as shown in Figure 4. It has been suggested^(8,9) that when the electrochemical potential of a passivated alloy is raised sufficiently, the potential drop across the double layer may often become high enough for the oxidation of entities in the solution - often that of water, but especially in concentrated solutions. This may result in the formation of oxides on the alloy surface as anodic products, and uniform corrosion of the alloy may then occur with little or no localized attack. Such a phenomenon may possibly account for the absence of pitting in Alloy C-22.

Metallographic Evaluation

The results of metallographic evaluation are illustrated in Figures 5 through 8. Figures 5 and 6 show the microstructures of untested and polarized Alloy 625 specimens, respectively. An examination of these microstructures reveals precipitation in one direction, possibly the direction of working. Furthermore, the polarized specimen shows grain boundary attack upon exposure to the test solution.

It is well known that most high nickel chromium bearing alloys can be made susceptible to intergranular corrosion in certain corrosive environments as a result of improper heat treatment following fabrication. If these austenitic alloys are heated in the temperature range of 500 to 850°C (932 to 1562°F) or are allowed to cool slowly through this temperature range, the carbon in the vicinity of the grain boundaries will diffuse toward the boundaries and there combine with chromium to form chromium carbides. These carbides bind considerable quantities of chromium, thereby leaving the grain boundaries depleted of Cr and therefore susceptible to preferential attack by a corroding medium. This phenomenon is commonly known as sensitization.

One way of reducing the tendency to sensitization is to reduce the carbon content of the alloy (as in Types 304L and 316L stainless steels). The other way is to stabilize the alloy with Ti or Nb (as in Types 321 and 347 stainless steels). These elements have a greater affinity for carbon than Cr, binding the carbon as titanium or niobium carbides and suppressing the formation of

chromium carbides. But the avoidance of sensitization effects in Cr bearing alloys of higher Ni content is more difficult than with the 300 series stainless steels. Carbon solubility is considerably less in the higher Ni alloys so that reduction in carbon content or addition of stabilizing elements such as Nb or Ti is less effective.

As mentioned earlier, all specimens were fabricated by a qualified outside vendor from annealed materials. The precise annealing temperature and time at temperature for either alloy are not available from this vendor. But their chemical composition and mechanical properties, provided by the vendor, meet the requirements of the respective ASTM Designation. No attempt has been made to analyze the precipitates observed in Figures 5 and 6. However, there are indications in the open literature^(10,11) that for standard solution-annealed Alloy 625, these precipitates could be chromium and molybdenum-rich carbides of the type $M_{23}C_6$ and M_6C , which deplete the γ matrix in these elements in the vicinity of the grain boundaries.

The metallurgical microstructures of untested and polarized specimens of Alloy C-22 are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. Scattered precipitates are observed along grain boundaries and within grains. It has been suggested⁽¹⁰⁾ that carbides of the M_6C type can be randomly distributed throughout the austenitic matrix in Alloy C-22 regardless of heat treatment and with no apparent effect on corrosion resistance. Thus, it is possible that the absence of pitting in this alloy could be due to the lack of continuous precipitation along the grain boundaries.

Summary and Conclusions

Ni-Cr-Mo Alloys C-22 and 625 were studied for evaluating their localized corrosion behavior in 90°C acidic brines containing 1 to 10 wt% NaCl using CPP technique. The effect of Cl^- concentration on E_{pit} was investigated. The metallurgical microstructures of untested and polarized specimens were also evaluated. The conclusions drawn from this study are the following:

- Alloy 625 became susceptible to pitting corrosion, crevice corrosion, and surface attack.
- Alloy C-22 was immune to localized attack under all environmental conditions studied, thus demonstrating its improved corrosion resistance.
- For Alloy 625, E_{pit} was gradually shifted to more

active values with increasing Cl^- concentration, confirming observations by other investigators. But for Alloy C-22, above 5 to 7 wt% NaCl, E_{pit} was shifted to more noble values.

- Alloy 625 showed a conventional hysteresis loop upon reversal of the potential scan. On the contrary, a counter-clockwise path was observed with Alloy C-22 during the reverse potential scan, indicating absence of localized attack possibly due to the formation of oxides on the alloy surface.
- The metallurgical microstructures of polarized Alloy 625 specimens revealed intergranular corrosion. In contrast, random precipitation was observed along grain boundaries and within grains of Alloy C-22.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office, Las Vegas, NV, and performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract number W-7405-ENG-48 and by TRW Environmental Safety Systems Inc. under contract number DE-AC01-RW00134.

Acknowledgment is also made to Robert Kershaw for his assistance in metallographic study.

