

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

FEB 1 1 1997

L. D. Foust, Technical Project Officer for Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project TRW Environmental Safety Systems, Inc. 1180 Town Center Drive, M/S 423 Las Vegas, NV 89134

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE TO DEFICIENCY REPORT (DR) YM-97-D-019 RESULTING FROM OFFICE OF QUALITY ASSURANCE (OQA) AUDIT LANL-ARP-97-01 OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

The OQA staff has evaluated the response to DR YM-97-D-019. The response has been determined to be satisfactory. Verification of completion of the corrective action will be performed after the effective date provided. Any extension to this date must be requested in writing, with appropriate justification, prior to the date. Please send a copy of extension requests to Deborah Sult, OQA/QATSS, P.O. Box 98608, Mail Stop 455, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8608.

If you have any questions, please contact either James Blaylock at (702) 794-1420 or Donald J. Harris at (702) 794-1467.

> $\mathbb{R}, \omega, \omega$ For Donald G. Horton, Director

Office of Quality Assurance

OOA:JB-0915

Enclosure: DR YM-97-D-019

cc w/encl:

T. A. Wood, DOE/HQ (RW-55) FORS

J. O. Thomas NRC, Washington, DC S. W. Zimmerman, NWPO, Carson City, NV

B. R. Justice, M&O, Las Vegas, NV

R. A. Morgan, M&O, Las Vegas, NV

J. A. Canepa, M&O/LANL, Los Alamos, NM

M. J. Clevenger, M&O/LANL, Los Alamos, NM

Records Processing Center

cc w/o encl:

W. L. Belke, NRC, Las Vegas, NV

D. J. Harris, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

D. G. Sult, OQA/QATSS, Las Vegas, NV

R. W. Clark, DOE/OQA, Las Vegas, NV

9702190271 970211

WASTE PDR WM-11

100040

PDR

102.7

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON, D.C.

	Percontaine Report							
☑Deficiency Report								
	mr 07 n 010							

NO.YM-97-D-019

ADIGINAL

PAGE 1 OF 2 QA: L

	.		QA: I					
PERFORMANC	E/DEFICIENCY RE	PORT						
1 Controlling Document:		2 Related Re	port No.					
QARD DOE/RW 0333P, Revision 5		LANL-ARP-	97-01					
LANL-YMP-QP-08.1, Revision 5, Identification and Control	l of Samples							
3 Responsible Organization:	4-Discussed With:							
LANL	S. Levy, C. Martinez, I	D. Bish	•					
5 Requirement/Measurement Criteria:								
QARD Supp II Sample Control, Section II.2.2 Traceabilit from its collection through final use.	y, Item B. Sample traceability	shall ensure that sa	mples can be traced at all times					
	QP-08.1, Section 6.2.1, states in part; YMP personnel ensure sample identification and control is adequately documented to permit tracking a sample and its derivatives from its origination through all analytical or other process, to its present location or final disposition.							
 QARD Section II.2.4C.3, states in part; if sample st updating related documents. 	QARD Section II.2.4C.3, states in part; if sample storage is required, these methods shall provide for, as applicable: 3. updating related documents.							
6 Description of Condition:	<u>.</u>							
1. Contrary to the Requirements 1 and 2, LANL-YMP-QP-(address the identification of Standards when submitted as Sample Tracking System or the Sample Tracking Log No Studies, WBS 1.2.3.2.1.1.1, identified on page 121, Stand unknown powders for INAA data to the University of Wa and SGR-1 were assigned LANL Sample Numbers 1550, Samples from the field that are tracked in the main menu	s blind samples for analyses. The Laboratory Notebook, e.g., the Laboratory Notebook, e.g., the Laboratory Notebook, e.g., the Laboratory Notebook, shington at St. Louis, Missour, P1, 1552, P1, and 1551, P1, and P1	These Standards are otebook TWS-EES lers which was sub- ri, for analysis. The which appear as der	e not tracked in the main menu 1-1-5-92-3 Calcite Precipitation mitted as Blinds along with the see Standards NBS-278, NBS-688 ivative of actual physical Powder					
Science (EES) storage area. In addition, the number	Contrary to Requirement 3, the LANL YMP Sample Storage Area was consolidated into the LANL Environmental Earth Science (EES) storage area. In addition, the number of cabinets were also consolidated. The main menu Sample Tracking System (computer program) and the Field Sample Log Book were not updated to reflect the new location of the YMP samples.							
7 Initiator	9 is condition an isolate	ed occurrence?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
D. J. Harris Wonald \ Harris Date 12/12/96	□ Yes No		Must be Yes if PR					
10 Recommended Action: (Not required for PR) 1. Address the methodology of Controlling Standards ((NBS/SGP) submitted as b	linds along with fi	old samples for analysis					
Update the Main Menu Sample tracking system and YMP samples.								
11 QA Review:	12 Response Due Date							
QAR Nonald & Havis Date 12-17-9		s from issu	ance					
13 Affected Organization QA Manager Issuance Approval: (Printed Name Donald G. Horton Signa	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	look to	Date 12/21/96					
Printed Name Donald G. Horton Signa 22 Corrective Action Verified	ature Comme Dist	23 Closure A	pproved by: (N/A for PR)					

Date

Exhibit AP-16.1Q.1

HET NA 25 43/97

QAR

Enclosure

AOQAM

Rev. 07/15/96

Date

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WASHINGTON. D.C.