References


1. A. K. Roy, D. L. Fleming and B. Y. Lum, "Effect of Environmental Variables on Localized Corrosion of High-Performance Container Materials," LLNL UCRL-JC-125329 January 1997, to be presented at the Fifth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering, Nice, France, May 26-30, 1997
2. T. P. Hoar, D. C. Mears and G. P. Rothwell, "The Relationships Between Anodic Passivity, Brightening and Pitting," Corrosion Science Vol. 5, pp 279-289 (1965)
3. H. P. Leckie and H. H. Uhlig, "Environmental Factors Affecting the Critical Potential for Pitting in 18-8 Stainless Steel," J. Electrochem. Soc. Vol. 113, No. 12, pp 1262-1267 (1966)

4. A. U. Malik, P. C. M. Kutty, A. N. Siddiqi, I. N. Andijani and S. Ahmed, "The Influence of pH and Chloride Concentration on the Corrosion Behavior of AISI 316L Steel in Aqueous Solutions," *Corrosion Science* Vol. 33, No. 11, pp 1809-1827 (1992)
5. J-H. Wang, C. C. Su and Z. Szklarska-Smialowska, "Effects of Cl⁻ Concentration and Temperature on Pitting of AISI 304 Stainless Steel." *Corrosion* Vol. 44, No. 10, pp 732-737 (1988)
6. R. Ambat and E. S. Dwarakadasa, "Studies on the Influence of Chloride Ion and pH on the Electrochemical Behavior of Aluminum Alloys 8090 and 2014," *J. Appl. Electrochem.* Vol. 24, No. 9, pp 911-916 (1994)
7. N. Sridhar and G. A. Cragolino, "Applicability of Repassivation Potential for Long-Term Prediction of Localized Corrosion of Alloy 825 and Type 316L Stainless Steel," *Corrosion* Vol. 4, No. 11, pp 885-894 (1993)
8. J. Horvath and H. H. Uhlig, "Critical Potentials for Pitting Corrosion of Ni, Cr-Ni, Cr-Fe, and Related Stainless Steels," *J. Electrochem. Soc.* Vol. 115, No. 8, pp 791-795 (1968)
9. T. P. Hoar, "The Production and Breakdown of the Passivity of Metals," *Corrosion Science* Vol. 7, pp 341-355 (1967)
10. M. H. Brown and R. W. Kirchner, "Sensitization of Wrought High Nickel Alloys," *Corrosion* Vol. 29, No. 12 (1973)
11. R. Cozar, M. Rouby, B. Mayonobe and C. Morizot, "Mechanical Properties, Corrosion Resistance and Microstructure of both Regular and Titanium Hardened 625 Alloys," *Proc. Int. Symp. Metall. Appl. Superalloys 718, 625 Var. Deriv.* Ed(s): E. A. Loria, Pubs: Miner. Met. Mater. Soc., Warrendale, Pa, pp 423-436 (1991)


Table 1

Chemical Composition of Materials Tested (wt%)

<u>Material</u>	<u>Heat No</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>Mn</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>Si</u>	<u>Ni</u>	<u>Cr</u>	<u>Mo</u>	<u>Fe</u>	<u>Ti</u>	<u>Al</u>	<u>Co</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>Others</u>
Alloy C-22	J244	0.004	0.21	0.004	<0.001	0.03	Bal	20.65	14.08	2.61	--	--	0.11	3.29	V: 0.02
Alloy C-22	L380	0.004	0.15	0.010	0.007	0.027	Bal	21.40	13.41	4.90	--	--	1.50	3.10	V: 0.12
Alloy 625	L704	0.020	0.08	0.006	0.001	0.08	Bal	21.67	8.90	3.86	0.27	0.29	0.10	--	Nb+Ta: 3.45



Alloy C-22



Alloy 625

Figure 1. Surface Appearance (Vertical Mode) of Alloys C-22 and 625 in Acidic Brines Containing 10 wt% NaCl at 90°C




Figure 2. Critical Pitting Potential vs Chloride Concentration in Acidic Brines at 90°C




Figure 3. CPP Diagram of Alloy 625 in Acidic Brine Containing 5 wt% NaCl at 90°C




Figure 4. CPP Diagram of Alloy C-22 in Acidic Brine Containing 5 wt% NaCl at 90°C




Figure 5. Microstructure of Untested Alloy 625 Specimen.
200X. Etchant: 4% Nital Electrolytic.




Figure 6. Microstructure of Polarized Alloy 625 Specimen.
200X. Etchant: 4% Nital Electrolytic.




Figure 7. Microstructure of Untested Alloy C-22 Specimen.
200X. Etchant: 4% Nital Electrolytic.



Figure 8. Microstructure of Polarized Alloy C-22 Specimen.
200X. Etchant: 4% Nital Electrolytic.

Technical Information Department • Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
University of California • Livermore, California 94551