PR/DR NO. TH-97-D-019	 •
PAGE _2 OF _2	

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF WASHINGTON, D			<u> </u>		
PERFORMANCE/DE	FICIENCY R	EPORT RE	SPONSE	<u> </u>	
14 Remedial Actions:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
See ATTACHED	٠				
•					
	•				
				•	
15 Extent of Condition: (Not required for PR)				·	
See ATTAChe)					
dee ItTTAched					
		•			
				•	
16 Root Cause Determination: (Not required for PR)	•	Required	□ Yes □ No		
. .					
N/A	•				
·			•		
		•			1
17 Action to Preclude Recurrence: (Not required for PR)		Required	□Yes □No	 	
See Armched					
VEE ATTACLES					,
•			·		
				•	
18 Corrective Action Completion Due Date:	19 Respon	se by:	ests		
7/30/97	tritial □ Amended		EVY Date 1/27/99	505/6 1 Phone	67-9504
20 Response Accepted	21 Respon	se Accepted (N	VA for PR):		
QAR Almala \ Navis Date 1/27/9:	7 AOQAM	R.W.	Chil.	Rr Date 2/	(ራ/ዓን Rev. 07/15/9
MINULATTO. 14.4 ()					NEV. U// 13/8

1/27/17 EES-13-01-97-1414

Rev. 07/15/96
Pg 2 of 4

Response to DR YM-97-D-019

14 Remedial Actions:

Condition 1: A memorandum will be added to the record package or packages pertaining to the procurement of INAA analytical services from Washington University at St. Louis, Missouri. The memorandum will explicitly state that standards NBS-287, NBS-688, and SGR-1 were labeled as LANL 1550,P1, 1552,P1, and 1551,P1 but had not been assigned these numbers in the sample tracking system. The memo will also state that any data associated with these LANL numbers contained in the record package of correspondence between the vendor and the LANL investigator refer to the identified standards and not to the site characterization samples with the assigned numbers LANL 1550, 1552, and 1551. With the completion of this action, all project records containing references to the standards under "dummy" LANL numbers will also contain statements detailing the true identities of the standards.

Condition 2: Samples stored in the LANL YMP sample storage area and in LANL YMP investigators offices and laboratories will be inventoried and the current cabinet locations of the samples will be recorded in the sample storage log book, which is the scientific notebook required by QP-08.1, sections 6.1.4 and 6.2.1. The electronic sample tracking system is a supplemental sample tracking system not required by QP-08.1; it will be updated as time permits. The inventory will be performed in a phased manner as time permits. First priority will be given to samples in use for ongoing studies; lowest priority will be for archived samples from completed or suspended activities.

15 Extent of Condition:

Condition 1: The PI has identified five purchase requests (PR# X1556, PR# Z8437, PR# Z8620, PR# 0286L, and PR# 8670U) within this study plan, including the example noted in the deficiency report, in which standards were identified by "dummy" LANL numbers in correspondence with a vendor.

Condition 2: As used in the DR, "LANL YMP Sample Storage Area" refers only to the storage area used by mineralogy-petrology researchers. The disposal of samples and return of samples to the Sample Management Facility were both documented in the sample storage logbook, which is the official record of sample disposition. The contents of some cabinets were retained intact when the cabinets were moved to the new storage area, and the logbook entries for the samples in these cabinets do not require updating. The exact extent of updates required for other cabinets can best be determined during the inventory that is proposed as a remedial action.

16 Root Cause Determination: N/A

17 Action to Preclude Recurrence:

Condition 1: The procedures will be revised to state that standards submitted as blinds must be entered into the sample storage logbook and, if they are to be submitted with a LANL number, must also be entered into the electronic sample tracking system. At present, the control of standards submitted as blinds along with site samples for analysis is not specifically addressed in LANL-QP-08.1 or LANL-EES-DP-101.

Condition 2: An increased effort will be made to keep the sample storage logbook up to date through awareness of the identified condition. The consolidation of the sample storage area was necessitated by a reduction in funding. The reduced funding also meant fewer personnel with less time available facing an increased burden of compliance. Researchers have been trained to the appropriate procedures and are aware of the requirements for sample traceability, but are forced to prioritize the use of their time. As a result, the logbook was not updated as required for some samples.

Corrective action completion: 07/30/97.